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Abstract

‘So now women think they are capable of holding the highest office in the land. It’s bad enough that we allow these female creatures to operate automobiles. Imagine what would happen if one of them became president! Let’s keep women at home where they belong’ (Krasner, 1964: edited in Falk, 2008: 31)

Many years have passed since women obtained voting rights. Although role of women in political, economic and social life has largely increased, they are still relatively poorly represented at the top of managerial positions and rarely reach the areas of political authority. In the modern politics, women’s low presences in parliaments around the world become a central issue among society (Ballington 2009). Recent developments in the field of gender equality have led to renewed interest in this domain and finally have been acknowledged for the first time in history as being problematic (Sawer, 2010). Recent evidence suggests that as the number of women's population varies around 50 per cent (UNSD, 2012), the importance of equal access to decision making for women cannot be ignored (Ballington and Karam, 2005; Haffert at el, 2010).

This paper investigates the barriers to gender inequality created by male domination and unequal representation of women politicians by media in national politics and also explores the ways in which these difficulties are experienced. The study illuminates the position, perception and thoughts on women's political careers and explores the reasons for gender inequality in the political elite across some european parliaments.
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Introduction

Past research offers a variety of examples and explanations on women’s under-representation in politics and expresses women’s determination to join the political elite. Moreover, researchers and academics have identified numerous approaches undertaken by political parties and women themselves in order to join parliamentary life such as male domination of parliaments and unequal representation of women politicians by media. Hence, the aim of this paper is to explore, examine and summarise the findings from existing literature on the impact of male and media on women’s under-representation in national politics.

As a point of departure, an argument by Nelson and Chowdhury (1994:3) that ‘in no country do women have political status, access, or influence equal to men’, successfully reflects the significance of this topic. Furthermore, as a result of this under-representation men still dominate the political arena (IPU, 2012) and the image of parliamentarians definitely shows a man's face (Zukowski, 2011). To give an answer to my second enquiry on who prevents the exertion of women’s desire to join the political elites the examples of media representation of women political leaders have to be discussed in this paper as media can make or break their reputations (Marszalek-Kawa, 2010, Zukowski, 2011; Ross and Comrie, 2012). Many researchers illustrate that the balance of media friendliness in the image of women politicians versus men politicians has been significantly unequal, presenting women in a distorted manner (Marszalek-Kawa, 2010, Zukowski, 2011).

Outline of the problem of gender parliamentary imparity

‘There are very few jobs that actually require a penis or vagina. All other jobs should be open to everybody’ (Florynce Kennedy1 (1916–2000) cited in Krolokke and Sorensen, 2006: 8)

Multiple sources in the current literature on women’s political under-representation refer to a variety of women movements in highlighting wider path of this phenomenon. Women’s fight for civil and political rights has its roots in 1848, when the first women’s movement originated in the US (Krolokke and Sorensen, 2006). The importance of women’s role in legislative bodies has been acknowledged since the beginning of the 20th century (Lovenduski and Karam, 2002; King, 2005). From the 1970s, women’s marginal presence in parliaments around the world emerged as an important social and political issue (Inglehart and Norris, 2003; Wawrowski, 2007; Ballington 2009). Furthermore, a range of global public surveys carried out between 1995 and 2005 suggested that public awareness of gender inequality

---

1 Florynce Rae "Flo" Kennedy (February 11, 1916 – December 22, 2000), was an American lawyer, activist, civil rights advocate, and feminist.
As statistical data suggests, the proportion of women in the population always varies around 50 per cent (BIS, 2011; Ruedin, 2010; Krook, 2010; Mediocre, 2011; UNSD, 2012), therefore the importance of equal access to decision making for women cannot be ignored (Ballington and Karam, 2005; Haffert et al., 2010). I will therefore start my discussion with Abdela’s (2010:18) argument that ‘democracy is not democracy when over half of the population are not fully represented’ and ‘the achievement of democracy presupposes a genuine partnership between men and women in the conduct of the affairs of society’ (IPU, 1997:2). Furthermore, many scholars claim that equal gender representation is a necessity for a democratic society (Norris, 1996; Dahlerup and Freidenvall, 2008; Kampichler and Machovcova, 2008; Abdela, 2010; Pawlowski and Kierulf-Dubrow, 2011).

