Athens Institute

Exploring the Ethical Implications of the Myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges

Abduljaleel Kadhim Alwali

Working papers are published by the Athens Institute to stimulate discussion on various issues. These papers are primarily based on presentations made by the authors at various academic events organized by the Athens Institute. All published working papers undergo an initial peer review aimed at disseminating and improving the ideas expressed in each work. Authors welcome comments.

Athens Institute (www.atiner.gr)
2025

Exploring the Ethical Implications of the Myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges

By Abduljaleel Kadhim Alwali*

This paper investigates the ethical dimensions inherent in two classical myths the Myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges through analytics of prominent philosophical ethical theories. The Ring of Gyges, as portrayed in Plato's Republic, examines the corrosive influence of power and the moral dilemmas encountered when one is liberated from the repercussions of their actions. By scrutinizing these myths through the frameworks of Virtue Ethics, Egoism, Utilitarianism, Deontological Ethics, and the Ethics of Care, this paper aspires to demonstrate their lasting significance in contemporary moral discourse, particularly concerning autonomy and human agency. Ultimately, the analysis intends to enhance our comprehension of the intricate interplay between individual choice, ethical responsibility, and the human condition.

Keywords: *Sisyphus; Ring of Gyges; Eudaimonia; Utilitarianism; Deontological Ethics; Feminism*

Introduction

The exploration of ethics confronts moral dilemmas that compel us to reconsider notions of virtue, power, and human nature. The myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges, two well-known ancient tales, shed profound light on these concepts, each raising its own ethical questions that remain interconnected in significant ways (Alwali, 2002).

In Albert Camus's reading of the Sisyphus myth, we see an individual trapped in an endless and seemingly pointless task an image that invites us to reflect on suffering, the search for meaning, and the limits of personal agency. In contrast, the story of the Ring of Gyges in Plato's Republic centres on a powerful object that allows its wearer to act immorally without consequence, raising important questions about how power, temptation, and ethical responsibility intersect. By juxtaposing these myths, this essay endeavours to explore their intersections with various ethical theories, ranging from egoism to the Ethics of Care, and to elucidate what they reveal about the humanities and social sciences.

The humanities and social sciences seek to understand how people think, behave, and make sense of the world. Within these fields, ethical questions play a central role, shaping how we determine what counts as "good," "just," or "moral" in both individual lives and society as a whole. Disciplines such as philosophy, history, sociology, and anthropology investigate how societies establish ethical norms and navigate moral dilemmas.

---

*Professor, United Arab Emirates University, United Arab Emirates.

Definition of Humanities and Social Sciences

- **Humanities: The academic investigation of human culture, values, and modes of expression, encompassing disciplines such as literature, philosophy, and history.**
- Social Sciences: The structured study of human societies and social behaviour, including disciplines like sociology, anthropology, and related fields.

The Relationship to Ethics:

- **Humanities:** The examination of moral frameworks within art, literature, and philosophical discourse.
- **Social Sciences:** The analysis of the ethical ramifications of societal norms and behaviours.

  Key Philosophical Approaches to Ethics
  1. **Virtue Ethics** (The Myth of Sisyphus, Plato Theory)
  2. **Social Justice Theory: The Ring of Gyges**
  3. **Eudaimonia** (Aristotle Theory)
  4. **Utilitarianism** (Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill Theory)
  5. **Deontological Ethics** (Kant's Theory)
  6. **Ethics of Care** (Feminist, Carol Gilligan)
  7. **Ethical Dilemmas in Humanities and Social Sciences**

Virtue Theory and The Myth of Sisyphus: A Reflection on Life's Struggles

In Greek mythology, Sisyphus is condemned to an eternity of labour, endeavouring to push a massive boulder up a hill, only for it to roll back down each time he nears the summit. This repetitive and seemingly futile endeavour has come to epitomize the absurdity often inherent in human existence, particularly when confronted with challenges that appear insurmountable. Although Sisyphus's predicament may initially seem devoid of hope, the myth encompasses profound insights applicable to our understanding of mental health, well-being, and the essence of human struggle. The enduring narrative of Sisyphus invites reflection on how individuals approach life's difficulties and the meaning derived from actions in the face of adversity (Camus, 1942). His predicament transcends mere futility, emerging as a metaphor for human resilience and the capacity to discover purpose amidst hardship. From the perspective of virtue ethics, which prioritizes personal growth through the cultivation of character and moral virtues (Hursthouse, 1999), Sisyphus's eternal endeavour can be reconceptualized not as an exercise in senseless repetition, but rather as a transformative journey. This perspective posits that, akin to Sisyphus, individuals may derive meaning from the struggle itself, rather than solely from the eventual outcome.

Furthermore, the myth necessitates a re-evaluation of our engagement with suffering. The philosopher Albert Camus asserted that the condition of Sisyphus symbolizes the absurdity of human existence (Camus, 1942). In his essay *The Myth of Sisyphus*, Camus articulates that, despite this absurdity, Sisyphus's awareness of his fate enables him to transcend the meaninglessness of his task.

Consequently, the myth conveys a lesson in accepting life's inherent struggles without resignation, advocating instead for the recognition of dignity in perseverance and the capacity to endure.

The enduring narrative of Sisyphus invites critical reflection on our approach to life's challenges and the significance attributed to our actions in the face of adversity. Through the lens of virtue ethics, the story of Sisyphus elucidates that challenges, even those perceived as futile or interminable, are integral to personal growth. The choices made while navigating these struggles can shape one's character, providing opportunities for the development of strength, resilience, and self-discovery (Hursthouse, 1999). Rather than perceiving life's obstacles as mere burdens, the myth encourages us to interpret them as opportunities for cultivating virtues such as patience, perseverance, and hope.

