

#### Overview

- Literature Review
  - College student lecture notes
  - Digital distraction

Gaps in the Existing Literature

- The Present Research
  - Questions/Predictions and Methods

Findings and Discussion

#### Literature Review: Lecture Notes

 Most students take notes during class and review those notes as their primary exam preparation strategy

 Laptop versus longhand note-taking methods

 Too early to declare one method superior to the other?



https://www.ecampusnews.com/2014/01/29/note-taking-988/

# Literature Review: Digital Distraction

Digital distraction phenomenon

Frequency

Consequences



https://techcurruoit.wordpress.com/2016/06/09/digital-devices-in-the-classroom-asset-or-distraction/

### Gaps in Existing Literature

 No consideration for the presence of distractions while students take notes

Focus on quantity of complete idea units stored in student notes

### What are Incomplete Idea Units?

- Idea units are propositions from a text or from spoken word that communicate meaning (e.g., cause-effect; compare-contrast; stand-alone facts)
  - Complete, intelligible idea
- For instance, "The crust is 25 miles thick underneath the continental surface"
- Incomplete idea units are partial statements that fail to capture the meaning of the full proposition
- For instance, "Crust = 25 miles thick"
- "Identification-only" versus "Identification + Incomplete Description"

### Research Questions and Hypotheses

 Do distraction level (texting; no texting) interact with note-taking medium (laptop; longhand) to affect lecture note-taking outcomes and learning?

**H1:** We predicted that texting would be more consequential for longhand note takers due to their speed disadvantage relative to laptop users

To what extent to students store incomplete idea units in their notes?

**H2:** The proportion of incomplete idea units stored in student notes will be negatively associated with posttest achievement due to the lower external storage value of those notes

#### Participants, Method, and Procedure

100 undergraduate education and communication studies students

• 2 (note-taking medium: laptop vs. longhand) X 2 (distraction level: texting vs. no-texting) factorial design

Texting manipulation

• 15 minute video lecture  $\rightarrow$  review period  $\rightarrow$  distractor task  $\rightarrow$  posttest

#### **Outcome Variables**

Total word count

- Total number of complete and incomplete idea units
  - Main topics
  - Supporting details
  - Examples
- Total images

Posttest performance

# Findings & Discussion: Note-Taking Outcomes

- Laptop users wrote more words in their notes than longhand note takers
  - Significant interaction between distraction level and note-taking method
  - Distracted laptop users and undistracted longhand note takers recorded similar word counts
- Laptop users and non-texters captured more text-based complete idea units than longhand note takers and texters
  - Main topics Distraction level predicted
  - Complete supporting details Distraction level and note-taking method predicted
  - Examples Distraction level predicted
- No significant differences regarding partial idea units stored into notes
  - About 20% of all the idea units students attempted to capture in their notes were incomplete

### Findings & Discussion: Posttest Performance

- Distraction-level emerged as the only meaningful predictor of posttest performance
- Note-taking method did not predict posttest performance
  - Still too early to declare one method superior to the other
- The number of complete idea units stored in notes predicted achievement
- The number of incomplete idea units stored in notes did not predict achievement

References available upon request.

Please direct any questions to Dr. Abraham Flanigan:

aflanigan@georgiasouthern.edu

# **Appendix**

	Distracted	Distracted	Undistracted	Undistracted
	Laptop	Longhand	Laptop	Longhand
Total Posttest Score	22.12	21.88	24.32	24.84
	(5.66)	(6.02)	(5.13)	(6.71)
Total Words	175.12	119.80	253.84	153.08
	(58.88)	(36.69)	(73.67)	(51.91)
			42.42	
Total Complete Ideas	31.32	27.00	43.12	33.92
	(7.66)	(5.53)	(10.76)	(10.81)
Complete Main Torrison	16.04	16.04	10.60	17.00
Complete Main Topics	16.84	16.04	19.68	17.88
	(2.75)	(2.37)	(2.94)	(4.58)
Complete Details	12.08	9.04	19.48	12.76
Complete Details				
	(5.07)	(3.54)	(7.67)	(6.87)
Complete Examples	2.40	1.92	4.00	3.28
Complete Examples	(1.68)	(1.80)	(1.98)	(2.46)
	(1.00)	(1.60)	(1.76)	(2.40)
Total Incomplete Ideas	7.56	7.92	8.64	8.84
	(4.76)	(4.21)	(3.01)	(5.86)
	()	(22)	(3.01)	(5.55)
Incomplete Main Ideas	1.28	0.92	1.16	1.44
•	(1.34)	(1.08)	(0.94)	(2.45)
	` ,	` ′	` /	. ,
Incomplete Details	5.96	6.76	6.92	6.40
	(3.96)	(3.59)	(3.17)	(3.86)
Incomplete Examples	0.32	0.24	0.56	0.56
	(0.69)	(0.59)	(0.96)	(0.87)
Total Idea Units	38.88	34.92	51.80	42.32
	(10.21)	(8.11)	(10.17)	(12.91)
% Complete	81%	77%	83%	80%
% Incomplete	19%	23%	17%	20%
/o incomplete	17/0	23/0	1//0	2070

*Note.* Values represent average total test scores and the average total number of each idea-type contained in participant notes. Standard deviations provided in parentheses.