Past research offers significant data on women’s parliamentary involvement and statistical data regarding this issue has been established by researchers who have conducted various national and international studies. According to Stockemer (2007), in 1950 women’s parliamentary representation across 27 European Union Countries was on average 5.4 per cent and this continued until the 1960s. The only differences could be noticed in Nordic countries, where women’s representation in the 1950s was higher than 10 per cent, establishing its roots as the highest over the last 30 years (Dahlerup and Freidenvall, 2008). However, further statistical evidence reveals that after the 1960s there was a slight increase in women’s representation globally (Ballington and Karam, 2005). Ruedin’s (2010) analysis of the Inter-Parliamentary Union’s (2006a) data found that in countries with smaller populations and parliaments, women’s representation was lower. This could be due to a limited number of seats available in small chambers, where a single seat can be as much as 7 per cent of all seats (IPU, 2006a; Ruedin, 2010). Also, a very important factor is associated by different influences such as social and political changes, increases in economic growth (Walby, 2004) and party quotas (IPU, 2006a; IPU, 2006b). Currently women’s representation in worldwide parliaments represent around 20 per cent (Stockemer, 2007; IPU, 2011) as presented in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Average of women in Parliaments Worldwide in 2011

Male domination of the political arena

‘In a society where some groups are privileged while others are oppressed, insisting that as citizens persons should leave behind their particular affiliations and experiences to adopt a general point of view serves only to reinforce that privilege; for the perspectives and interests of the privileged will tend to dominate that unified public, marginalising or silencing those of other groups’ (Young, 1989:257 cited in Ross, 2002:13)

The risk of women’s inability to cope with the male-dominated ‘heat of the parliamentary kitchen appears to be a big concern in society (Childs et al., 2005: 69). Men’s dominance of the political arena has been reflected on by many academics and is proven to be one of the major difficulties that discourage women from joining the political sphere (IPU, 1999; Unicef, 2007; Mediocre, 2011). For example, The Panhellenic Socialist Movement in Greece speaks of a ‘lack of interest due to the hostile climate and strong competition from men’ (IPU, 1999). Furthermore, Ballington and Karam (2005: 13) argue that public perceptions on gender roles suggest that ‘the social contract is about the relationship between men and government and not citizen and government’. It is ironic that England was actually called by John Bright1: ‘the

1John Bright (16 November 1811 – 27 March 1889), Quaker, was a British Radical and Liberal statesman, associated with Richard Cobden in the formation of the Anti-Corn Law League. He was one of the greatest orators of his generation, and a strong critic of British foreign policy. He sat in the House of Commons from 1843 to 1889.
mother of parliaments’, as Westminster has been largely occupied by males (BBC News, 1998; Childs, Campbell, Lovenduski cited in Tremblay, 2008:41). The discussion on local elections in one of the leading Polish newspapers, Rzeczpospolita, was titled: ‘The Republic of Men’ (Platek, 2004: 20). Furthermore, the British House of Common is very often described as an ‘old boys club’ (Childs et al., 2005:68), as a ‘Gentleman’s Club’ (Harman and Mattinson, 2000:20), or as a ‘boys’ school which has decided to take a few girls’ (Vallance, 1979: 6).

In addition, Even Coward (1999:212 cited in Walsh, 2001:19) distinguishes that one of the biggest problems for women being not recognised as successful leaders or not being able to hold power in a public domain is ‘networking and men’s narcissistic interest in each other’. One Polish woman MP from the SLD stated that women always lose with men who are obsessed with success and will do anything to get it, even if it takes ‘walking over dead bodies’ (Pankow and Post, 2010:22). Also, according to another Polish women MP (Samoobrona RP), ‘even the stupidest man feels that he is cleverer than the cleverest woman’ (Pankow and Post, 2009: 64). Therefore, in order to get into and survive the political competition women have to be better and try much harder than men (Warowski, 2007; Fuszara, 2009). Consequently, it is tougher for women in public life, as they have to be feminine and masculine at the same time (DuVall, 2009). Margaret Hodge (Labour MP, UK) admits that one of the aspects of male domination in the House is their physical ability to shout over women as their voices are stronger (Hodge cited in Ross, 2002). According to several British women MPs, some sessions across the Labour Party were organised to help women MPs to practise asking questions in open forums by ‘not just asking them, but shouting them’ (Vallance, 1979: 15).