Consequently, rather than interpreting Sisyphus's eternal task solely as a metaphor for hopelessness, it may prove more beneficial to consider it as a representation of the human ability to derive meaning, even in the face of the most challenging circumstances. By embracing our individual struggles, we can endeavour toward transformation, acknowledging that the process of striving may hold greater significance than the achievement of the ultimate objective.

Social Justice Theory: The Ring of Gyges

In Plato's Republic, the narrative of the Ring of Gyges serves to illuminate a fundamental moral dilemma regarding human nature and the concept of justice. Gyges, a shepherd, stumbles upon a magical ring that endows him with the ability to become invisible. Empowered by this newfound capability, he seduces the queen, murders the king, and usurps the throne. Through this allegory, Plato contends that individuals exhibit just behaviour primarily due to societal constraints rather than an intrinsic sense of virtue. When individuals are liberated from the repercussions of their actions, as Gyges is by the ring, they frequently prioritize self-interest over ethical conduct (Plato, 380 BCE). In this context, the ring symbolizes the corrupting influence of unbridled power on human morality.

Why Do Individuals Engage in Just Behaviour?

According to social justice theory, individuals engage in just behaviour as a result of adhering to established laws, with the belief that fairness is attained when individuals fulfil their societal roles and obligations (Rawls, 1971). Just individuals are characterized by their compliance with both legal statutes and the moral standards prevalent within their society. For example, a just individual may exhibit impartial affection towards their family members by treating all equally, devoid of favouritism, or a leader may govern with fairness and compassion for all constituents, addressing their needs without bias (Aristotle, 350 BCE).

The Narrative of the Ring of Gyges

Plato's Ring of Gyges serves as a profound illustration of wisdom and moral integrity. Plato posits that the distinguishing factor between the wise and the unwise is the capacity to resist the allure of power. Unlike Gyges, the wise individual would consciously opt not to don the ring, recognizing that such an action would lead to the corruption of their character (Plato, 380 BCE). In a similar vein, a wise leader would choose to forfeit power rather than allow it to compromise their moral judgment, thereby demonstrating that authentic leadership is rooted in virtue and self-restraint, rather than in domination (Alwali, 2024).

Aristotle on Eudaimonia: Connecting the Myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges

In the Nicomachean Ethics, Aristotle introduces the idea of eudaimonia, a term often translated as "happiness" or "flourishing." *Eudaimonia* encompasses the pursuit of a well-lived and successful life, transcending mere transient emotional states or material objectives; it embodies a sustained mode of existence throughout one's lifetime. Eudaimonia is regarded as the highest human good, attainable through cultivating virtues that are exercised in harmony with reason (Aristotle, 350 BC). In contrast to the subjective pleasures experienced by non-human animals, *eudaimonia* is intrinsically linked to human rationality and the active manifestation of virtue in one's life (Hursthouse, 1999).

The *Myth of Sisyphus* and Eudaimonia

Albert Camus' interpretation of the *Myth of Sisyphus* presents a compelling contrast to Aristotle's concept of *eudaimonia*. In Greek mythology, Sisyphus was condemned to push a boulder up a hill endlessly, only to watch it roll back down each time he approached the top a punishment that trapped him in an endless and seemingly meaningless cycle. At first glance, Sisyphus's task appears absurd and devoid of meaning. However, Camus posits that the essence of Sisyphus's existence can be understood through his awareness of his plight and his acceptance of the absurdity inherent in his endeavour. In this regard, Camus contends that one can derive meaning and a form of happiness even from the most futile of endeavours, provided one possesses the fortitude to endure and persist (Camus, 1942).

From an Aristotelian standpoint, while Sisyphus may not attain *eudaimonia* in the conventional sense, his resilience amid despair exemplifies the human capacity to uphold virtue in a world fraught with challenges. Aristotle asserts that *eudaimonia* is realized through rational activity and the practice of virtue, even when faced with adversity. Although Sisyphus's predicament lacks immediate virtue or rationality, it may still reflect the human potential for self-

determined perseverance. For Aristotle, *eudaimonia* encompasses flourishing through the rational and virtuous application of one's faculties; thus, one could envision that had Sisyphus acted with virtue, he might have transformed his struggle into an expression of moral character (Alwali, 2006).

The *Ring of Gyges* and Eudaimonia

The narrative of the *Ring of Gyges* in Plato's *Republic* examines a notable tension between virtue, self-interest, and moral decision-making. The shepherd Gyges found a ring that granted him the power of invisibility. Using this power, he seduced the queen, murdered the king, and usurped the throne. Plato employs this allegory to assert that individuals adhere to just behaviour primarily due to their apprehension of the repercussions associated with unjust actions, rather than from an intrinsic sense of virtue. In the absence of consequences, as exemplified by Gyges with the ring, individuals are likely to prioritize self-interest, opting for pleasure and power over moral integrity (Plato, 380 BCE).

In contrast, Aristotle's concept of *eudaimonia* presents a fundamentally different perspective. For Aristotle, virtue is not merely a means to achieve power or wealth but is, in fact, the essential activity that characterizes a flourishing life. *Eudaimonia cannot be achieved through selfish actions, as Gyges proved, but through living according to reason and virtue.* Aristotle would contend that although Gyges may experience ephemeral pleasures and material success, he ultimately fails to lead a genuinely fulfilled life because his actions are driven by self-interest rather than virtue (Hursthouse, 1999). According to Aristotle, the good life is one in which moral integrity, rather than unrestrained power, fosters the most enduring and meaningful happiness.

