

ATINER CONFERENCE PRESENTATION SERIES No: PHI2021-0235

ATINER's Conference Paper Proceedings Series

PHI2021-0235

Athens, 18 April 2022

The New Scholastic and the Critique of Environmental Reason

António dos Santos Queirós

Athens Institute for Education and Research
9 Chalkokondili Street, 10677 Athens, Greece

ATINER's conference paper proceedings series are circulated to promote dialogue among academic scholars. All papers of this series have been presented at one of ATINER's annual conferences according to its acceptance policies (<http://www.atiner.gr/acceptance>).

© All rights reserved by authors.

ATINER's Conference Paper Proceedings Series

PHI2021-0235

Athens, 18 April 2022

ISSN: 2529-167X

António dos Santos Queirós, Researcher, Center of Philosophy, University of
Lisbon, Portugal

**The New Scholastic and the Critique of Environmental
Reason**

ABSTRACT

Political philosophy cannot ignore the importance of the scientific definition of the concepts. This essay analyze the preconceived notion that reserve to philosophy the challenge about “what it means to be” and assigns to the domain of science the study of “phenomenological causes”, concluding that can lead to the old Mechanistic and to a kind of a New Scholastic. Separate Science and Philosophy, in this context, means not recognize the dialectic between the two different kinds of thinking. And the consequences are the Single Thought and a Global Alienation, that returns into the core of Political Philosophy debate.

Keywords: political philosophy, alienation, single thought, new scholastic, human rights

Introduction

Fallacies and Alienation - Political Philosophy

The social media, and the social networks, in the time of the society of information and knowledge, they are the tools that can create a global alienation, and their favorite resource is the fallacy.

Fallacy 1: The media does not show reality, rather, by taking a part of this reality, they select what we will never see, blocked that is our gaze, by the images chosen by the newsrooms, which were in turn recruited by the shareholders or by the minister of guardianship.¹

At some point, the media stops talking about the problem, and it is like it is extinguishing, or it ceased to exist.

The newsrooms of the media of small dependent countries do not produce contents, so they are subject to half a dozen gigantic international monopolies.

It resists, the footprint journalists and media that they were investigating the political and social context, that they were using checking the sources, and they were promoting the contradictory_ which is not synonymous with pluralism. But a trail... which tends to disappear, destroyed by the competition and capital concentration in this sector.

Pluralism is the substitute of the contradictory, at the same mode as plastic is a substitute for noble materials, it gives us the illusion of the free debate of different ideas, but in reality, it is almost always a choir, modeled with different shades of the same polyphony.

This is the metaphor of single thought, wrapped in the old role of pluralism, which isolates and protects it from contradictory and critical thinking.

In politics, the exact same is happening, especially with the bipartisan system, of two dominant parties that form the so-called arc of power; in fact, it is not an arch, but a two-legged beast, which walks in fact, side by side and always towards the same destiny: economic and financial war, military war, leaving behind a path of environmental crisis and an ethical emptiness.

Fallacy 2: The same phenomenon develops on social networks: where powerful propaganda organizations, the most powerful states and multinationals, the completely invisible counter-information services are covered by the multitude of billions of “free” users and act at will, protected by the absence of legal or moral norms and regulations, “liberated” from any national or international legal framework.

The clearest example of this apparent freedom of expression is the control of 56% of the capital of the Facebook conglomerate, Instagram, WhatsApp, by a single shareholder, Mark Zuckerberg, who gathers 25,000 controllers to

¹Fallacies are arguments that intentionally present themselves as unfalsifiable, true, and serious, and that resort to simplistic thoughts and half-truths, quotations, and false facts, to deceive the critical awareness of the interlocutor; wanting to seem so evident that they can only be correct. They can also be called sophistries, which are reasoning malicious, but coated with intellectual and philosophical varnish, to intimidate or seduce the interlocutor.

decide imperially what is the good and bad information accessible to 3 billion users.

And he reimburses the advice of wise men to apply the façade of democracy over the monster.

Fallacy 3: TV, radio, and press, (and multimedia in general) do not align your grids, programs, news, and products, according to the taste of the public, they create the taste of the public. And, after that creation, they choose the dominant programs by the number of viewers, listeners and readers, the so-called “share”.

In fact, the public is neither heard nor found for anything and are the choices of newsrooms, shareholders, ministers... who they creates the products that, consumed, generate the taste, so that these choices shape a cultural taste, imposing on him the vision of the world and the ethical and aesthetic values from the leading ideology.

But most often, the products and contents offered are simply futile, unaesthetic, amorphous, and pseudoscientific, that is, alienating.

Consequently, cinema as one seventh art no longer has space on the market and survives on its margins.

Political Philosophy. Economy or Political Economy?

The Rectification of Names, it was a philosophical movement promote by the philosophy of Confucius (China, 551 BC-479 BF), as an imperative to build a conceptual language that representative of the flux of “the truth of things”, above all during the troubled times and the civilization crises (Confucius, 2009).

Now, we live again, in a trouble and dangerous world. Never in the History of mankind a financial and economic system had so powerful to control the state and the conscience of the people, monopolizing and controlling the social media and social networks: the possibility of global alienation is their consequence.

First of all, is necessaire analyses the concept of “economy” that replaced the historical concept of “political economy”.

The single thought separates economy and politic, to drive economy to own political aims, disregard the heritage of Adam Smith, Ricardo, Marx, or Keynes.

Banks drive its core business from the credit support of industry, commerce and services, and families to the creation of derivative products, investing in public-private partnerships and sovereign debt speculation.

World Debt-to-GDP ratio rose to 318% in 2018 (Bloomberg), a record of \$247 trillion of USA, what mean that 2/3 of the value of derivative products is speculative. That dysfunction of real economy and the excess of offer, will be one of fundamental causes of new financial and economic crises, feeding a cycle that is more and more close and violent.

When the financial markets market was deregulated, emerging hidden Hedge Funds that enter in unfair competition with traditional banks,

consequently the banks adopted much of strategies of Financial Funds operating from fiscal paradises.

Never in the cycle of fall of the empires, could the masters of those imperial states have accessed a so terrible weapons electronic weapons, biological weapons, nuclear weapons, chemical weapons, that they are already used and will be used to perpetuate its hegemony and resist to the end, supported by the moral doctrine of utilitarianism.

Philosophy Core

“What it means to be”?

The Aristotelian Man is not only a philosophical abstraction, but also a citizen; Aristotle’s thought builds a bridge between two dimensions of human being, the philosophy of existence and political philosophy (Aristole, 1984).

Aristotle maintains that only on the city-state human beings attains the limit of good life, which means accept the law and justice authority of the community. The moral void, that the Cartesian philosophy does not occupied, it is not inevitable consequence of the abandonment of divine conception of human nature and its ontological, ethical, and anthropological unity; even in classical Western philosophy, in parallel with the Aristotelian thought, other concepts of moral emerged without religious foundation, but never becoming dominant.

Such was the case of Epicurus, which work we know only a few fragments, that is singularly modern in its appeal to the altruism in relation to the “other” and concerning the possession of material goods, the practice of gender equality in the gardens of the philosophy and above all the recognition of the intrinsic meaning of life liberated from the heuristics of fear ... of death.