In order to build on the philosophy of men’s resistance to women entering the parliamentary environment, I would indicate a critical mass phenomenon which according to some scholars may not only distort the political scene (Pankow and Post, 2009; Zukowski, 2011) but make its environment unstable for men (Zukowski, 2011). According to its theorists, critical mass is established when 30 per cent of gender representation (or 1/3) occupies the same institution or in some instances when a 60:40 ratio is achieved (Kanter, 1993 cited in Stevens, 2007; Squires, 2007; Zukowski, 2011; Sawer, 2012). Academics suggest that when women achieve the large minority identified as critical mass (Lovenduski, 2001; Chids and Krook, 2008; Wangnerud, 2009), the transformation in politics and its functioning will be considerable, not only for the institution (Kittilson, 2006; Pankow and Post, 2010; Zukowski, 2011) but also for public policy (Squires, 2007). Additionally, some scholars claim that critical mass could promote ‘feminist-orientated change’ on a larger scale (Ross, 2002; Siemienksa, 1997; Stevens, 2007:179; Zukowski, 2011). However, the extension of the changes would still depend on how many women triumph and enter parliament (Lowenduski and Karam, 2002). Also, it is inevitable that women’s dominance in parliament would change the behaviour of men MPs (Lowenduski and Karam, 2002; Sroda, 2009). As an example, Norris (1996) illustrates that in some
Scandinavian countries, the political structure has already become more feminine due to the critical mass phenomenon. This change also can be seen in the Polish Sejm where, out of 69 women MPs, 63 declared that their style of doing politics was different and more feminine (Pankow and Post, 2009).

An important issue in this debate is women’s sexual harassment by men MPs. As an example, a Polish female MP’s (SLD) statement can be used here. She expressed her view that it was always irritating when men paid more attention to how women look rather than their abilities. She felt that men MPs were treating their women colleagues more like ‘an attraction of the building or a nice bouquet on their table’ (Pankow and Post, 2009: 31). Also sexism is alive in the British House of Commons (Sones et al., 2005; Lovenduski, 2005 cited in Stevens, 2007). Some reports by Labour and Conservative women MPs cite remarks made by their male colleagues about ‘legs and breasts’ and male MPs making sexual gestures such as ‘putting their hands out in front of them as if they are weighing melons’ during speeches by women MPs (Childs, 2004, in Childs et al., 2005: 71). Another good example of sex discrimination is presented by Shepherd-Robinson and Lovenduski (2002: 121 cited in Childs et al., 2005: 23) where a woman candidate for the 2001 election was told by her male colleague: ‘Well, you didn’t do bad for a little woman, did you?’ Similarly, another woman candidate for the same election was told: ‘we do enjoy watching you speak; we always imagine what your knickers are like’ (Lovenduski, 2005: 77).

**Media: friends or enemy of women politicians?**

Previous research also identifies the media as key players in the lasting involvement of women in politics. Being a politician counters not only leadership and power but also exposure of a personal image. Many academics exemplify that the balance in presenting images of women politicians versus men politicians by media has been significantly unequal. As Ross (2003: 1) illustrates, media ‘trivialise their [women’s] political contributions, undermine their political authority and, more generally, render them invisible’. The evidence of women’s invisibility by the media is shown in a study comparing media coverage of Northern Irish candidates for the 1997 British General Election where women political candidates were significantly under-reported across 22 newspapers (Whittaker, 1999 cited in Ross, 2002). Also, if women politicians are allocated broadcasting time, female speeches are not only shortened in election campaigns (Zukowski, 2011; Siemienska, 2005; Marszalek-Kawa, 2010; Ross and Comrie, 2012) but rarely exceed 15 seconds (Norris and Lovenduski, 1995). However, I would argue that this phenomenon could be due to the political parties’ failure to request significant time for women’s debates.