Integration: Eudaimonia, Sisyphus, and Gyges

Both the *Ring of Gyges* and the *Myth of Sisyphus* provide profound reflections on human nature and the ramifications of individual choices. Sisyphus, condemned to an interminable struggle, epitomizes the human capacity to endure in the face of adversity; however, devoid of the guidance of virtue, his plight lacks the ultimate fulfilment that Aristotle associates with *eudaimonia*. Conversely, Gyges's unrestrained pursuit of self-interest, facilitated by the power of the ring, serves as a cautionary tale regarding the corrupting influence of wealth and power on human virtue. Both figures present a stark contrast to the ideal of *eudaimonia*, which necessitates living in accordance with reason and virtue rather than being governed by external forces such as pleasure or power.

In conclusion, while the *Ring of Gyges* and the *Myth of Sisyphus* provide valuable insights into human challenges and choices, it is through the cultivation of virtues and rational living that Aristotle posits we attain *eudaimonia*. Both myths emphasize the significance of moral integrity while simultaneously

illuminating the tension between external circumstances and internal character. Ultimately, Aristotle's conception of *eudaimonia* embodies a life of reason and virtue, a life that is not dictated by the absurdities of fate or the allure of power, but rather by the intentional and consistent pursuit of moral excellence.

Aristotle on Eudaimonia

In Aristotle's ethical philosophy, *eudaimonia* is often translated as "happiness" or "flourishing," but it encompasses much more than a fleeting state of mind. Unlike subjective emotions or pleasures, *eudaimonia* refers to living well and faring well over the course of a lifetime, embodying the activity of a fully realized human life (Aristotle, 350 BCE). It is an objective and enduring state, not easily altered by external circumstances, but rather a reflection of how one lives as a whole (Hursthouse, 1999).

What is Eudaimonia?

For Aristotle, eudaimonia is not the same as pleasure, which can be shared with animals, nor is it wealth, which is merely a tool for achieving other ends (Aristotle, 350 BCE). It is also distinct from honour, as honour itself depends on the recognition of others and raises the question: What do you wish to be honoured for? Aristotle argues that the truly valuable pursuit is not honour or material wealth, but the cultivation and exercise of virtues. Virtue, in this sense, is not just about possessing virtuous traits, but actively practicing them in life (Hursthouse, 1999).

Virtue and Rationality

Aristotle maintains that humans are distinctively rational creatures, and thus eudaimonia is closely tied to living in accordance with reason. Our rational capacities enable us to cultivate virtues, which in turn allow us to live in a way that fulfils our human potential (Alwali, 2009). For Aristotle, the most fulfilling life is one lived through the exercise of these virtues, leading to the greatest and most enduring form of happiness.

The Self-Sufficient Life

Aristotle posits that *eudaimonia* constitutes the most self-sufficient activity, as it encompasses the pursuit of excellence across all dimensions of life, including both intellectual and moral virtues. The pleasures associated with *eudaimonia* are characterized by their purity and longevity, standing in contrast to the transient bodily pleasures that are frequently sought. Unlike ephemeral

pleasures tied to sensory experiences, the joy derived from living in accordance with virtue is marked by greater stability and endurance (Hursthouse, 1999).

External Goods

Although *eudaimonia* primarily emphasizes the cultivation of virtues, Aristotle recognizes that external goods such as health, wealth, and favourable circumstances contribute to the attainment of the good life. These external goods are requisite to a certain degree for the complete expression of virtues and for living rationally; however, they are insufficient in isolation. A virtuous life necessitates an appropriate equilibrium between internal virtues and external conditions (Aristotle, 350 BCE).

Utilitarianism Theory and its Ethical Implications

Utilitarianism is among the most widely endorsed ethical theories, particularly within the Anglo-Saxon context, encompassing the United Kingdom, the United States, Canada, Australia, Ireland, and New Zealand. This philosophical framework is closely linked to the contributions of British philosophers and economists Jeremy Bentham (1748–1832) and John Stuart Mill (1806–1873), who were instrumental in its formulation and advancement. Furthermore, utilitarianism has exerted considerable influence on contemporary economic thought (Bentham, 1789).

Fundamentally, utilitarianism posits that an action is deemed morally permissible if it yields the greatest benefit for the largest number of individuals affected by that action. This tenet, referred to as the "greatest happiness principle," assesses actions in terms of their consequences, balancing the positive outcomes against the negative, and advocates for actions that foster the greatest overall happiness (Mill, 1863). In contrast to egoism, which prioritizes individual self-interest, utilitarianism underscores the collective welfare and happiness of all individuals involved (Sandel, 2010).

The Ultimate Goal: Pleasure and Pain

Utilitarianism is fundamentally grounded in the principle of utility, which Jeremy Bentham identifies as the paramount objective of human existence. From a hedonistic perspective, individuals are conceptualized as pleasure-seeking entities whose primary aim is to maximize pleasure while minimizing pain. Within this framework, utility is typically quantified in terms of the pleasure or pain generated by a particular action (Bentham, 1789). However, this hedonistic interpretation extends beyond mere sensory pleasures to encompass more profound forms of satisfaction, including but not limited to companionship, affection, and trust (Mill, 1863).

Utilitarianism and Moral Decision-Making

The implementation of utilitarianism necessitates a systematic calculation of the utility associated with various potential actions, whereby the action that yields the greatest overall happiness is selected. In the realm of economic decision-making, for instance, utilitarian analysis assigns specific utility values to each potential consequence and each individual affected, with the action that results in the greatest net benefit being deemed ethically justifiable.

Example 1: Animal Testing for Medical Research

A pertinent illustration of utilitarian thought can be observed in the discourse surrounding animal testing for medical research. Although animal testing inflicts significant pain on the subjects involved, utilitarianism rationalizes this suffering if the resultant outcome contributes to a greater societal good. In this context, the distress experienced by the animals is deemed negligible when juxtaposed against the potential benefits of preserving human life through the advancement of new medical treatments. This form of cost-benefit analysis is fundamental to utilitarian decision-making (Bentham, 1789).