Backing to the advent of the modern age, the thought of Bento de Espinosa surmounted the dichotomy between the subjectivity and the nature, without breaking that unity; the concept of extension of the categories of God Substance and God Nature, unifies the being and the duty, without putting the Man above nature and under its domain. However not denies the autonomy of reason that Kant would elevate to a higher grade; furthermore, is that potentiality to liberate the power of rationality and human autonomy, from the philosophical perspective of the unity of Substance and Nature, that do not consent no one privileged status to the human species.²

²Quoted from initial texts, with successive renovations, from the international colloquium, Philosophy in the twentieth century, organized by the Center of Philosophy of the University of Lisbon, in 2012, under the title “The dawning of the Environmental Ethics in the 21st century”, and from the XXIII World Congress of Philosophy, Athens, in 2013. Revisited in the World Congress of Philosophy on the topic: “The philosophy of Aristotle, Critique of Environmental Ethics and Moral in the 21st century”, Athens, 2016.

Environmental Ethics, Enlarging the Concepts of Community and Person, New Duties Face Nature, Land Ethics and Animal Ethics

Separate Science and Philosophy, mean not recognize the dialectic between the two different kinds of thinking.

Before them, Vladimir Vernadsky (1886–1943) viewed the biosphere and the conditions under which life emerged on our planet as an inseparable component of a certain structure of the Earth's crust and its degree of organization.

Academician Marov, the head of the Department of Cosmochemistry, at the Russian Academy of Sciences', wrote:

“Vernadsky identified the boundaries of the biosphere as well as its composition, energetics, and dynamics. He included in the biosphere the upper part of the lithosphere to a depth of 2-3 km, which contains living bacteria, the hydrosphere, and the lower part of the atmosphere. Within the biosphere he distinguished two component types of matter: minerals, which he termed “inert,” and living matter. The morphology of inert matter (its chemical composition and physical state) is preserved unchanged in the course of geological time, while living matter, both in totality and in its individual forms, undergoes continual change in the process of the biosphere's evolution as an integrated system. Vernadsky considered living matter, the active component of the biosphere, to be the carrier of free energy in the biosphere's geochemical processes, viewing certain forms of homogeneous living matter that have remained unchanged for billions of years (such as some species of *Radiolaria* that have been unchanged since the Algonkian Era, or the genus *Lingula*, unchanged since the Cambrian Era) as exceptions. At the same time, he rejected the existence of any special zones between living and non-living matter, advancing the empirical generalization that “there are no transitions between living and inert natural bodies of the biosphere: the boundary between them has been sharp and clear during the entire span of geological history. ... Matter in the biosphere is comprised of two states, which differ materially and energetically, living, and inert.” (Marov, 2013, p. 3)

Aldo Leopold based the intrinsic moral values of all beings and entities of nature in scientific laws that prescribes the dynamic balance of ecosystems, who do not can be sustained if we preserve no more than the plants and animals that have a value of merchandising.

“...The land ethic simply enlarges the boundaries of the community to include soils, water, plants, and animals, or collectively: the land”. (Leopold, 1949)

“I propose the use of ‘person’ to beings rational and self-conscious, to incorporate the elements of the common sense of human being that are not covered by member of species *Homo Sapiens*”. (Singer, 1993)

From the first UN environmental conferences, held in Stockholm in 1972, emerged the principle of a “common house” “... man has two homelands, his own and planet Earth”; the principle of a planetary community and solidarity,

founders of a new international order (political and ethical order) and the principle of defending life on the planet and its biodiversity before humanism. (UNCHE, 1972).

Those principles build a first frontier line with the cultural and political perspective of ethnocentrism.

The critical perspective of environment philosophy toward the ethnocentrism claims:

“Ethnocentrism is an emotionally conditioned approach that considers and judges other societies by their own culture’s criteria. It is easy to see that this attitude leads to contempt and hate of all ways of life that are different from that of the observer.” (Dias, 1961)

The critique of ethnocentrism not only justifies the respect for all national cultures and all forms of classical and popular cultural expression, but also rejects any notion of superiority from a certain model of society, race, or ethnicity.

In the historical context of the industrial revolution and contemporary technical and scientific revolution, emerged the utilitarian philosophy, and the moral of the best benefit or the minimum damage. But also, are systematized the Environmental Philosophy and the Environmental Ethics. Their philosophical critique against anthropocentrism put in question the liberal ideology and the liberal police, supported by the religious vision that gives to man, elected creature by God to preside over the divine creation, the absolute right to take ownership of nature for their purposes, without any limit or restriction.

Contribution for the Critic of the Single Thought

From the end (?) of Cold War emerged “The single thought”, characterized by:

The omission of History and the proclamation of the end of History (liberal democracy would be the last political regime!); the end of national sovereign, the end of national welfare...

Amputation and manipulation of Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that remain largely unknown and identified with the neoliberal thesis or liberal democracy.

The falsification and the manipulation of the concept of State (the concept of communist State is a fabrication of the “cold war”, completely strange to the communist ideology)

The lack of international law, sovereign organs, modern State...United Nations, and the overcame of global institutions note elected, FMI, World Bank and NATO over United Nations institutions, Eurogroup and European Central Bank over European Parliament, International Rating Agency over National Banks and National States...

The deregulation of the market (labor market deregulation, economic market deregulation, fiscal deregulation...), fiscal paradises, restricted cartel of the giant banks, vulture funds.

The globalization of a hegemonic economic model, private, served by automation and robotization without limits and reparations.

The mass media concentration and social networks in the hands of a restricted oligarchy, driven to political manipulation and hidden business.

The main responsibility toward environmental crises assigned to China and new developing countries.

The vocational mission of the University to the fundamental research and to develop several lines of thought, driven by the economic utilitarianism and the neoliberal dogmas.

The Omission of History and the Proclamation of the End of History

Fukuyama fundamental thesis, in the book *The End of History and the Last Man*, is not about the end of ideologies, but a celebration of the triumph of liberal democracy doctrines (and the US-UK model of democracy) over socialist ideology and socialist regimens from the East of Europe, in the context of the fall of URSS and European Popular Republics, celebrated by Milton Freedman as the triumph of political and economic neoliberalism.

The master idea of Fukuyama was the same of Churchill, the conservative first minister of UK that win the war against Nazism but not understand the changes of the post-war world and inside your own country: The national movements pushing to decolonization, against racism and social discriminations, and fighting for a new international order, peaceful and democratic. It was defeat in the first elections after the end of war in Europe.

Churchill proclaims in the House of Commons (11 November 1947)

“Many forms of Government have been tried and will be tried in this world of sin and woe. No one pretends that democracy is perfect or all-wise. Indeed, it has been said that democracy is the worst form of Government except for all those other forms that have been tried from time to time.” (Churchill, 2007, 574)

At the same time, he declared³:

“From Stettin in the Baltic to Trieste in the Adriatic, an Iron Curtain has descended across the continent. Behind that line lie all the capitals of the ancient states of Central and Eastern Europe. Warsaw, Berlin, Prague, Vienna, Budapest, Belgrade, Bucharest and Sofia, all these famous cities and the populations around them lie in what I must call the Soviet sphere.” (Churchill, 2007)

Churchill's doctor Lord Moran reveals that Churchill suggesting in 1946 that the United States make a pre-emptive atomic bomb attack on Moscow

³Speaking on 5 March 1946 at Westminster College in Fulton, Missouri.

while the Soviet Union did not yet possess nuclear weapons and in 1947, he put the idea in a memo to President Truman.⁴

In the end of II World War, the thought and ideas of more powerful Western liberal leaders reserve full democracy to the old (UK) and new (USA) imperial countries, the white supremacy and racism is preserved inside those national and international communities and justify that democracy was not for all countries and nations. The fair of communism would be the following great idea.

Reducing the world contradictions to a clash between two blocs only was possible, during more than 50 years, with the omission of Non-Aligned Movement (115 democracies) and ignoring the differences of Socialism with Chinese Characteristics (and other socialisms and democracies) and the fall of the USSR and its block. .