Another argument raised by scholars related to media involvement in the coverage of women parliamentarians is that women politician images are very often based on gender stereotypes (Walsh, 2001; Kroom and Ekstrom,
Sturken and Cartwright’s (2001: 106) suggestion would be a good point of departure for this debate. Their interpretation of human images shows that:

‘Images . . . provide a complex field in which power relations are exercised and looks are exchanged. As both spectators and subjects of images, we engage in and are subject to complex practices of looking and being looked at’.

For instance, images of the Northern Ireland Women’s Coalition during the signing of the Northern Ireland peace settlement (4 April 1998), show women politicians crying and hugging each other. This representation of women’s weakness and emotionality differed from the representation of men, who were characterised as strong, rational and strategic (Walsh, 2001). A case represented by Swedish politician: Gudrun Schymana\(^1\) justifies the above argument. She argued that media highlighted her femininity by:

1. Highlighting physical aspects of her femininity that go in line with the conventional gender stereotype.
2. Inviting the reader into her mental and emotional world.
3. Defining her “crime” as going against typical feminine behaviour and traits
4. Relating her public persona to the feminine story-telling character of a princess (Kroon and Ekstrom, 2008:895)

Additionally, in many instances, if women candidates are mentioned by the press it is only by association with their husband wealth or in a reference to their families (Ross, 2002). Also Szczepanska\(^2\) (cited in Marszalek-Kawa, 2010:183-184) often make comments such as ‘women don’t know about politics’ or ‘women’s political crusade’. Danuta Waniek, Chairperson of Polish National TV and Radio Council, argues that women are always represented as ‘unthinkable idiots’ who are only capable of buying a soap powder (Biuro Studiowi Ekspertyz Kancelarii Sejmu, 2003:56). Similarly, a Polish TV commentator Anna Brzozowska exposes some comments by Polish TV presenter Andrzej Kwiatkowski during the political programme called Prime Time whose standard statement was: ‘such stupid women, but what clever things they are saying’ (Biuro Studiowi Ekspertyz Kancelarii Sejmu, 2003:77).

The above descriptions of females in politics affect their reputations as political leaders. One of the examples for this ontology is British MP Margaret Beckett (Labour Party), who has been constantly scrutinised by the media. For instance, during her election campaign in 1994 she was described as ‘post-menopausal’, ‘too emotional’, ‘too female’ and the one who had ‘stolen another woman’s husband’(Ross, 2002:1). Also a garish model would be an

\(^1\)Gudrun Schyman: former leader of the Swedish Left Wing Party
\(^2\)Sonia Szczepanska, author of the article “Women: political animal”
article in the *Guardian* where British journalist Simon Hattenstone described the former UK Shadow Home Secretary, Ann Widdecombe, as ‘out of this world’:

‘Her head is tiny, trapped inside the jet-black basin cut, her legs non-existent and she walks on a giant bosom. Her face is somehow not human. Not inhuman, just not human. She looks as if she could have been created by Stephen Spielberg as a companion for ET... I’m beginning to think Ann Widdecombe is from Planet Pod’ (Hattenstone, 1999: np.; Walsh, 2001: 46).