Example 2: The Assassination of Hitler

Utilitarian reasoning has also been employed in extreme historical contexts. For example, in 1944 a number of senior officers within the German military mounted a covert effort to remove Adolf Hitler through assassination. From a utilitarian standpoint, the act of killing one individual was deemed justifiable by the prospect of saving millions of lives and alleviating substantial suffering by bringing an end to the war. In this instance, the principle of the greatest good for the greatest number was interpreted as the minimization of global pain and suffering, notwithstanding the ethical weight associated with taking a life (Mill, 1863).

Connecting Utilitarianism with the Myth of Sisyphus

In examining the intersection of utilitarianism and the *Myth of Sisyphus*, one can discern distinct approaches to the notions of suffering and the ultimate purpose of human existence. In Albert Camus's analysis of the *Myth of Sisyphus* (1942), Sisyphus is condemned to an interminable and seemingly futile endeavour perpetually pushing a boulder up a hill, only for it to roll back down each time. This relentless cycle of labour serves as a metaphor for the inherent absurdity of human life. Camus posits that although Sisyphus's existence appears devoid of intrinsic meaning, his acceptance of his plight and his unwavering

determination in the face of futility can nonetheless engender a form of happiness.

Conversely, utilitarianism would evaluate Sisyphus's predicament from a different vantage point. Rather than emphasizing Sisyphus's personal endurance, a utilitarian framework would likely classify his endeavour as morally neutral at best, as it yields neither positive nor negative consequences for the broader society. From a utilitarian perspective, happiness is not attained through mere persistence or struggle, but rather through actions that enhance collective well-being. In this context, Sisyphus's eternal task does not contribute to the happiness of others; therefore, his existence may be deemed to lack moral significance within a utilitarian paradigm.

Connecting Utilitarianism with the Ring of Gyges

The *Ring of Gyges* serves as a compelling case for utilitarian analysis, as it prompts an examination of individual behaviour in the absence of consequences. In Plato's *Republic*, Gyges, a shepherd, discovers a ring that bestows invisibility, thereby enabling him to commit acts of wrongdoing without fear of retribution. This mythological narrative posits that, if individuals possessed the capacity to act without facing repercussions, they would frequently opt to prioritize their self-interest over moral or virtuous conduct (Plato, 380 BCE; Alwali, 2018).

From a utilitarian perspective, the utilization of the ring could be deemed justifiable if it culminated in an increase in overall happiness. Nonetheless, the ramifications of Gyges's actions specifically, the assassination of the king and his subsequent usurpation of the throne would likely precipitate significant harm, suffering, and the erosion of societal trust. While Gyges may experience personal gains in power and pleasure, the resultant long-term detriment to others would surpass any potential benefits. Thus, utilitarianism would argue against the employment of the ring, as it fails to promote the greatest good for the greatest number. In this context, acting justly, even when one possesses the means to do otherwise, would be regarded as the morally appropriate course of action (Mill, 1863).

Utilitarianism, Sisyphus, and Gyges

While utilitarianism underscores the importance of actions that maximize happiness for the greatest number, both the *Myth of Sisyphus* and the *Ring of Gyges* provide valuable insights into the complexities of human behaviour in the context of suffering, power, and moral choice. The narrative of Sisyphus, characterized by his repetitive and ostensibly futile labour, would not align with utilitarian principles, as it fails to contribute to the well-being of others. Likewise, Gyges's pursuit of self-interest through the exercise of unchecked power contradicts utilitarian tenets, which prioritize the collective good over individual gratification.

In both myths, the ramifications of the characters' actions serve as cautionary tales that highlight the significance of moral integrity and the commitment to collective well-being. Utilitarianism, with its foundational principle of maximizing happiness, encourages individuals to reflect on the broader societal implications of their actions and to eschew self-serving choices that may result in harm to others.

Deontological Ethics: Kant's Theory

Kant's deontological ethics emphasizes the intrinsic morality of actions rather than their consequences, aligning with the notion that moral value is derived from duty, adherence to rules, and respect for universal principles. This perspective differs significantly from consequentialist approaches such as utilitarianism that evaluate moral judgments on the basis of their outcomes rather than the inherent qualities of the actions themselves.

Core Idea: Morality Based on Duty

For Kant, morality is fundamentally concerned with the performance of one's duty, irrespective of personal consequences. An action is deemed morally right if it is performed out of respect for the moral law, rather than from a desire for personal gain or emotional impulses. This viewpoint can be contrasted with the existential struggles depicted in the *Myth of Sisyphus*, wherein the Greek hero is condemned to an interminable and futile task pushing a boulder up a hill, only for it to roll down again. The punishment of Sisyphus illustrates the human struggle to find meaning and purpose in a world that shows no inherent care or concern. In a similar vein, Kant's moral law urges individuals to act with purpose and responsibility, irrespective of apparent futility or the absence of immediate rewards.

Within Kant's framework, even when tasks appear endless or burdensome, individuals are compelled to act in accordance with their duty, reflecting the perpetual struggle of Sisyphus, whose plight embodies a commitment to an overarching, albeit arduous, task. Just as Sisyphus perseveres in his labour with steadfast dedication, Kant's ethical theory mandates that individuals pursue their moral duties without regard for tangible benefits or the perceived futility of their efforts.

