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Abstract

This paper examines the growth of legal and non-legal activism in the struggle for social justice in the era of globalization. There are a number of different approaches described, including the use of formal law supported by mass action on the streets, the establishment of alternative justice systems outside the legal systems of the state, and People’s Tribunals which are an increasingly important part of the resistance to the negative impacts of globalization on contemporary societies. People’s struggles in Brazil, Mexico, the Philippines and Turkish Kurdistan are discussed.
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Introduction

These are perilous times, lawyers, legal academics and law workers will face increasing threats to people’s rights and justice from the state-corporate alliance that has strengthened greatly in the past several decades and posed serious challenges to social justice following the Global Financial Crisis. (OECD, 2014; WSWS, 2014; Ross and Trachte, 1990; Boehringer, 2011). They must consider how to respond. It is important that they also ask: how have the people responded? Lawyers do not have all the answers, and there is much to be learned from the experience of the people.

In recent years there have been important developments which look outside the traditional courts and practice of law, even beyond people’s lawyering of the kind Filipino congressman and human rights lawyer Neri Colmenares spoke about recently. He spoke of the “legal counter-offensive” strategy that is based on the experience of the impressive Philippine National Union of People’s Lawyers. (Colmenares, 2013). In this paper, I will reflect on the spectrum of strategies being used by lawyers and people to resist the repression and exploitation which have been super-heated by the neoliberal hegemony which is at the core of contemporary globalization. (Garth and Sarat, 1998). In particular I focus on bottom up strategies arising out of the needs of the masses in the specific circumstances of their repression and exploitation.

Resistance to Neoliberal Globalization

The contemporary world, dominated by the neoliberal policies and practices associated with “free market” globalization, has seen the imposition of terrible conditions upon hundreds of millions of people around the globe. (Lechner and Boli, 2012GRAIN, 2012). Repression and exploitation are never simply imposed. They arise out of historically prepared conditions and in the specific social circumstances of the time. How these are realized depends on the existing array of social forces and the dynamic which develops between them. Of course in our era, the forces of wealth and power associated with corporate capital which exercise significant control over the state, are dominant. (Tubbs, 2013; Bakan, 2004). The people are being trampled upon, but the people will always resist sooner or later, and today, across the globe, we see that resistance in many forms. And when the people resist, there will always be lawyers who stand with them. (Sarat and Scheingold, 2005; Ginger, 1972).

Over the past fifteen years there has been an upsurge of radical critique of law, legal institutions and the practice of law as the impact of globalization and the “war on terror” are felt around the globe.
That impact includes increased state surveillance and repression, “austerity” policies, and human rights abuse, often in circumstances of impunity. (Sands, 2006). At the same time, corporate power has been unleashed so that conditions for working class and even middle class people have seriously deteriorated, inequality has grown significantly, and the threat to the environment has become an existential crisis. (Oxfam, 2014; Global Witness, 2014).

Progressive lawyers have formed organizations for the specific practice of law in the interests of the people. These organizations take different forms, have differing ideologies but similar strategies such as the People’s Law Office in Istanbul with its pronounced revolutionary socialist perspective and collective living and work (Boehringer, 2014c) and the militant Philippine National Union of People’s Lawyers, associated with the National Democratic Front. (Boehringer, 2009). Essentially the Turkish and Filipino lawyers have similar strategies: both have diverse and close links with civil society groups, trade unions and social movements to challenge state repression and corporate exploitation. In response, such lawyering makes them the subjects of state legal harassment (often imprisonment on unjustifiable charges) and, in a number of countries including Turkey and the Philippines, assassinations by military and paramilitary groups.

Left critiques of law have once again been delivered after some years of relative silence after the largely Marxist influenced work of the late 1960s to early 1980s was superseded by postmodern theorizing as was the case in other disciplines. The current Marxist and progressive theorizing, significantly, includes a challenge to traditional understandings of international law. Much of this work comes from the scholars associated with Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAIL). (See the electronic journal of Law, Social Justice, and Global Development online: http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/law/elj/lgd/)

One development of particular interest to people’s lawyers is the increasing use of People’s Tribunals, linked as they are to campaigns for social justice and the exposure and prevention of injustice. (Klinghoffer and Klinghoffer, 2002; Byrnes and Simm, 2013; Byrnes, 2012). They have dealt with a wide variety of issues, but all are concerned with violations of civil liberties, human rights and abuses of the environment by states and, increasingly, by corporations. Such tribunals are a reflection of the contemporary withdrawal of faith in the willingness of states and corporations to act to protect humans, other creatures and the environment according to acceptable standards of law and morality. (Nayar, 2001; 2003; 2006; 2007). I recommend the development of people’s tribunals at the local community level to provide continuous monitoring and judgment of state and corporate
Rejectionist Projects

In some parts of the world, there has been a more pronounced, physical withdrawal from the state: the establishment of autonomous areas, or what might be called liberated zones. Twenty years ago we were virtual witnesses to the Zapatista rebellion in Chiapis, Mexico. It was, and is, an inspirational phenomena (Esteva and Prakash, 1998; Hayden, 2002; Womack, 1999).