A further case of media misrepresentation was the coverage of policies formed by female politicians regarding the timetable in the Scottish Parliament. The family-friendly changes included the change of parliament sittings to normal working hours rather than evenings and parliamentary recesses to be harmonised with school holidays. These changes, however, have been presented by the media as women MPs’ holiday extensions, completely suppressing the fact that most of the time has been used for constituency work (Walsh, 2001). Another distinctiveness dominating the media’s image of women politicians is their sexual representation (Ross, 2002; Marszalek-Kawa, 2010). For example Cheryl Kernot (former Australian MP) and Pauline Hanson (Australian Labour MP) have been portrayed in the media using cartoon images of them in erotic situations with their male colleagues (Ross, 2002). Sexism within the male governed political sphere has been a debate by scholars for many years (Lovenduski and Karam, 2002; Fushara, 2009) as the media always pay too much attention to women’s appearances (Devitt, 2002; Fushara, 2009; Abdela, 2010). For instance, Glenda Jackson (British Labour MP) complained that a “woman’s appearance, her age, her style of dress is always commented on” which sadly does not happen to male politicians (Ross, 2002:90). Besides, according to Graff (cited in Marszalek-Kawa, 2010), an attractive woman politician is unlucky as her image does not fit with the function she is carrying out. Dawn Primarolo’s (British Labour MP) illustrates:

‘Women are never the right age. We’re too young, we’re too old. We’re too thin, we’re too fat. We wear too much make-up, we don’t wear enough. We’re too flashy in our dress, we don’t take enough care. There isn’t a thing we can do that’s right’ (Ross, 2003:103).

Controversially, according to some researchers, on many occasions journalists do not favour men politicians (Devitt, 2002; Kroon and Ekstrom, 2008). For example, in Sweden a male politician who was interviewed by a researcher stated: I would like to emphasise that also a male politician is subject to an enormous amount of reviews about his appearance” (Kroon and Ekstrom, 2008:907). However, the examples of media portraying men politicians in this way are very uncommon and the main argument by
politicians is that whereas men may experience ‘silly or serious coverage’, for women it ‘is often either or’ (Spence, 2012).

Conclusions

In this paper I have aimed to explain some reasons for gender imbalance in parliaments across new and established democracies in some countries belonging to OECD. I paid special attention to explore various difficulties that women are facing on their way to the political elite such as male domination of the parliamentary environment and media misrepresentation of women politicians.

Male domination of the political arena is also presented in this paper as an explanation of the critical mass impact on the parliamentary environment. In addition women’s MPs’ impact on women’s issues when in the office is highlighted in here. The literature enhances our understanding of women’s role in the political process. The literature identifies how feminisation and the promotion of women’s interests influence the wider political environment (Lovenduski et al., 2005) with reference to concern that women MPs might represent mainly women’s issues (Childs and Webb, 2012). Also, on many occasions women do not come forward either due to fear that they will not be able to compete against men or they should not take any interest in politics (Vallance, 1979; Karam and Lovenduski, 2005; Pankow and Post, 2010). Some research studies suggest that, if more women would put themselves forward politically, they not only would be recognised as potential politicians but also they would be nominated (Norris and Lovenduski, 1995). However change in the earlier mentioned cultural attitudes is needed to encourage more women to come forward (Ruedin, 2010). Also, the literature review identifies male involvement in women’s political advancement. They fear feminisation of politics and resent changes inside the male dominated house, built over many years a significant barrier to women’s participation in parliament. However, Abdela (2010) indicates, that when equal partnership between both genders is established, men’s domination is exchanged for positive relationships with women. In contrast, other scholars suggest that true equality between the sexes can only be achieved if both women and men pull together to break the age-old belief that women and men have different roles to play (Ballington, 2008: 5).

Also, the literature suggests that the media impact on women politicians by misrepresenting them they become political figures. Regrettably, the literature review evidence suggests that women politicians are not only highly under-represented by media, but their image are been distorted, presenting them as gender stereotypes or/and sexual objects. The systematic tendencies to those discourses on female politicians by journalists and reporters lead to the conclusion that the media highly contribute to women’s difficulties in their political careers. Also media bias against female politicians plays a big role in influencing public opinion about women’s abilities and capabilities as politicians. These misrepresented descriptions of females in politics often
damage their reputations as leaders and in many instances create an impediment to their future political careers. Therefore, guidance for women politicians in terms of development in public speaking through various forms of training such as education in voice projection, helping women to learn how to handle media so they become more sympathetic to women politicians, and how to become more visible are some of many strategies recommended by scholars (Lovenduski and Karam, 2002). Still this approach would have to acknowledge the importance of discourse between men and women about the prominence of parity in legislative bodies.
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