That omission of History is the same in our time, the time of Fukuyama and Friedman.

The diffusion of the master idea about the possibility of non-evolution historical of political regimes, is really a manifestation of political alienation and a signal of dogmatism, representing a model of thought that is in the origin of the crises not only in the doctrine of socialism but too in the core of liberalism doctrine, from the last to the present century.

Amputation and Manipulation of Universal Declaration of Human Rights

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the UN on December 10, 1948 (A/RES/217), initially drafted by J. P. Humphrey of Canada, had in Dr. P.C. Chang, representative of China and the positions of Asian countries, the main mediator of the consensus established in its 30 articles.

By this time, the Kuomintang militarist coalition had already abandoned the government of national unity that faced and defeated the Japanese occupation and launched China into a new civil war, convinced that the numerical superiority of its army and U.S. military support would give it victory,

The document of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights then corresponded to a great yummy of peace, independence, and social justice, common to all the peoples and nations of the world.

All 30 articles, which enshrine fundamental democratic rights, as the right to work and social welfare, the equality of gender and face the law, have the same political dimension and are determinate for two ethical imperatives that the Declaration proclaims in its preamble, the imperative of dignity and the imperative of peace:

“recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world;”

⁴In his book *Churchill, The Struggle for Survival*.

That dignity will be protected ... “if man is not to be compelled to have recourse, as a last resort, to rebellion against tyranny and oppression” and “should be protected by the rule of law”. It will only be defended with “...the development of friendly relations between nations.”

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the UN on December 10, 1948 (A/RES/217), written in two hands by J. P. Humphrey of Canada and Dr. P.C. Chang, representative of China, in none of its articles enshrines the model of liberal democracy as the ideal model of political democracy. And the issue of democracy cannot be reduced to the issue of formal “political freedoms”. What article 21 prescribes is the path to citizenship and the diversity of democratic regimes.

“Article 21

1. Everyone has the right to take part in the government of his country, directly or through freely chosen representatives.
2. Everyone has the right to equal access to public service in his country.
3. The will of the people shall be the basis of the authority of government; this will shall be expressed in periodic and genuine elections which shall be by universal and equal suffrage and shall be held by secret vote or by equivalent free voting procedures.”

This political vision, of the diversity of democratic regimes, born in different historical conditions, continues in the political thinking of all Chinese leaders and now in Xi Jinping.

In the act of foundation of the People's Republic of China (in 1949) this was already the proclaimed path, that of a New Democracy, which was later enshrined in Article 6 of the Constitution, which defines the original concept of "Socialism with Chinese Characteristics", based on the System of Multiparty Cooperation and Political Consultation and the replacement of the economic system of exploitation of man by man by the joint development of the diversified property economy, with the predominance of public property.

The Common Programme, founder of the People's Republic of China_PRC in 1949 and then the Constitution of the People's Republic of China, integrated all the articles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

In the 2004 Constitutional Review, the entire Chinese nation, represented in the National People's Assembly and the Political Consultative Conference of the Chinese People, at the initiative of the PCCh and with the support of the other eight political parties and personalities without party affiliation, recorded in the fundamental law its determination to strengthen and apply the principles emanating from the Declaration:

- “Private property obtained legally by citizens should not be violated”
- “The state respects and protects human rights.”

Let us remember the political context from which the five principles of peaceful coexistence of New China emerged.

Chu-En-Lai, then Minister of Foreign Affairs, proposed to the world, divided from the Cold War, and threatened by nuclear holocaust, the Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence (1954): 1) mutual respect for sovereignty and national integrity; 2) non-aggression 3) non-intervention in the internal affairs of one country by another; 4) equality and reciprocal benefits and 5) peaceful coexistence between states with different social and ideological systems. The Movement of Non-Aligned Countries (1961) adopted them as their own and the two superpowers saw in their own countries the rebirth of social movements for peace.⁵

The principles of Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), freedom, justice and peace in the world, the development of friendly relations between nations, was quickly abandoned, but the memory of the tragedy of II War and the desire of democracy and peace was very strong around the world, so propaganda would be indispensable to justify new military confrontations.

In the Korean War (1950-1953) a conflict of Cold War, Douglas MacArthur the general commandant in chief of USA and allied troops, wants to develop a global strategy to extend the struggle directly to China and URSS and gain military supremacy with the utilization of tactical atomic weapons. It was dismissed, accused of insubordination and the menace was deferred.

“It seems strangely difficult for some to realize that here in Asia is where the communist conspirators have elected to make their play for global conquest, and that we have joined the issue thus raised on the battlefield; that here we fight Europe’s war with arms while the diplomats there still fight it with words; that if we lose the war to communism in Asia the fall of Europe is inevitable, win it and Europe most probably would avoid war and yet preserve freedom. As you pointed out, we must win. There is no substitute for victory”.⁶ (James, 1985)

The Cold War was transformed on a global confrontation, ideological, political, military in restrict scale and, obviously, in the battlefield of economy.

In 1962, Friedman criticized the Welfare System in his book *Capitalism and Freedom* arguing that it had created welfare dependency and propose private charity as one recourse for alleviating poverty.

Friedman doctrine clashes with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):

“Article 22”.

Everyone, as a member of society, has the right to social security and is entitled to realization, through national effort and international co-operation and in accordance with the organization and resources of each State, of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.”

⁵Consulting <http://www.zhouenlaipeaceinstitute.org/five-principles-of-peace-2/history/>.

⁶*Letter to Representative Martin of Massachusetts*:(From Congressional Record of April 5, 1951).

“Article 25.

Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control.”

Friedman’s became the main opposite of Keynesian government policies. He theorized that there existed a “natural” rate of unemployment and argued that unemployment below this rate would cause inflation to accelerate. Friedman economic doctrine promote macroeconomic “monetarism”, negative taxation, privatization, and deregulation.

In an April 21, 1975, as adviser of the dictator Pinochet, Friedman can apply its neoliberal program:

“There is only one way to end inflation: by drastically reducing the rate of increase of the quantity of money ... cutting government spending is by far and away the most desirable way to reduce the fiscal deficit”. (Choosing) “a brief period of higher unemployment”... because it ... strengthens the private sector.”⁷

A recipe that is extended to all America Central, America Latina and Europa. Friedman was an advisor of Republican President Ronald Reagan and Conservative British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher. His political philosophy celebrated the virtues of “free market”, what means deregulation of economic and financial systems with minimal intervention of state, extended to all domains, such as a volunteer military, freely floating exchange rates, abolition of medical licenses, a negative income tax and school vouchers, what means adding publicly operated schools with private management but publicly funded schools through a system of school vouchers.

Friedman favored immigration, because take jobs that most residents of this country are unwilling to take, suggesting that immigrants should not have access to the welfare system.

Once again clashes with UDHR:

“Article 23.

Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.”

The deregulation of economic and financial market, with an innumerable tax havens which provide cover for money laundering, the creation of hidden financial products, vulture financial funds, the end of separation between investment banks and commercial banks, represent the triumph of Friedman doctrine, not only in the range of conservative parties but involving socialist like UK Labour, from The Third Way of Tony Blair or American Democrats

⁷*Two Lucky People: Memoirs* by Milton Friedman, Rose D. Friedman. Appendix A, pp. 591–93. Letter from Friedman to Pinochet, April 21, 197.

with President Bill Clinton government. The financial crises of 2007-2008 was the consequence.

A brutal clashes against the UDHR too: In the US, despite the nominal GDP growth, the wealth of American citizens increasingly concentrated in a few scant 1% of the American population _ in 2006, this group of top received 53% of the income, and in 2010 came to 93%.