The Categorical Imperative: The Guiding Principle

At the core of Kant's deontological ethics is the Categorical Imperative, a universal moral law that set norms for how one ought to act , independent of personal inclinations. Kant articulates this imperative in three distinct formulations:

1. Universal Law: "Act only on that maxim whereby you can, at the same time, will that it should become a universal law. " (Kant, 1993). This formulation asserts that actions must be guided by principles that can be consistently applied to all individuals. For instance, Kant would condemn lying; if universally practiced, such behaviour would erode trust and ultimately undermine communication itself.

   The narrative of the *Ring of Gyges*, as recounted by Plato, serves as a counterpoint to this universal principle. In the myth, Gyges discovers a magical ring that confers invisibility, which he exploits to assassinate the king and usurp the throne. Gyges's actions underscore the perils of operating without moral constraints, suggesting that the absence of accountability and the capacity to evade consequences may compel individuals to act in ways that contravene universal principles, such as the imperative against harming others. By prioritizing personal gain over the collective good, Gyges's behaviour starkly contrasts with the Kantian perspective that moral law should be universally applicable.

2. Respect for Persons: "Act in such a manner that you treat humanity, whether in your own person or in the person of another, always at the same time as an end and never merely as a means." (Kant, 1993). This formulation posits that individuals should not be utilized solely as instruments for personal advantage. Each person carries an innate worth and should be respected.

3. Autonomy: "Act as if your actions establish universal moral laws within a rational society. " (Kant, 1993). *Example:* Adhere to moral principles that would be coherent in a fair and just world.

Feminism: Carol Gilligan and the Myth of Sisyphus

Feminism constitutes both a political and cultural movement aimed at establishing equal rights and legal protections for women, while simultaneously addressing the systemic oppression and marginalization they encounter. Grounded in various sociological theories and philosophical frameworks, feminism critically engages with gender disparities, striving to rectify societal inequalities. It encompasses a range of movements, ideologies, and strategies that contest the patriarchal structures of society, which are perceived as the principal sources of gender-based oppression. Fundamentally, feminism seeks to dismantle the ideology of patriarchy and to ensure that women are afforded the same rights and opportunities as men (Tong, 2009).

History of the Feminist Movement

The feminist movement has undergone significant evolution, delineated into three principal waves:

1. First Wave (19th and Early 20th Century): The inaugural wave concentrated on the legal rights of women, with particular attention to the rights to vote and hold property. This period was often contextualized within the concept of "the cult of true womanhood," which emphasized women's moral superiority and the sanctity of their domestic roles (Hoff, 2001). Characteristically, this wave sought to advance formal legal rights and to achieve public recognition of women's agency.
2. **Second Wave (1960s-1970s)**: The second wave expanded the parameters of feminist activism, highlighting issues such as workplace equality, reproductive rights, and sexual liberation. Consciousness-raising groups emerged as a fundamental method of organization, as women increasingly sought equal rights across all facets of life, including politics, the workplace, and familial structures (Echols, 1989).
3. **Third Wave (1990s to Present)**: The third wave addresses the diverse experiences of women, particularly those intersecting with race, class, and sexuality. Proponents of this wave assert that women should acknowledge and utilize the limited power available to them within existing societal frameworks while simultaneously critiquing the systems that perpetuate such limitations. Third-wave feminism is distinguished by its pluralism, recognizing that the feminist experience is not monolithic but rather influenced by a multitude of intersecting identities (Baumgardner & Richards, 2000).

Feminism and the Myth of Sisyphus

In the Myth of Sisyphus, Albert Camus tells the story of a man forced to endlessly push a boulder uphill, only for it to roll back down repeatedly. This myth strongly echoes feminist struggles, especially in highlighting the perseverance needed to challenge systemic oppression. Similar to Sisyphus, women and feminists frequently engage in arduous efforts to challenge entrenched societal norms and structures of inequality, only to encounter setbacks and reversals. Nonetheless, as Camus famously posited, there is intrinsic value in the struggle itself, and Sisyphus's existential triumph resides in his unwavering perseverance (Camus, 1942).

Feminism can be conceptualized as an endeavour to *defy the absurd,* analogous to Sisyphus's experience. Each wave of feminism embodies a new struggle, representing a successive generation of women endeavouring to advance the boulder of inequality up the hill. Although the patriarchal system may appear insurmountable at times, feminism derives its strength from

collective action, resilience, and the shared conviction that equality is not merely an abstract ideal but a practical necessity.

Radical Feminism: Challenging the Gendered Boulders

Radical feminists, particularly, focus on dismantling the foundational structures of patriarchy, often rejecting the gender roles imposed upon both men and women. This perspective parallels Sisyphus's struggle: much like Sisyphus, who finds meaning in defiance despite the futility of his task, radical feminists contest the rigid societal roles that confine individuals to predefined categories based on sex. They argue for the liberation of both men and women from these constraints, asserting that the patriarchal system must be dismantled to achieve genuine gender equality (Daly, 1978; MacKinnon, 1989).

Certain strands of radical feminism, such as **radical-libertarian** feminism, advocate for a vision of androgyny positing that women should transcend traditional femininity to attain equality. Proponents argue that the reproductive and biological roles assigned to women limit their societal contributions; thus, women should be liberated from societal pressures to conform to traditional femininity (Firestone, 1970).

Conversely, **radical-cultural** feminists contend that femininity distinct from masculinity should be acknowledged and celebrated. These feminists critique the social control of reproduction through technological and patriarchal means, positioning women's nurturing and maternal roles as fundamental to human flourishing. They oppose the notion that women must emulate men to achieve equality (Grosz, 1995).

Socialist Feminism: The Ring of Gyges and Class

Socialist feminists assert that the oppression of women is intricately linked to class structures, highlighting that while men's labour is frequently rewarded with economic power and social status, women's work especially within the domestic sphere remains undervalued or rendered invisible. This phenomenon is analogous to the *Ring of Gyges* from Plato's Republic, which endows its possessor with the ability to become invisible; similarly, women's labour often goes unrecognized and unacknowledged by society. The Ring epitomizes the allure and capacity to act without accountability, mirroring the invisibility of women's labour, which is nonetheless essential to the functioning of society (Plato, 380 BCE).