North Kurdistan

In North Kurdistan (eastern Turkey), the majority Kurdish population has embarked on an “Autonomy Project” (Democratic Society Party, 2008) which is a decentralized “confederal democracy” outside the jurisdictional power of the Turkish state which has treated them as second class citizens. (Kowarsch, 2013). A major element in the project is an alternative justice system which takes the place of the state legal system for resolving conflicts, settling disputes and dealing with unacceptable individual behavior. Their achievement in instituting that alternative justice system is a result of the inability of the Turkish state to impose a military solution due to the strength of the guerrilla army of the Kurdish Workers’ Party (PKK) and the determined resistance of the people in the face of extraordinary atrocities against the civilian population in that region, including the razing of 5000 villages.

In the Kurdish project there are basic principles such as anti-state; anti-hierarchy; anti-professional and bottom-up. Thus, while they may need lawyers to defend them should the state try to stop this development, and as advisors (they have established a think tank, a Legal Commission), it seems they do not wish to involve lawyers directly and as professionals in what they refuse to call a legal system, preferring to seek justice by non-adversarial, or non-litigious proceedings. (Boehringer, 2013b)

As in customary societies generally, the emphasis in the Kurd’s justice system is resolving conflicts, disputes and anti-community behavior through discussion and negotiation, to restore peace in the community and move forward with neighbors. Sanctions are applied according to the circumstances and the wishes/needs of the families involved. They are a part of the process of reconciliation. Compensation of some kind is normally a part of the settlement. However, in cases such as murder, there may be serious punishment,
including a long term in the mountain prison of the PKK. Yet this is subject to review and forms of parole may be invoked, usually involving community service.

The matters of complaint are brought before a panel of respected members of the community who facilitate the settlement, and where no settlement can be reached, they make a judgment. The values invoked are based on Islamic religion (but not shari’a law as such), and the “customs, traditions of the people, and natural law” which seems to be a kind of secular morality. (Boehringer, 2013a).

### Brazil

In Brazil recently I was able to speak with 5 cadres from the League of Poor Peasants (LCP) who attended sessions of the 5th Congress of the International Association of People’s Lawyers in Rio, February 2014. (Boehringer, 2014b) The LCP is the second largest peasant group engaged in occupations of vacant and unproductive land that is owned by large landholders. It is an off-shoot (in 1994) from the first and largest association of landless occupiers in recent times, the Landless Peasants Movement (MST). The cadres of the LCP informed me that there has been resistance in the form of re-taking land by indigenous and peasant peoples since the beginning of Portuguese colonization about 500 years ago.

In Brazil, LCP communities exist mostly in the poorest areas of the country. Although numbers were not given, I would estimate there are hundreds of thousands of members. (I was told by the five cadres I interviewed that up to 20,000 activists attend periodic LCP congresses). They are regulated in general according to the 39 page Constitution of the LCP, Nosso Caminho “our path”. The primary principle is one of collective solidarity. Their approach, amongst others, inspired by Maoism, seems quite flexible and pragmatic: living and housing is based on families and there is still considerable family production, although collective production is the ultimate goal.

Although the post military dictatorship Constitution adopted in 1988 allows for unproductive land to be expropriated and given to the peasants, in recent years the Workers’ Party dominated governments of former President Lula da Silva, and now President Dilma Rousseff, ceased to do so and have adopted policies very friendly to agribusiness. It might be thought that this is one factor contributing to the impunity which has existed in the cases of the murders of 448 environmental activists, by far the worst record in the world. (Global Witness, 2014). This is part of the background to the occupations today. Also important in understanding such occupations is the nature of land-holding in the country. A huge amount of land is owned by a small elite of landlords.
Much of their land remains empty and unproductive in a country where there are substantial rates of poverty, homelessness and hunger.

