Muldowney Type Asymptotic Behaviors in the Study of Evolution Equations

Codruta Stoica
Lecturer PhD
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, “Aurel Vlaicu” University of Arad
Romania
An Introduction to
ATINER's Conference Paper Series

ATINER started to publish this conference papers series in 2012. It includes only the papers submitted for publication after they were presented at one of the conferences organized by our Institute every year. The papers published in the series have not been refereed and are published as they were submitted by the author. The series serves two purposes. First, we want to disseminate the information as fast as possible. Second, by doing so, the authors can receive comments useful to revise their papers before they are considered for publication in one of ATINER's books, following our standard procedures of a blind review.

Dr. Gregory T. Papanikos
President
Athens Institute for Education and Research
This paper should be cited as follows:

Muldowney Type Asymptotic Behaviors in the Study of Evolution Equations

Codruta Stoica  
Lecturer PhD  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
“Aurel Vlaicu” University of Arad  
Romania

Abstract

Abstract. A particular case of dichotomy was introduced by J.S. Muldowney in [4], for linear differential systems. The aim of this paper is to define and characterize the concept of Muldowney dichotomy for skew-evolution semiflows. Connections of the new concept with the classic notion of exponential dichotomy in the uniform case are also given. We emphasize as well the importance of the dichotomy in the study of the solutions of evolution equations. Several illustrative examples motivate the approach. Connections with the classic notion of exponential dichotomy are also given. The approach is motivated by several illustrative examples. The study is performed in the uniform case.
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1. Introduction

As the state space of some dynamical systems that describe phenomena from physics, engineering or economics is of infinite dimension, the approach is more appropriate to be done by means of associated operator families. One of the most important asymptotic properties for the solutions of evolution equations is the exponential dichotomy, studied in the last years from various perspectives.

The techniques used for stability and instability can be generalized in the case of dichotomy, introduced by O. Perron in 1930 in [5], as a starting point for many papers on the stability theory, and which has gained importance since the works of J.L. Daleckii and M.G. Krein (see [1]), J.L. Massera and J.J. Schaffer (see [2]).

The study is led in this paper by means of skew-evolution semiflows on Banach spaces, defined by evolution semiflows and sevolution cocycles. They were introduced by us in [3] as generalizations of evolution operators and skew-product semiflows, the major difference consisting in the fact that a skew-evolution semiflow depends on three variables, while the classic concept of skew-product semiflow depends only on two. The skew-evolution semiflows are appropriate to study the asymptotic properties of the solutions for evolution equations having the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}(t) &= A(t)u(t), t > t_0 \geq 0 \\
u(0) &= u_0,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

where \( A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{B}(V) \) denotes an operator with the properties \( \text{Dom} A(t) \subset V \) and \( u_0 \in \text{Dom} A(t_0) \).

Various concepts for the asymptotic properties such as stability, instability, dichotomy and trichotomy are studied in [6] and [7] for case of skew-evolution semiflows.

2. Definitions. Examples

We will consider a metric space \((X, d)\), a Banach space \(V\) and \(\mathcal{B}(V)\) the space of all \(V\)-valued bounded operators defined on \(V\). We will denote \(Y = X \times V\) and \(T = \{ (t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid t \geq s \geq 0 \}\). The norm of vectors on \(V\) and of operators on \(\mathcal{B}(V)\) is denoted by \(\|\cdot\|\) and \(I\) is the identity operator on \(V\).

**Definition 2.1.** A skew-evolution semiflow on \(Y\) is a mapping \(C : T \times Y \to Y\), defined by the relation \(C(t, s, x, v) = (\varphi(t, s, x), \Phi(t, s, x) v)\), where \(\varphi : T \times X \to X\) with the properties:

\((s_1)\) \(\varphi(t, t, x) = x, \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X;\)

\((s_2)\) \(\varphi(t, s, \varphi(s, t_0, x)) = \varphi(t, t_0, x), \forall (t, s, (s, t_0) \in T, \forall x \in X\)

is an evolution semiflow on \(X\) and \(\Phi : T \times X \to \mathcal{B}(V)\) satisfying the conditions:

\((c_1)\) \(\Phi(t, t, x) = I, \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times X;\)
\( (c_2) \) \( \Phi(t,s,\phi(s,t_0,x))\Phi(s,t_0,x) = \Phi(t,t_0,x), \forall(t,s),(s,t_0) \in T, \forall x \in X \)

is an evolution cocycle over \( \phi \).

**Remark 2.2.** The mapping \( C_\lambda : T \times Y \rightarrow Y \), defined by

\[ C_\lambda(t,s,x,v) = (\phi(t,s,x), \Phi_\lambda(t,s,x)v), \]

where \( \phi \) is an evolution semiflow, \( \Phi \) is an evolution cocycle over \( \phi \) and \( \Phi_\lambda(t,s,x) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}\Phi(t,s,x) \), is also a skew-evolution semiflow, called the \( \lambda \)-shifted skew-evolution semiflow.