Socialist feminists contend that society's neglect in acknowledging the value of women's labour, along with the resultant disparities in economic power between genders, sustains a system that economically disenfranchises women. In this framework, the pursuit of women's equality constitutes not merely a confrontation with patriarchy but also a challenge to the economic systems that perpetuate gendered inequalities (Barrett, 1980).

To conclude, Feminism, as a movement, transcends mere political ideology; it represents a quest for the acknowledgment of women's complete humanity and the deconstruction of systems that sustain inequality. Analysing it through the lens of Sisyphus' struggle or the concept of invisible labour exemplified by the Ring of Gyges, the feminist movement constitutes a significant challenge to prevailing societal norms and expectations. It serves as a clarion call to dismantle the ideological impediments posed by patriarchy and to strive for a society in which gender does not constrain an individual's opportunities or intrinsic worth. Ultimately, feminism is not solely concerned with the attainment of an ideal world; rather, it is focused on the ongoing struggle to reformulate the systems of power that have historically influenced human experience.

Ethical Dilemmas in Humanities and Social Sciences: The Myth of Sisyphus and the Ring of Gyges

Ethical dilemmas represent intricate scenarios wherein individuals or groups are compelled to choose between two or more competing moral principles or values. Such dilemmas frequently manifest across diverse fields, particularly within the humanities and social sciences, where decisions reverberate beyond individual stakeholders to impact entire communities and cultures. In navigating ethical dilemmas, a pervasive tension often emerges between personal freedom and social responsibility, individual desires and collective justice, or autonomy and fairness (Alwali, 2022). The philosophical constructs of the *Myth of Sisyphus* and the *Ring of Gyges* serve as poignant metaphors in **the examination of** ethical dilemmas. Both myths illuminate the conflict between personal moral choices and the resultant consequences on broader societal systems, thereby facilitating a reflection on the ethical responsibilities individuals uphold in their actions, both in public spheres and private contexts.

Freedom of Expression vs. Responsibility

One of the most significant ethical dilemmas in the humanities and social sciences is the tension between freedom of expression and responsibility. This dilemma is particularly pronounced in the domains of art, literature, and media, where the right to free speech and creativity can conflict with the potential harm that unrestrained expression may inflict upon individuals or society.

The Myth of Sisyphus and the Struggle of Expression

The *Myth of Sisyphus* is frequently employed to illustrate the existential struggle against absurdity, encapsulating the relentless pursuit of meaning in a world that appears to deny it. For artists and intellectuals, this myth serves as a

metaphor for the tension between the desire to create freely and the pressures to conform to social norms or avoid contentious subjects that may elicit backlash (Camus, 1942).

In a democratic society, freedom of expression is a fundamental right. However, this right is often contested when creative works whether in literature, media, or art transgress boundaries that some may deem offensive or harmful. The dilemma arises when one considers: To what extent should an artist or writer be permitted to express controversial, provocative, or even harmful ideas, and at what point does the freedom of expression encroach upon irresponsible or unethical territory?

Much like Sisyphus's perpetual struggle to push the boulder uphill, the artist's endeavour to articulate freely frequently resembles a Sisyphean task, encountering continuous resistance. Nevertheless, as Camus posits, meaning is derived not from the completion of the task but from the struggle itself. Similarly, art and expression, even when contentious or challenging, contribute to social discourse, aiding in the definition of collective values and understandings (Nussbaum, 2010).

The Ring of Gyges: Power and Responsibility in Expression

The *Ring of Gyges*, as recounted in Plato's *Republic*, narrates the tale of a shepherd who discovers a ring that endows him with the ability to become invisible. Utilizing this power, Gyges engages in immoral actions without the apprehension of being discovered. This myth prompts significant ethical inquiries regarding power, responsibility, and the ramifications of one's actions in the absence of repercussions. In the discourse surrounding freedom of expression, the *Ring of Gyges* functions as a metaphor illustrating how unchecked liberty whether in speech, art, or media can culminate in detrimental behaviours when the societal consequences of such actions remain obscured or disregarded (Plato, 380 BCE).

When artists, writers, or media creators utilize their platforms without a critical acknowledgment of the ethical dimensions of their work, they risk emulating Gyges: wielding their influence with little regard for the potential harm inflicted upon vulnerable populations. The prevailing dilemma, therefore, is whether artistic freedom should be accompanied by an awareness that, akin to Gyges, creators who operate without accountability may inflict harm on others, particularly those who lack the power or visibility to resist such harm (Alwali, 2011).

Social Justice and Inequality

A significant ethical dilemma pertains to the tension between **social justice** and **inequality**, particularly concerning issues of race, gender, and economic disparity. In a society still confronting systemic inequalities, ethical discussions

frequently focus on strategies for addressing these disparities such as affirmative action, reparations, or other forms of social intervention and whether such measures inadvertently perpetuate new forms of inequality.

The Myth of Sisyphus and the Struggle for Equality

The existential struggle illustrated by the *Myth of Sisyphus* serves as a poignant metaphor for the continuous pursuit of social justice. Similar to Sisyphus's eternal effort to push his boulder uphill, marginalized communities particularly racial and gender minorities often endure a relentless struggle to attain equality and justice. Each advancement toward equality appears to be met with subsequent setbacks, as systems of oppression, including patriarchy and racism, persistently reassert their influence (hooks, 2000).