That means the concentration of capital and property, the loss of public propriety and the expropriation of middle class_ entrepreneurs, farmers, workers, houses, cars, pensions, savings...

“Article 17.

(1) Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others.

(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.”

The US Census Bureau reported that 16% of the U.S. population lived in poverty. What is worse is that extreme poverty _ of those who live on less than 2 dollars a day, was twice of 1966, growing from 1.5 million to 2.8 million children and families!⁸

In 2020, the UN Commission of Human Rights account 40 million of peoples living in poverty on the USA, but the national and civil institutions that fight against poverty considering 140 million that cannot access to the essential commodities, in conformity of the concept of the PPP_ Power Purchasing Parities, as healthy cares, a real house, food and clothes, secondary education.....

The theory of Friedman of tendencies to reducing poverty is not confirmed in its own country!

“Article 25

Motherhood and childhood are entitled to special care and assistance. All children, whether born in or out of wedlock, shall enjoy the same social protection.”

The actual crises of emigration, with the refugee refusal by repressive means in the border of USA and Europe, carries further the abandonment of the UDHR:

“Article 14.

(1) Everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution.

(2) This right may not be invoked in the case of prosecutions genuinely arising from non-political crimes or from acts contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.”

“Article 15.

(1) Everyone has the right to a nationality.

⁸Bell, Daniel A. *The China Model Political Meritocracy and the Limits of Democracy*. Princeton University Press. 2015.

(2) No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his nationality nor denied the right to change his nationality.

Why focused the political debate concerning UDHR in China? To divert attention, because Peoples Republic of China is unknown and the prejudices from Cold War does not disappear.”

Diplomatic Conflict Between USA and China Over Xinjiang and Human Rights

On July 8, 2019, a group of 22 states issued a joint letter to the 41st session of the United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC), which condemned China’s mass detention of Uyghurs and other minorities in the Xinjiang region of northwest China.

Just four days later, on July 12, 2019, another group of 37 states issued a competing letter to the UNHRC that backed the PRC’s policies in the Xinjiang region (Xinhua, July 13). This letter asserted that “the work of [UNHRC] should be conducted in an objective... non-confrontational and non-politicized matter,” and expressed “firm opposition to relevant countries’ practice of politicizing human rights issues, by naming and shaming, and publicly exerting pressures on other countries.” This letter commended “China’s remarkable achievements” in “protecting and promoting human rights through development.” The letter further “call[ed] on relevant countries to refrain from employing unfounded charges against China,” and urged the UNHRC to approach the Xinjiang situation “in an objective and impartial manner... with true and genuinely credible information” (UNHRC, July 12).

One signatory state, Qatar, subsequently withdrew its support. However, by the time the Second Letter was re-issued later in July, representatives of thirteen additional states and the Palestinian Authority had added their support, bringing the total number of signatories to fifty.

Looking at the “22” and “50” groups of countries bring us three key observations. The first observation is that, in the latter group, 23 Islamic-majority states backed the PRC, Chinese politic in Xinjiang. Turkey did not sign this Letter, but only a few days before the statement was published Turkey’s President Erdogan claimed that “residents of various ethnicities living happily in the Xinjiang Uighur Autonomous Region thanks to China’s prosperity is a hard fact, and Turkey will not allow anyone to drive a wedge in its relations with China” (Al-Araby, July 3).⁹

Second observation. In the American side, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and 19 European countries. Not a single Muslim country. It is noteworthy that the United States itself did not participate in the “22” group letter. Traditional allies that host U.S. military bases, such as Bahrain, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia, not subscribe the accusations of USA, because do not exist founded charges against China, they know that US government and European Union do not accept the invitations from Chinese government to send their

⁹Quoted from <https://jamestown.org/program/the-22-vs-50-diplomatic-split-between-the-west-and-china-over-xinjiang-and-human-rights/>. The political analyses of the two letters are quite different to the authors of the article published Jamestown Foundation.

official representatives to visit Xinjiang; because their own missions, representatives, tourists, journalists, came regularly to the autonomous region during the terrorist period and after the eradication of terrorism; many of them suffering the same types of terrorist aggression, the manipulation of Islamic religion, like Pakistan, Egypt, Iraq, Syria, Nigeria, Sudan, Ethiopia Mozambique... not for pragmatic reasons of business. China commerce police is based on the five principles of peaceful coexistence, not depends of the ideology of the regimes but refuse the foreign interference in their sovereignty. And the last, but not the least, because those Islamic countries and the group of 50 have not illusions that the only thing that move the American government is the conservation of USA hegemony over the entire world, and the time for a multipolar international order, arrived!

Third observation. Is not credible that some of the 22 governments countries are sincerely compromised with the application of the principles and 30 articles of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in own country, to the Muslims that live there and to the unknown Uigur and other 46 nationalities of Xinjiang Autonomous Region. For a crucial reason, that was systematize for Kenneth Roth Executive Director of the unsuspected (?) Human Rights Watch: “Traditionally, these (Human Rights) are seen as only civil and political rights, such as freedom of expression, the right to a fair trial, the right not to be tortured. But it ends there (in the U.S.).”¹⁰

Is the same thing, to the 22 governments.

The new government of Bidden, pushed by the politic of Senate, radicalize the accusations to the absurd of Genocide. Without consistent proves, not listen United Nations UNHRC, and after all the fake news are denied for independent observers and reports, without accepted China invitation to send their officials to visit Xinjiang.

The Falsification and the Manipulation of the Concept of State

The fallacy of the “Communist State”. The case of PRC

The Cold War brought not only the heuristics of fear of nuclear weapons, justified for the “balance of terror” and those mass production and deadly development, but a new battleground, the ideological confrontation, that invaded all social areas, stating that nowhere the neutrality would be saved, and thus mobilized churches and universities, did not spare neither science nor arts and, above all, monopolized the modern media and social networks.

The concept of “Communist State” is a product of that war without truce or limits, completely foreign to the original Marxist doctrine, or tendency and evolution. Marx advocated the construction of a new Communist social order without the necessity of a coercive apparatus of citizens, the State as an instrument of powerful serving the dominant class (Marx and Engels, 1962).

Marx, taking lessons from the insurrection of the Paris Commune (1871), theorized a new transitional power system, the “Comuna” a people’s assembly

¹⁰Kenneth Roth, Executive Director of HRW, about the 2021 REPORT.

with the leaders elected and renewable permanently in popular assemblages, without privilege status, served by a military force not an army of barracks, but formed by all citizens who take guns to defend their ideals. During the Russian revolutions of 1917 and 1918 emerged spontaneously those popular assemblies, but now in a new political context, named “Soviets”.

The October 1918 proletarian Soviet revolution, led by the Bolsheviks, was the first revolution that created, on a permanent basis and through extensive polling, new structures of power, controlled by the “Vanguard’s workers politicized” and by their organic intellectuals that came from the middle class - the Soviets, which, as Marx pointed out, emerged spontaneously in the Paris Commune in the form of committees of representatives elected directly by universal suffrage and popular straight.

During the period of the democratic revolution in China, the working class has been replaced by the great mass of the peasants, and the first Chinese Soviets emerged in rural areas controlled by the Red Army, as the embryonic instruments of a new State to carry out land reform in China and win military warlords and semi feudal big landowners. During the war against Japanese occupation and the constitution of a national front, those soviets were driven by a three quarters committee: a third of communists, a third of nationalists and a third of other democrats and representatives of peasants. That structure was preserved after the war and transformed in the Chinese People’s Political Consultative Conference (CPPCC):

The Socialism with Chinese Characteristics that Mao theorized as a long March, a New Democracy founded by People's Republic of China, begins!