When a group from the LCP takes possession of vacant land of the landlord, they organize themselves into a self-governing community. They do this with assistance from other LCP communities already established in the region. They have three primary tasks: 1) to establish a people’s school to develop the reading and writing capacity of the people (children are still sent to state schools at this stage); 2) to organize production, collectively where appropriate; 3) to establish groups to maintain security against the paramilitary forces of the landlord, or the state. Another of their tasks is to deal with disputes and behavior which is deemed by the community to be unacceptable. They do not use the courts, nor the law of the state. The only significant exception to this is the use of people’s lawyers to defend them if brought to court as a result of the occupation and/or self-defense activity in resistance to the violence raised against them by the landlords or the state.

The LCP occupiers have developed their own system of dealing with alleged “infractions” (which I was told are not frequent nor are they often very serious.) The emphasis is upon resolving disputes informally through discussion so that the parties are reconciled and the community is able to move on together. Where there is behavior that harms others, again the idea is to reconcile the parties involved, but in addition they try to get the “perpetrator” to understand their responsibility to others and thereby to cease acting in a destructive manner.

In some of these cases a People’s Assembly—open to all—will deal with the matter directly, but normally there are prior discussions with the parties involved in order to clarify issues and seek to prepare the way for it to be dealt with in a reasonable manner when it is brought to the Assembly. At the People’s Assembly the accused has the right to speak, or have another speak, in their defense. If the accused is dissatisfied with the decision of the Assembly, an appeal is allowed, first at the local level to an elected political coordinator (a kind of supervisor of community activities) then, if necessary, up to a regional coordinator for further review. If the latter upholds the appeal, the matter is returned to the Assembly for discussion and resolution.

The guiding principles and values of their system were explained to me by the cadres as being based in “the national and international experience of the people” (cited were Peru, Turkey, India, Nepal and the Philippines). In particular, inspiration has been drawn from Mao’s ideas on handling contradictions amongst the people. The cadres said that religious values were important in dealing with specific issues, and that most of the peasants in the LCP came out of the protestant tradition in Brazil, which was rather surprising given the strength of the colonial heritage of Roman Catholicism. It did, however, point to the
marginalized status these landless peasants have in the class based Brazilian society.

Conclusion

I mention these different examples of developments in seeking justice and social justice because it is important that we who are imprisoned within a mindset of a state based rule of law learn from the experiences of these courageous and innovative peoples. Lessons-tactical, strategic, organizational, jurisprudential and philosophical, historical-are out there in the experience of thousands of lawyers for the people and amongst the people who are resisting in structures and processes they have created for themselves. Scattered over the globe and mostly unpublished, even undocumented, such lessons must be recovered and considered. This is true especially for people's lawyers and other progressives involved in any way in struggles for justice. It is important for us to analyze the world in which we operate, the social forces which are arrayed for and against social justice, in order to see more clearly how best to engage in the struggles we face inside and outside the law, both in the short and long terms.

Lawyers, and probably people's lawyers at the beginning of their careers, have an understandable tendency to be somewhat narrowly focused on the legal process, in particular the courts and other institutions of the state. Largely because of the nature of their legal education, the ideology and mystique of law, professional socialization, requirements of the practice of law, client expectations, etc. Today progressive people, including people's lawyers, need to be aware of the many different forms of people's resistance, and be ready to apply their skills and knowledge in aid of such resistances, some of which will be outside the mainstream of legal struggle.

It is also important that people's lawyers and other progressives consider the alternative modes of resistance lawyering and people's struggles for justice that are developing across the world. Such consideration would include such matters as the importance of the ideology and organization of people's law work which, as in the Istanbul People's Law Office collective, challenges both the hegemony of capitalism and the associated bourgeois understandings of the rule of law and its implementation by lawyers and state agencies. Further, the lawyers of the Istanbul lawyer’s collective have rejected the bourgeois individualistic concepts of professional organization of law practice. I mention this not because it is a model which would suit all countries at this time, it surely wouldn’t, but because it represents one way of integrating one’s personal commitments, professional capacity and political-legal practice. It is important to document such an achievement.
Comprehensive social justice will require a revolutionary change from the social formation of capitalism to a more humane system of social, political and economic relations. The developments I have discussed above must be seen as a small, sectoral part of a general transitional process which is just beginning. There is no roadmap. We will get to the future through the experience of the past. The state corporate nexus will stand in our way, determined to conserve the system of repression and exploitation which emerged and evolved over centuries. When the revolutionary process sweeps away the existing unjust system, we will be better prepared to enter that unknown social formation if we have learned from the experiments which are being carried out in places like Brazil, Chiapis, North Kurdistan and the Philippines. (Buchanan and Zumbansen, 2014).
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