Nevertheless, social justice advocates, akin to Sisyphus, derive significance from the struggle itself. The perseverance necessary to challenge entrenched societal norms embodies the relentless effort against systemic oppression. Although the battle may be prolonged and replete with obstacles, it is through sustained endeavour that meaningful change is realized whether through legal reform, improved educational access, or transformative shifts in societal perceptions (Mills, 2007).

The Ring of Gyges and Social Justice

The *Ring of Gyges* plays a pivotal role in the discourse surrounding social justice and inequality. In contemporary societies, individuals in positions of power be they political leaders, corporate executives, or media influencers often possess the capacity to remain "invisible" to the repercussions of their actions. This invisibility facilitates actions devoid of accountability, thereby perpetuating systems of privilege and inequality. For instance, wealth inequality frequently remains unchallenged when individuals at the upper echelons utilize their power to shield themselves from the systemic consequences of their decisions on marginalized populations (Rawls, 1971).

The ethical dilemma inherent in this context is whether individuals wielding power should be held accountable for perpetuating systems of inequality. In this regard, the *Ring of Gyges* serves as a cautionary symbol against the perils of unchecked privilege and power. The ethical imperative for those in privileged positions transcends mere moral action; it encompasses the responsibility to ensure that their actions do not reinforce existing inequalities (Young, 2011).

Navigating Ethical Dilemmas

In the realms of freedom *of* expression and social justice, ethical dilemmas emerge as intricate and often paradoxical challenges. These dilemmas whether

concerning the limits of artistic freedom or the pursuit of systemic equality are influenced by the persistent tension between individual agency and collective responsibility. The *Myth of Sisyphus* illustrates that meaning is derived from the struggle itself, while the *Ring of Gyges* cautions against the perils of unrestrained power and responsibility. Ultimately, ethical dilemmas within the humanities and social sciences compel us to engage with the profound moral questions that underpin our society, prompting critical reflection on how to reconcile personal freedoms with social justice, expression with responsibility, and individual rights with the common good.

Conclusion

Ethics functions as a critical nexus between philosophical inquiry and practical application, shaping our moral frameworks, influencing public policy, and guiding interpersonal interactions. Through diverse perspectives, ethics encourages a thorough examination of the inherent tensions among individual desires, societal expectations, and moral obligations.

Virtue ethics, emphasizing the cultivation of commendable character traits such as courage, temperance, and wisdom prioritizes the development of virtues over strict adherence to prescriptive rules or consequentialist outcomes. In his dialogues, Plato articulates that authentic moral and personal fulfilment is derived from a life devoted to virtue. The *Myth of Sisyphus* serves as a poignant illustration of this philosophical stance. Sisyphus, condemned to perpetually push a boulder up a hill, exemplifies perseverance and the acceptance of life's intrinsic challenges. In confronting seemingly futile tasks, his acceptance of this burden symbolizes moral development suggesting that even in the absence of ultimate success, the pursuit of virtue remains a substantial and meaningful endeavour.

In contrast, egoism asserts that actions are morally justified if they serve the individual's self-interest. Plato's *Ring of Gyges* compellingly illustrates the ethical quandary between personal advantage and moral integrity. When granted the capacity to act without fear of repercussions, as Gyges does upon becoming invisible, the critical question emerges: would one still opt to act ethically in the absence of societal oversight? This myth interrogates the presumption that individuals would invariably act morally if liberated from external constraints, thereby illuminating the tension between self-interest and moral accountability.

Aristotle's concept of eudaimonia, or human flourishing, serves as a foundational element in ethical discourse, emphasizing the pursuit of a well-lived life. In contrast to hedonistic pleasures, eudaimonia is achieved through the cultivation and practice of virtues, ultimately leading to the realization of one's potential. This long-term, holistic approach to well-being stands in stark contrast to utilitarianism, which evaluates the morality of actions based solely on their outcomes. The utilitarian principle of the "greatest happiness for the greatest number" aims to maximize overall well-being; however, it frequently invites scrutiny regarding the balance between individual rights and the

collective good. The ethical ramifications of both paradigms compel us to consider what constitutes a genuinely meaningful life.

Deontological ethics, as articulated by Immanuel Kant, posits that actions are morally right not due to their consequences but because they conform to a prescribed set of duties or rules. Kant's emphasis on duty underscores the notion that morality exists independently of outcomes, implying a universal applicability to ethical conduct. This perspective contrasts sharply with the relativistic nature of care ethics, which prioritizes empathy, compassion, and the nurturing of relationships values that are often overlooked in more traditional ethical frameworks.

The Ethics of Care, grounded in feminist philosophy, emphasizes the interdependence of individuals and the importance of emotional connections in moral reasoning. By prioritizing empathy and relational dynamics, care ethics critiques the detached rationale characteristic of rule-based frameworks such as deontology and utilitarianism. It posits that ethical considerations must encompass contextual factors, vulnerabilities, and the lived experiences of those affected. This perspective resonates with the moral intricacies presented by the *Ring of Gyges* and *Sisyphus*: the commitment to the well-being of others and the perseverance in confronting seemingly insurmountable obstacles are essential to comprehending the breadth of moral action.

In conclusion, ethical theories including virtue ethics, egoism, Aristotle's concept of eudaimonia, utilitarianism, deontology, and care ethics provide diverse insights into human morality. Each approach encourages reflection on the interaction between individual desires, societal responsibilities, and moral tenets, compelling us to engage with the complexities of human nature and the decisions we navigate in both private and public contexts.

References

Alexander, L., & Moore, M. (2007). Deontological ethics.

Alwali, A. (2002). Theory of Forms: The Construction of Plato and Aristotle's Criticism.

Alwali, A. (2006). Aristotle's Criticism of Pre-Socratic Natural Philosophy.