The Communist utopia (the extinction of the State with the advent of world communism) would be an ideal for the future, that will come with the end of any kind of oppressive State but could not be a regimen to establish immediately: this regime would be the “Socialism with Chinese Characteristics”, adapted at the historical conditions of this vast country with 56 nationalities, the cradle of the first civilizations of mankind, 5.000 years aged.

The Lack of International Law, Sovereign Organs, Modern State

The Monroe Doctrine

The Monroe Doctrine was articulated in President James Monroe's seventh annual message to Congress on December 2, 1823. The European powers, according to Monroe, were obligated to respect the Western Hemisphere as the “United States' sphere of interest”. The doctrine warns European nations that the United States would not tolerate further colonization or puppet monarchs. The doctrine was conceived to meet major concerns of the moment, but it soon became a watchword of U.S. policy in the Western Hemisphere.¹¹

¹¹*Milestone Documents* [Washington, DC: The National Archives and Records Administration, 1995] pp. 26–29.

Monroe doctrine (XIX) reborned on interventionist doctrine in Eurasia (Mackinder, Kennan, Spykman, Brzezinski, XX), developed by the Eisenhower Doctrine, Reagan Doctrine... expand the USA “global interest” around the world.

US create the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in 1949 to fight against “communist expansion”, but in fact to oppose all political movements and revolutions that could be hors of control of the so-called American way of Life, freedom concept and liberal democracy.

Yugoslav, Iraq, Libya, and Syria are the most recent military interventions, justified for fake news and without the authorization of United Nations.

The principles of the doctrine were so broad that it was Obama president that state an executive order that designates Republic Bolivarian of Venezuela as an “unusual and extraordinary threat” to national security” accompanied by US heavy sanctions (2015). Republican Government of Trump government menaces with a military intervention against the government Bolivarian of Venezuela, was once more politically justified by the Monroe doctrine and wants to impose a new interim president!

The Theory of “Limited Sovereignty”

The Brezhnev Doctrine of foreign policy, first and most clearly outlined by Sergei Kovalev in a September 26, 1968 Pravda article entitled Sovereignty and the International Obligations of Socialist Countries. Leonid Brezhnev reiterated it in a speech at the Fifth Congress of the Polish United Workers' Party on November 13, 1968.

“When forces that are hostile to socialism try to turn the development of some socialist country towards capitalism, it becomes not only a problem of the country concerned, but a common problem and concern of all socialist countries.”¹²

The propaganda of the Brezhnev government claim for “international socialist division of labor”, about “specialization and cooperation in the production of the countries of the socialist community”, etc. so-called “complex program of the further deepening and improvement of collaboration and development of the socialist economic integration of the CMEA member countries”. the unilateral development of the economies of other countries so that in everything –raw materials, technique and technology, fuel and markets to sell their commodities – they should be completely dependent on the Soviet Union and serve the Soviet economy as a monopoly area for the export of Soviet capital and commodities, and as a source of profits. Soviet Union is the only country among them which develops all the main branches of production on its own territory, and is not subject to “specialization”, whereas all the others are dependent on it in many vital branches and orientations of the development of the economy.

¹²J. Matthew Ouimet, *The Rise, and Fall of the Brezhnev Doctrine in Soviet Foreign Policy*. Chapel Hill and London: The University of North Carolina Press. pp. 88–97. 2003.

The principles of the doctrine were so broad that URSS government even used it to justify their military intervention in the non-Warsaw Pact nation, like Afghanistan in 1979.

A sort of ideological symmetry can be found in the imperial polices!

The Overcame of Global Institutions Note Elected

IMF came into formal existence in 1945 with 29 member countries and the goal of reconstructing the international payment system. From 1946 to the early 1970s, the Bretton Woods system made fixed currencies the norm; however, in 1971, the US decided no longer to uphold the dollar exchange at 1/35th of an ounce of gold and so its currency was no longer fixed.

Executive Board: 24 Executive Directors make up the Executive Board, seven countries each appoint an Executive Director: the United States, Japan, China, Germany, France, the United Kingdom, and Saudi Arabia. The remaining 17 Directors represent constituencies consisting of 2 to 23 countries. The Board membership and constituency is scheduled for periodic review every eight years.

World Bank technically is part of the United Nations system, but its governance structure is different: As of 15 November 2009, the United States held 16.4% of total votes, Japan 7.9%, Germany 4.5%, the United Kingdom 4.3%, and France 4.3%. As changes to the Bank's Charter require an 85% super-majority, the US can block any major change in the Bank's governing structure.

In addition, the World Bank is deeply implicated in contemporary modes of donor and NGO driven imperialism; another author, C. Caufield, criticizes the highly homogenized and Western recipes of "development" held by the Bank. Western approaches to life are adopted and traditional economic structures and values are abandoned. Poor countries cannot modernize without money and advice from abroad.¹³

An American law of 1945 give international financial institutions like the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, the same legal immunity that gives foreign Governments. That is, they cannot be held responsible for the consequences of its funding programs.¹⁴

The Eurogroup is the informal meeting of the finance ministers of the Eurozone, those 19 member states of the European Union (EU) which have adopted the euro as their official currency. It exercises political control over the currency and related aspects of the EU's monetary union such as the Stability and Growth Pact, without a clear mandate. Prior to the Lisbon Treaty, the Eurogroup had no legal basis and Protocol 14 (2009) only mentioned the informal discuss of the "questions related to the specific responsibilities they share with regard to the single currency".

¹³Catherine Caufield. *Masters of Illusion: The World Bank and the Poverty of Nations*, 1997.

¹⁴See *A Decade of American Foreign Policy 1941-1949* International Organizations Immunities Act, December 9, 1945.

During the euro crisis take on very large discretionary powers and limited democratic oversight.

European Central Bank primary objective, set out in Article 127 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, is to maintain price stability within the Eurozone, but this objective has never been defined in statutory law, and the HICP target can be termed ad-hoc.

Four seats were assigned to the largest members, France, Germany, Italy, and Spain. The Governing Council is the main decision-making body of the Eurosystem. It comprises the members of the Executive Board (six in total) and the governors of the National Central Banks of the euro area countries.

The Deregulation of the Market - The Dictatorship of the Hidden Financial Capital

Transnational corporations started to control more capital than nation-states in the 1980s.

The late 20th century age of globalization, deregulation of national labor markets following the OECD (1994) guidelines. Labour market “rigidities” were thought to be harmful in the face of international competition. The 2008–2009 financial chaos casted doubts on the benefits of financial market deregulation in the own neoliberal camp, arguing that deregulation exposes consumption to more risk, have a negative effect on country-level consumption, their welfare effect is negative on average, and particularly negative for low-wealth individuals.¹⁵

After the politic of austerity, the deregulation don't stop and is symbolic that was Poland, the first country from the East Europe that reversed the “socialism” into “democracy “, through a political movement directed by the Trade Union Confederation Solidarność, to decree the reversibility of an eight-hour working day, a historical right acquired by the working movement 150 years ago (Observatory, 2017).

On again the debate concerning deregulation is alienated from the issue of Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

“Article 24.

Everyone has the right to rest and leisure, including reasonable limitation of working hours and periodic holidays with pay.”

That is possible because the modern and scientific concept of Political Economy it was amputated and transformed in Economy!

What is the scientific object of studying Political Economy? It is to study how capital is generated and reproduced, and the role of each social class in that process of production and distribution.

The economy transformed in an abstraction of formulas and supposed iron laws, the moral attributes of same concepts, private is good, public is bad, or

¹⁵*Globalization: time to look at historic mistakes to plot the future*, by Joseph Stiglitz, in the Guardian Weekly.

vice versa, they are ideological concepts that masks the reality, they are alienating thought patterns.

