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Purpose

- To investigate the relationship between health promoting behaviors of nursing faculty working in North Carolina and their perceived levels of stress.

- To reveal behaviors that either promote or deter the good health of nursing faculty.

- To disseminate knowledge and stimulate increased awareness regarding health promotion and the consequences of poor health promoting behaviors, stress, and associated chronic diseases.
Research Questions & Hypotheses

Research Questions:

- What is the relationship between health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress of nursing faculty teaching in North Carolina?
- What are the health promoting behaviors utilized by nursing faculty working in North Carolina?
- What are the perceived levels of stress reported by nursing faculty working in North Carolina?

Hypotheses:

- H$_{10}$: There is no statistically significant relationship between health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress of nursing faculty teaching in North Carolina.
- H$_{1a}$: There is a statistically significant relationship between health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress of nursing faculty teaching in North Carolina.
Theoretical Framework: Health Promotion Model

- Nola Pender’s Health Promotion Model (HPM) (Pender, 1996)
  - Expectancy Value Theory
  - Social Cognitive Theory

The Health Promotion Model (HPM) focuses on prior behaviors and personal characteristics of an individual to describe and predict health promotion behavior (Pender, 2011).
Methodology

- Quantitative research method
  - Health-promoting behaviors
  - Perceived levels of stress

- Descriptive correlational design
  - Self-reported data
  - Description of variables
  - Relationship

- Health Promotion Lifestyle Profile (HPLP II) & Perceived Stress Survey (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983; Walker, Sechrist, & Pender 1995).

Population and Sampling Frame

- IRB exempt
- Nursing faculty in North Carolina (N.C.)
- Licensed registered nurses (RNs) with a Ph.D. in nursing or master’s degree in nursing education, teaching nursing curriculum (theory or clinical practice) as identified by the North Carolina Board of Nursing (NCBON).
- List-serve, NCBON (NCBON, 2014).
- Convenience sampling (Schmidt & Brown, 2012).
- Two hundred participants were selected from the population; 30 returned surveys, 28 were valid.
RESULTS

**Geographic Teaching Location within N.C.**
- Western: 42.9%
- Central: 35.7%
- Eastern: 21.4%
- Other: 0%

**Age of Participants**
- 50-65: 78.6%
- 65+: 3.6%
- 35-50: 17.9%

**Gender of Participants**
- Female: 93%
- Male: 7%
# Results

Table 5.

Mean HPLP-II and Subscale Scores (N=28)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale/ Subscales</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range: Minimum Score</th>
<th>Range: Maximum Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall HPLP-II</td>
<td>2.795741</td>
<td>.3672810</td>
<td>2.2500</td>
<td>3.6505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Responsibility</td>
<td>2.761905</td>
<td>.5164990</td>
<td>1.7778</td>
<td>3.6667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity</td>
<td>2.808673</td>
<td>.4345879</td>
<td>2.1250</td>
<td>3.8750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>2.645833</td>
<td>.3977981</td>
<td>1.6667</td>
<td>3.5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual Growth</td>
<td>2.807540</td>
<td>.4063488</td>
<td>2.1111</td>
<td>3.6667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal Relations</td>
<td>2.886976</td>
<td>.3748468</td>
<td>2.3333</td>
<td>3.5556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Management</td>
<td>2.863520</td>
<td>.4042426</td>
<td>2.1250</td>
<td>3.7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing mean scores for different scales]
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Table 6. 

*Mean PSS Scores (N=28)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range: Minimum Score</th>
<th>Range: Maximum Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSS</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>6.930</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Results

**Table 7.**

*Pearson r Correlation HPLP II and PSS (N=28)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>HPLP-II Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>PSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPLP-II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-.415*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *Significant at the p<0.05.*
Table 8. 

Pearson r Correlation HPLP-II subscales and PSS (N=28)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscales</th>
<th>Perceived Levels of Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health responsibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.395*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.387*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual Growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.571**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson correlation</td>
<td>-.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.  * *Significant at the p< 0.01 level; *significant at the p< 0.05 level.
Findings and Implications

- Descriptive statistics were utilized to summarize health promoting behaviors of nursing faculty using the HPLP II and the six subscales of the HPLP II (health responsibility, physical activity, nutrition, spiritual growth, interpersonal relations, and stress management).

- The analysis indicated lower scores were reported for health responsibility and nutrition.
Findings and Implications

The findings of the low health-responsibility subscale scores suggest the need for interventions to improve self-care (Pender et al., 2011).

The findings of the low nutrition subscale scores suggest the need for interventions to promote healthier food choices (Pender et al., 2011).
Findings and Implications

- Descriptive statistics were analyzed to summarize perceived levels of stress using the PSS survey.

- The study indicating the overall perceived levels of stress experienced by nurse faculty were somewhat high, and were indicative of chronic stress, in contrast to episodic stress.

- The findings suggest the need for interventions to decrease levels of perceived stress (Cohen, 2014).
Findings and Implications

- Correlational analysis determined the relationship of health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress.

- The study indicated health-promoting behavior does inversely affect perceived levels of stress.

- The findings suggest the need for health promoting behaviors to manage and decrease perceived levels of stress.
This quantitative descriptive correlational study sought to identify a relationship between health promoting behaviors of nursing faculty and their perceived levels of stress.

A statistically significant, moderately strong negative correlation between health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress was identified.

The more health promoting behaviors an individual utilizes, the lower their perceived level of stress.

The study identified nurse faculty in North Carolina as having lower health promotion behavior scores for health responsibility and nutrition, subscales of the HPLP II.

The study also showed nurse faculty in North Carolina had somewhat high levels of perceived stress according to the PSS.
Generalizations

- Work-related stress is commonly present.
- Stress of the role is significant (Thoits, 2010).
- Chronic stress over time may potentiate the onset of chronic disease (Thoits, 2010).
- Complexity in coping with expectations can hinder health promotion practices (Laal & Aliramaie, 2010).
Recommendations

- Education and increased awareness

- State of the Science Resources:
  - Women: Stay Healthy at Any Age
  - Men: Stay Healthy at Any Age
  - U.S. Department of Agriculture Dietary Guidelines (USDA, 2015)
  - ChooseMyPlate.gov
  - SuperTracker.usda.gov
  - Worksite Wellness Toolkit

- Stress Management (American Heart Association, 2016)
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (USDHHS, 2014)
Limitations

- Small sample size
- 2 Surveys
- Timing of surveys
Future recommendations

- Research to test health promoting interventions and their effects, random controlled trials
- Research to investigate long-term maintenance of healthy behaviors
- Curriculum implementation
Conclusions

- The study used a quantitative descriptive correlational research design to answer the proposed research questions and test the research hypothesis.

- Data analysis depicted a statistically significant, moderately strong negative relationship between health promoting behaviors and perceived levels of stress.

- The null hypothesis was rejected and it was concluded that there is a statistically significant, moderately strong negative correlation between health promoting behaviors of nursing faculty and their perceived levels of stress.

- Health promoting behavior does inversely affect perceived levels of stress.

- The need for interventions to improve health promoting behaviors and decrease stress among nursing faculty was recommended.
Questions ?
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