Alwali, A. (2009). Greek Philosophy.

Alwali, A. (2018). Logic Functions in the Philosophy of Al-Farabi.

Alwali, A. (2024). Unpacking the role of transformational leadership and work engagement in the relationship between psychological capital and innovative work behavior. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *56*(4), 343-358.

Alwali, A. K. (2011). Benefits of using critical thinking in high education. In *INTED2011 Proceedings* (pp. 2527-2532). IATED.

Alwali, A. K. (2022). The effect of the environment on the physical appearance and mood of humans from the perspective of philosophers. *International Journal of Sustainable Society*, *14*(1), 77-92.

Aristotle (2009). *Nicomachean Ethics* (Trans. W.D. Ross). Oxford University Press.

Aristotle. (350 BCE). *Nicomachean Ethics*.

Barrett, M. (1980). *Women's Oppression Today: The Marxist/Feminist Encounter*. Verso.

Baumgardner, J., & Richards, A. (2000). *Manifesta: Young Women, Feminism, and the Future*. Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.

Bennett, J. M. (1989). Feminism and history. *Gender & History*, *1*(3), 251-272.

Bentham, J. (1789). *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*.

Bentham, J. (2007). *An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*. Dover Publications.

Brooks, C. (2024). The Practicality of the Theory of the Good: An Interpretative Reconstruction. *Athens Journal of Philosophy*, 79.

Camus, A. (1942). *The Myth of Sisyphus*. Gallimard.

Camus, A. (2013). *The myth of Sisyphus*. Penguin UK.

Daly, M. (1978). *Gyn/Ecology: The Metaethics of Radical Feminism*. Beacon Press.

Driver, J. (2009). The history of utilitarianism.

Echols, A. (1989). *Daring to Be Bad: Radical Feminism in America, 1967-1975*. University of Minnesota Press.

Firestone, S. (1970). *The Dialectic of Sex: The Case for Feminist Revolution*. William Morrow & Company.

Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development*. Harvard University Press.

Gilligan, C. (1988). Moral development.

Grosz, E. (1995). *Space, Time, and Perversion: Essays on the Politics of Bodies*. Routledge.

Hobbes, T. (1998). *Leviathan*. Oxford University Press.

Hoff, J. (2001). *The Women's Suffrage Movement in America*. Macmillan.

hooks, b. (2000). *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press.

Hursthouse, R. (1999). *On Virtue Ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Hursthouse, R. (1999). *On Virtue Ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Juels, A., Kosba, A., & Shi, E. (2016, October). The ring of gyges: Investigating the future of criminal smart contracts. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security* (pp. 283-295).

Kant, I. (1993). Grounding for the metaphysics of morals; with "On a supposed right to live because of philanthropic concerns" (JW Ellington, Trans. *Indianapolis, IN: Hackett. (Original work published 1785)*.

LeGates, M. (2001). *In their time: A history of feminism in western society*. Routledge.

Li, L. (2025). Gilligan's Theory of Moral Development. In *The ECPH Encyclopedia of Psychology* (pp. 577-578). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Li, S. (2024). On the Triple Connections between Morality[1] and Politics: An Inquiry of Western and Chinese Political Philosophy. *Athens Journal of Philosophy*, *3*(2), 65-78.

Litwack, E. B. (2024). Chatbots, Robots, and the Ethics of automating psychotherapy. *Athens Journal of Philosophy*, *3*(1), 1-13.

MacKinnon, C. A. (1989). *Toward a Feminist Theory of the State*. Harvard University Press.

Milem, B. (2018). Two Myths of Sisyphus. *Philosophy and Literature*, *42*(2), 440-443.

Mill, J. S. (1863). *Utilitarianism*.

Mill, J. S. (2008). *Utilitarianism and On Liberty*. Blackwell Publishing.

Miller, D. (1991). Recent theories of social justice. *British Journal of Political Science*, *21*(3), 371-391.

Miller, D. (2001). *Principles of social justice*. harvard university Press.

Mills, C. W. (2007). *White Ignorance*. In *Racialized Politics and Education* (pp. 13–34). Palgrave Macmillan.

Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities*. Princeton University Press.

O'Meara, W. (2023). Two Christian-Aristotelian Ethics: The Ethics of Aquinas and Augustine vs. the Situation Ethics of Joseph Fletcher. *Athens Journal of Philosophy*, *2*(4), 233-246.

O'Meara, W. (2025). Evaluating Joseph Fletcher's Affirmation that Agapeic Love is Justice. *Athens Journal of Philosophy*, 67.

O'Neill, O. (2013). *Acting on Principle: An Essay on Kantian Ethics*. Cambridge University Press.

Plato (2000). *The Ring of Gyges – From The Republic*. Hackett Publishing.

Plato (2008). *The Republic* (Trans. Robin Waterfield). Oxford University Press.

Plato. (380 BCE). *The Republic*. Translated by Benjamin Jowett.

Rachels, J. (2003). *The Elements of Moral Philosophy*. McGraw-Hill.

Rawls, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. Harvard University Press.

Riley, D. (1988). *'Am I that name?': Feminism and the category of 'women' in history*. Springer.

Sandel, M. (2010). *Justice: What's the Right Thing to Do?*. Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.

Shafer-Landau, R. (2012). *The Fundamentals of Ethics*. Oxford University Press.

Tong, R. (2009). *Feminist Thought: A More Comprehensive Introduction* (2nd ed.). Westview Press.

Tyler, T. R. (2015). Social justice.

Van Cleemput, G. (2006). Aristotle on Eudaimonia in Nicomachean Ethics 1. *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy XXX: Summer 2006: Summer 2006*, 127-158.

Young, I. M. (2011). *Justice and the Politics of Difference*. Princeton University Press.