Fiscal Paradises

There are more than 70 tax havens in the world. It has been estimated that up to half of world trade might be routed through tax havens, up to \$600 billion a year. The OECD has estimated that 60% of world trade takes place between multinational companies. More than half of this is thought to take place through tax havens; use of schemes to avoid.

The banks, lawyers and accountants who work in these territories are largely owned by or are associated with major partnerships and corporations located in the EU or the USA. This only happens because they profit from using these arrangements.

They encourage crime and corruption by providing the secrecy space in which it can happen; they encourage tax evasion by providing a tax free environment in which it can be claimed profits are earned when the real transactions take place elsewhere; they provide a safe home for “capital flight” money that wants to be hidden from prying eyes; they provide a space in which the regulations of the developed economies put in place to protect their citizens from abuse can be avoided by unscrupulous businesses.

World Bank and the IMF disbursed billion USD to fiscal paradises.¹⁶

Cartel of the Giant Banks

The Bank of New York Mellon will pay \$714 million to settle accusations that it cheated government pension funds and other investors for more than a decade. The authorities accused the bank of assuring clients that they would receive the best possible rate when executing a currency trade. In reality, the authorities said, the bank did just the opposite: It provided clients “prices that were at or near the worst interbank rates,” enabling the bank to make extra cash during the 2008 financial crisis.

The victims included New York City pension funds and prominent private investors, the authorities said. City investors included teachers and police officers, while the private investment funds belonged to the likes of Duke University and the Walt Disney Company. Goldman Sachs is paying its largest bill yet to resolve a government lawsuit related to the financial crisis.

The Goldman bank said that it had agreed to buy back \$3.15 billion in mortgage bonds from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to end a lawsuit filed in 2011 by the Federal Housing Finance Agency...

Most of the other 18 banks that faced similar suits from the housing agency have already reached settlements. The previous settlements have included penalties, which Goldman avoided.

¹⁶Source: *Tax Justice Network (TJN)*. <https://www.taxjustice.net/>.

Vulture Funds

Vulture funds have been criticized for profiting from countries that are in financial distress. The former UK Chancellor of the Exchequer, Gordon Brown, described vulture funds as “morally outrageous” for trying to benefit from debt relief programs for impoverished countries aimed at educating children and tackling the increasing poverty levels.

Vulture funds have average recovery rates of 5 to 20 times their initial investment, and this put their rates of return at 300% to 2,000%,

In a 2007 IMF report on vulture funds, it was reported that 11 out of 24 developing countries were involved in legal tussles with vulture funds.¹⁷

Vulture funds extend their action to the privatization of companies and banks, imposed by conditions of loans from FMI, World Bank and the European Troika.

Rating Agency

The credit rating industry is dominated by three big agencies, which control 95% of the rating business. The top firms include Moody’s Investor Services, Standard and Poor’s (S&P), and Fitch Group. Moody’s and S&P are located in the United States, and they dominate 80% of the international market. Fitch is located in the United States and London and controls approximately 15% of the global market. Morningstar Inc. has expanded its market share in recent times and is expected to feature in the “top four rating agencies.” The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) identified the big three agencies as the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSRO) in 1975.

The big three agencies came under heavy criticism after the global financial crisis for giving favorable ratings to insolvent institutions like Lehman Brothers. They were also blamed for failing to detect risky mortgage-backed securities that led to the collapse of the real estate market in the United States.

In a report titled “Financial Crisis Inquiry Report,” the big three rating agencies were accused of being the enablers of the 2008 financial meltdown. In a bid to tame the market dominance of the big three, Eurozone countries have encouraged financial firms and other companies to do their own credit assessments, instead of relying on the big three rating agencies.

The Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC) reached eight main conclusions¹⁸:

the failures of credit rating agencies were essential cogs in the wheel of financial destruction.

¹⁷Source: *CFI’s Financial Modeling and Valuation Analyst (FMVA)*, designed based on over 20 years of financial analyst training experience delivered by instructors at large global banks and institutions such as BlackRock, Credit Suisse, HSBC, JP Morgan, Deutsche Bank, Merrill Lynch, Bank of America, Citi, and more.

¹⁸Source: *CFI’s Financial Modeling and Valuation Analyst (FMVA)*.

The Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC) was a ten-member commission appointed by the leaders of the United States Congress with the goal of investigating the causes of the financial crisis of 2007–2010.

this financial crisis was avoidable.

widespread failures in financial regulation and supervision proved devastating to the stability of the nation's financial markets.

dramatic failures of corporate governance and risk management at many systemically important financial institutions were a key cause of this crisis.

a combination of excessive borrowing, risky investments, and lack of transparency put the financial system on a collision course with crisis.

the government was ill-prepared for the crisis, and its inconsistent response added to the uncertainty and panic in the financial markets.

there was a systemic breakdown in accountability and ethics collapsing mortgage-lending standards and the mortgage securitization pipeline lit and spread the flame of contagion and crisis.

over-the-counter derivatives contributed significantly to this crisis.

Automation and Robotization “Takes your Job Should Pay Taxes”

The wave of scientific revolution served by automation and robotization without limits and reparations and drive for a global privatization can't create more and best jobs for the majority of work classes.

The investment projects of multinational companies, supported by automation and robotization, which announce the creation of a large number of jobs, require a reassessment of the ratio of investment per job created and, when this ratio is established, reveal a low productivity if we take into account the cost of direct creation of each workplace, subsidies and tax exemptions granted by the local and national Government.

And we stress that the previous argument don't defends a reductive vision of the problem, because a great investment also means contributing indirectly to support the jobs of several suppliers of raw materials, machinery and equipment, and their generate revenues results in escalation of taxes that accrue to the State.

Questioning the common sense of productivity, the author invoke the Laws of the Social Productivity of Investment, emerging from the new economic and social framework born the fourth industrial revolution, which postulate

“The weight of the fixed capital investment is inversely proportional to the direct creation of jobs and the rate of job creation is lower the more advanced is the technology incorporated in the fixed capital” (Queirós, 2017).

In that context, I think, Bill Gates says: “The robot that takes your job should pay taxes”.¹⁹

The Globalization of a Hegemonic Economic Model

Two decades ago, it was published *Globalisation and Its Discontents*, a book that sought to explain why there was so much dissatisfaction with globalization within the developing countries. Now discontent with

¹⁹See in *Quartz*, <https://qz.com/911968/bill-gates-the-robot-that-takes-your-job-should-pay-taxes/>.

globalization has fueled in US and other advanced economies. And the author, former chief economist at the World Bank, wrote:

“There are three responses to globalized discontent with globalization. The first – call it the Las Vegas strategy – is to double down on the bet on globalization as it has been managed for the past quarter-century. This bet, like all bets on proven policy failures (such as trickle-down economics), is based on the hope that somehow it will succeed in the future.

The second response is Trumpism: cut oneself off from globalization, in the hope that doing so will somehow bring back a bygone world. But protectionism won't work. Globally, manufacturing jobs are on the decline, simply because productivity growth has outpaced growth in demand.

Even if manufacturing were to come back, the jobs will not. Advanced manufacturing technology, including robots, means that the few jobs created will require higher skills and will be placed at different locations than the jobs that were lost. Like doubling down, this approach is doomed to fail, further increasing the discontent felt by those left behind.

Trump will fail even in his proclaimed goal of reducing the trade deficit, which is determined by the disparity between domestic savings and investment. Now that the Republicans have got their way and enacted a tax cut for billionaires, national savings will fall and the trade deficit will rise, owing to an increase in the value of the dollar. (Fiscal deficits and trade deficits normally move so closely together they are called “twin” deficits.) Trump may not like it, but as he is slowly finding out, there are some things that even a person in the most powerful position in the world cannot control.

There is a third approach: social protection without protectionism, the kind of approach that the small Nordic countries took. They knew that as small countries they had to remain open. But they also knew that remaining open would expose workers to risk. Thus, they had to have a social contract that helped workers move from old jobs to new and provide some help in the interim.”²⁰

The Mass Media Concentration and Manipulation

Media analyst and University of California professor Ben Bagdikian published *The Media Monopoly* in 1983 about the growing concentration of ownership of news organizations. Bagdikian 2004 analysis indicates that Americans are served by 1,468 daily newspapers, 6,000 assorted magazines, 10,000 radio stations, 2,700 television and cable stations, and 2,600 book publishers that are under the aegis of five major multinational corporations!²¹ The U.S. government has sought to regulate media ownership through antitrust laws. However, the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and rules issued by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) do permit media concentration. In 2017, the FCC relaxed limits on TV station ownership and reversed a rule

²⁰Joseph E. Stiglitz is a Nobel laureate in Economics, University Professor at Columbia University, and Chief Economist of the Roosevelt Institute. His latest book is *Globalization and Its Discontents Revisited: Anti-Globalization in the Era of Trump*.

²¹*Media Concentration*. By John O. Omachonu and David Schultz. In *The First Amendment Encyclopedia*.

that prevented a single company from owning a newspaper and television and radio stations in the same town.

Berlusconi, the former prime minister of Italy has kept control of his media empire, turning its nose up conflict between his political and business interests. ...

Robert Murdoch is one of the most influential people in the media industry, with business interests that span television broadcasting and film production to newspapers and book publishing. Creator of a Worldwide Media Empire

On the other hand, Internet giants promise more diversity of media resources to ability many voices to speak. But they collect information to sell them for political campaigns and business advertising.

Facebook plans to integrate its messaging services on Instagram, WhatsApp, and Facebook Messenger. One single man, Mark Zuckerberg control 56% of the common capital

Internet, social networks, Facebook or Google promise freedom of opinion and free access, but there are rentable business and tools of manipulation and can invade our privacy at our own house.²²

According to American linguist and political activist, Noam Chomsky, media operate through 5 filters: ownership (reserved to multinational corporations, for their own profit), advertising (creating the necessity to buy merchandises), the media elite (propaganda news and opinion makers, promotion of leaders and fake news,, interpretation of facts and realities) flak (moving away the critical thought, exclude, eliminate) and the common enemy (the enemy choose by the representatives of financial, political and economic power).²³

“Fake News” are not a problem limited to the social networks. It is the practice of the great social media and the social networks. Remember the propaganda to justify the Iraqi invasion, the existence of weapons of mass destruction! Remember the propaganda to justify the military intervention against the Libya regime, protect the people from the repression of the government, refusing negotiations and a political solution. Retake in Syria, diabolizing the government of Bashar Hafez al-Assad and supporting and army all oppositionists’ groups, omitting the nature terrorist of ISIS and other fundamentalists, noticing the use of chemical weapons after the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) acceded to the stated that destruction was completed in Syria....

Returning to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights

²²Consulting <https://wikileaks.org/>. Remember the persecution of Julian Assange and the story of Edward Joseph Snowden an American fugitive, a former Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) employee, and former contractor for the United States government who copied and leaked highly classified information from the National Security Agency (NSA) in 2013. His disclosures revealed numerous global surveillance programs, many run by the NSA and the Five Eyes Intelligence Alliance with the cooperation of telecommunication companies and European governments.

²³*Manufacturing Consent*, by Edward S. Herman and Noam Chomsky. Pantheon Books, 1988. See excerpts from the book in book. <https://web.archive.org/web/20070314235027/>. http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Herman%20Manufac_Consent_Prop_Model.html.

“Article 19.

Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.”

The mass media and social networks concentration and manipulation signify a real and hidden intentional interference against the right to freedom of opinion and expression.

The Responsibility toward Environmental Crises

The development of the Chinese economy had as counterpart that People's Republic of China became the country most responsible for the largest amount of greenhouse gases emitted each year, the main cause of global warming. But let us look at the problem throughout all dimensions and historical evolution, based on one of the most reputable European agencies of the environment:

According to Dutch scientists of NEAA, if all CO₂ present in the atmosphere today was divided between the countries responsible for its emissions during the modern age, the United States would be blamed for 27% of the total, the European Union by 20%, while China only fit 8% (JRC/NEAA /PBL, 2016).

Also in 2003, the per capita emission of the USA was 19.8 tons of CO₂. In Australia, this number was 18 t, in Canada 17.9 t in Saudi Arabia, 13 t. China, Brazil and India have per capita emissions of 3.2 t, 1.2 t and 1.6 t respectively.

In raw numbers, in 2006, whereas only gases released by the burning of fossil fuels and cement production, the US had a production of 5.8 billion metric tons of CO₂ while China's production was 6.23 billion tons CO₂ metrics. Considering the per capita emission, the USA are representing 19.278 t CO₂ per capita, while China gets 4.763 T per capita.

It is true that China in your set pollutes more, but, as the Chinese are more than Americans, per capita values are below. So, and now in 2014, while each American on average issued 16.5 tons of carbon dioxide, a Chinese issued roughly half that amount 7.6 tons.

If it consider the role of China as the world center of recycle of plastics, computers and other very polluting goods, coming from Europa, USA and other development countries, that average would be more penalizing for them.

China has reduced the emission of carbon dioxide of carbon and the European Union increased it, here's an inconvenient truth, which contradicts the dominant propaganda in the media.

Committed to green development, China puts an enormous effort into pollution control and takes concrete steps to promote ecological progress toward a beautiful China. The 19th CPC National Congress sounded a clarion call to win the battle against pollution. The National Conference on Environmental Protection established Xi Jinping thought on ecological progress.

“University Management”, Under Utilitarianism and Neoliberal Dogmas

The University of Berlin was the first modern university, established in 1810. It was founded on the principles of academic freedom, the unity of research and teaching, and the primacy of research over vocational training.

It functioned as the archetype of universities around the world, for a century and a half.

Universities, throughout the 20th century, has evolved to a functional model of training, integrating progressively in its structures the Polytechnics but have developed this process to the detriment of another primary function, fundamental scientific research.

With the emergence of the society of knowledge and information, the society of continuous and global scientific and technical revolution, the society of financial and corporate concentration, it becomes even more imperative to restore the social function of the public University be the center of excellence in Fundamental Research.

The basis of Fundamental scientific research is the organization of faculty PhDs groups or that choose the path of doctorate in the light of the scientific objectives to pursue, not for administrative constraints for career progression. These researchers may be originated from diverse universities and convene around a mission and purpose of scientific research.

The results of this investigation should be returned to the University and society through the pursuit, in parallel, the teaching function and projects of sustainable development.

Creating a scientific elite, framed by the public University, meaning put at the service of the country of its economy and its population, the results of an advanced research that tends to be today suitable for large business conglomerates, through the registration of patents, products, and brands.²⁴

Conclusions

Nature shall be included in our field of moral reflection - our duties, before limited to human beings, shall be extended to other natural beings (Leopold, 1949). The environmental reason recognizes:

A planetary community: (UN, 1972)

The principles of a “common house” and “loyalty” to the earth

The imperative of dignity and the imperative of perpetual peace

Enlarges the concepts of Community and Person (Reagan and Singer, 1989)

Expands Ethics concept with the “Land Ethic” and the “Animal Ethics” (Lorenz, 1973).

²⁴António dos Santos Queirós. Challenges and mission of the Science museums of 3rd generation. In Memoriam Professor Doutor Fernando Bragança Gil, *Mátria Digital* n° 5 Magazine.

The “environmental reason” formulates a new categorical imperative for human action, beyond the Kantian maximum (Kant, 2006) of individual acting conformed with the principle of a universal law, a new ethical framework, which stems from the need to configure the human conduct within the limits that safeguard the continuity of life and its diversity (Jonas, 1984).

And first of all, formulating the imperative of a global ethics to a perpetual peace, because without peace, everything is lost, and first of all, freedom is lost.

References

- A Decade of American Foreign Policy 1941-1949* International Organizations Immunities Act, December 9, 1945. http://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/decad034.asp. Accessed 1 May 2021.
- Aristotle (1984). *The Complete Works of Aristotle*, English Translation, Jowett, Benjamin, The Revised Oxford Translation, vol. 2, ed. Jonathan Barnes. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bell, D. (2015). *The China Model Political Meritocracy and the Limits of Democracy*. Princeton University Press.
- Caufield, C. (1997). *Masters of Illusion: The World Bank and the Poverty of Nations*. Henry Holt & Co.
- CFI's *Financial Modeling and Valuation Analyst (FMVA)* <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/knowledge/finance/rating-agency/> <https://fcic.law.stanford.edu/report>. Accessed 1 May 2021.
- Chu-En-Lai. *Five Principles of Peaceful Coexistence* //www.zhouenlaipeaceinstitute.org/five-principles-of-peace-2/history/. Accessed 1 May 2021.
- Churchill, W. *Sinews of Peace (Iron Curtain)*. Churchill Centre. Archived from the original on 5 June 2009. Retrieved 26 February 2007. <https://winstonchurchill.org/resources/speeches/1946-1963-elder-statesman/the-sinews-of-peace/> Accessed 1 May 2021.
- Confucius (2009). Lun YÜ. *The Analects*. Translated with and introduction by D.C. Lau, Preface by A.C. Grayling, London: The Folio Society.
- Dias, J. (1961) *Estudos de Antropologia, Volume I, Uma introdução histórica à etnografia portuguesa*. (Studies in Anthropology, Volume I, A historical introduction to Portuguese ethnography). Lisboa: Imprensa Nacional-Casa da Moeda.
- Diplomatic split-between-the-west-and-china-over-xinjiang-and-human-rights*. Jamestown Foundation. 2021. <https://jamestown.org/program/the-22-vs-50-diplomatic-split-between-the-west-and-china-over-xinjiang-and-human-rights/>. Accessed 1 May.
- Environment. United Nations Conference on the Human Environment A/CONF.48/14/Rev.1* -June 1972 Stockholm, Sweden.
- European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC)/Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL). *Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR)*, release version 4.3.1, 2016. <http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=431>. Accessed 19.02.2017.
- Friedman, M. (1975) *Two Lucky People: Memoirs* by Milton Friedman, Rose D. Friedman. Appendix A, pp. 591–93. Letter from Friedman to Pinochet, April 21, 1975.
- Friedman, M. (1992). *Capitalism and Freedom*. University of Chicago Press, 1992.

- Fukuyama, F. (1989). The end of history? In: The national interest, (16): 3–18. USA.
- Gates, B. Interview of Bill Gates, *Quartz*. By Kevin J. Delaney February 17, 2017. <https://qz.com/911968/bill-gates-the-robot-that-takes-your-job-should-pay-taxes/>.
- Herman, E. S. and Chomsky, N. (1994) *Manufacturing consent: the political economy of the mass media*. London: Vintage.
- James, D. C. (1985). Volume 3, *Triumph and Disaster 1945–1964. The Years of MacArthur*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. ISBN 978-0-395-36004-0. OCLC 36211311.
- Jonas, H. (1984) *The Imperative of Responsibility*. In Search of an Ethics for the technological Age. Chicago. Chicago & London, The University of Chicago Press, 1.
- Kant, I. (2006) *Toward Perpetual Peace and Other Writings on Politics, Peace, and History*. Edited and with an introduction by Pauline Kleingeld. Translated by David Colclasure. With essays by Jeremy Waldron, Michael W. Doyle, and Allen W. Wood. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Leopold, A. (1949) *A Sand County Almanac*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lorenz, K. (1973) *Die acht Todsünden der zivilisierten Menschheit*. (The Eight Deadly Sins of Civilized Mankind). München/Zürich: PiperLOREN.
- MacArthur, D. (1951). *Letter to Representative Martin of Massachusetts*: (From Congressional Record of April 5, 1951). Source: Harry S. Truman Library and Museum.
- Marcuse, H. (2001) The Problem of Social Change in the Technological Society in Towards a Critical Theory of Society. Douglas Kellner (ed.) London and New York: Routledge Press. [1961].
- Marov, M. Ya. (2013). 150 Years of Vladimir Ivanovich Vernadsky. *In 21st Century Science and Technology*. Summer. https://21sci-tech.com/Articles_2013/Summer_2013/Biosphere_Astrobiology.pdf. Accessed 1 May.
- Marx, K. and Engels, F. (1962) Selected Works, 2 Volumes, Moscow: Foreign Languages Publishing House.
- Milestone Documents* [Washington, DC: The National Archives and Records Administration, 1995] pp. 26–29.
- Monroe, J. *Message of President James Monroe at the commencement of the first session of the 18th Congress (The Monroe Doctrine), 12/02/1823; Presidential Messages of the 18th Congress, ca. 12/02/1823-ca. 03/03/1825*; Record Group 46; Records of the United States Senate, 1789-1990; National Archives.
- Moran, C. (1968). *Winston Churchill: The Struggle for Survival 1940-1965 (Diaries of Lord Moran)*. Edit. Sphere.
- Observatory. *Deregulation* 08 February 2017 EurWORK. <https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/observatories/eurwork/industrial-relations-dictionary/deregulation>.
- OECD (1994). *The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises*. Organization for Economic (May 1, 1994). Amazon.
- Omachonu, J and Schultz, D. (2009). Media Concentration. *In The First Amendment Encyclopedia*. copyright 2009 by CQ Press, an imprint of SAGE Publications <https://mtsu.edu/first-amendment/article/1127/media-concentration>. Accessed 1 May 2021.
- Ouimet, J. M. (2003). *The Rise and Fall of the Brezhnev Doctrine in Soviet Foreign Policy*. Chapel Hill and London: The University of North Carolina Press. pp. 88–97.
- Queirós, A. (2017). *Report for the Democratic Reform of Welfare*. Confederation of Commerce and Services of Portugal. Forum of Services for Modernization.
- Queirós, A. (2018) Challenges and mission of the Science museums of 3rd generation. In Memoriam Professor Doutor Fernando Bragança Gil, Revue, Matria Digital n°5. Santarém.

- Reagan, T. and Singer, P. (1989) *Animal Rights and Human Obligations*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Roth, K. (2021). *Human Rights Report*. HRW https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/media_2021/01/2021_hrw_world_report.pdf.
- Singer, P. (1993) *Ética Prática*. (Practical Ethics). S. Paulo: Editora Martins Fontes.
- Stiglitz, J. (2003), *Globalization and Its Discontents*. Penguin; New Ed edition.
- Tax Justice Network (TJN)*. <https://www.taxjustice.net/> Accessed 1 May 2021.
- United Nations 1972. Report of the Conference A/CONF.48/14/Rev.1 United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, 5-16 June 1972, Stockholm.
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the UN in December 10, 1948. (A/RES/217). <http://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/>. Accessed 1 May 2021.