In the following example we will give a skew-evolution semiflow that depends on all its variables and is generated by an evolution equation, as, for example, (1.1).

**Example 2.3.** Let \( C = C(R, R) \) be the metric space of all continuous functions \( x : R \rightarrow R \), with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of \( R \). We denote by \( X \) the closure in \( C \) of the set \( \{ f, f(t+s) = f(t,s), t,s \in R \} \). Then \((X, d)\), where

\[
d(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sup_{t \in [0,n]} \left| x(t) - y(t) \right|
\]

is a metric space, and the mapping \( \phi : T \times X \rightarrow X \), \( \phi(t,s,x) = x_{t,s} \) is an evolution semiflow on \( X \). Let \( V = R^2 \) with the norm \( |v| = |v_1| + |v_2| \), where \( v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in V \). The mapping \( \Phi : T \times X \rightarrow B(R^2) \)

\[
\Phi(t,s,x)v = \left( v_1 e^{\alpha_1 s} e^{-\lambda t} + v_2 e^{\alpha_2 s} e^{-\lambda t} \right), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in R^2,
\]

is an evolution cocycle over the evolution semiflow \( \phi \) and, hence, \( C = (\phi, \Phi) \) is a skew-evolution semiflow.

**3. Muldowney type asymptotic behaviors**

The main idea in the definition of the property of dichotomy for evolution equations is to obtain, at any moment and by means of properly chosen projectors, the decomposition of the state space into two closed subspaces: the stable subspace and the unstable one. Therefore let us consider first the properties of uniform exponential stability and instability defined by us for skew-evolution semiflows.

**Definition 3.1.** A skew-evolution semiflow \( C = (\phi, \Phi) \) is said to be *uniformly exponentially stable* if there exist some constants \( N \geq 1, \nu > 0 \) such that the relation:

\[
e^{\nu(t-s)}\|\Phi(t,t_0,x)v\| \leq N\|\Phi(s,t_0,x)v\|
\]
holds for all \((t,s),(s,t_0)\) \(\in T\) and for all \((x,v)\) \(\in Y\).

**Definition 3.2.** A skew-evolution semiflow \(C = (\varphi, \Phi)\) is said to be *uniformly exponentially instable* if there exist some constants \(N \geq 1\), \(\nu > 0\) such that the relation:

\[
ed^{\nu(t-s)}\left\|\Phi(s,t_0,x)\right\| \leq N\left\|\Phi(t,t_0,x)\right\|
\]

holds for all \((t,s),(s,t_0)\) \(\in T\) and for all \((x,v)\) \(\in Y\), where \(Q\) denotes the complementary of the projections family \(P\).

In order to introduce the definition of uniform exponential dichotomy, let us consider

**Definition 3.3.** A mapping \(P: X \to B(V)\) with the property that \(P(x)^2 = P(x)\), for all \(x \in X\), is called *projections family* on \(V\). The mapping \(Q: X \to B(V)\), defined by \(Q(x) = I - P(x)\), is also a projections family, called the *complementary* of \(P\).

**Definition 3.4.** A projections family \(P: X \to B(V)\) is said to be compatible with a skew-evolution semiflow \(C = (\varphi, \Phi)\) if the relation:

\[
P(\varphi(t,s,x))\Phi(t,s,x) = \Phi(t,s,x)P(x)
\]

holds for \((t,s)\) \(\in T\) and all \(x \in X\).

We will denote \(\Phi_p(t,s,x) = \Phi(t,s,x)P(x)\) and \(C_p = (\varphi, \Phi_p)\). We have

1. \(\Phi_p(t,t,x) = P(x), \forall (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X\)
2. \(\Phi_p(t,s,\varphi(s,t_0,x))\Phi_p(s,t_0,x) = \Phi_p(t,t_0,x), \forall (t,s),(s,t_0) \in T\) and for all \((x,v)\) \(\in Y\).

In what follows, let us consider a projections family \(P: X \to B(V)\) and let us denote by \(Q\) the complementary of the projections family \(P\).

**Definition 3.5.** A skew-evolution semiflow \(C = (\varphi, \Phi)\) is said to be *uniformly exponentially dichotomic* relative to \(P\) if:

1. (ued\(_1\)) \(\Phi_p\) is uniformly exponentially stable;
2. (ued\(_2\)) \(\Phi_Q\) is uniformly exponentially instable.

An equivalent definition is given by

**Proposition 3.6.** A skew-evolution semiflow \(C = (\varphi, \Phi)\) is uniformly exponentially dichotomic if and only if there exist some constants \(N \geq 1\), \(\nu > 0\) such that:

\[
(ued_1)' \quad e^{\nu(t-s)}\left\|\Phi_p(t,s,x)\right\| \leq N\left\|\Phi(t,t_0,x)\right\|,
\]

\[
(ued_2)' \quad e^{\nu(t-s)}\left\|Q(t,x)\right\| \leq N\left\|\Phi_Q(t,s,x)\right\|,
\]

for all \((t,s)\) \(\in T\) and for all \((x,v)\) \(\in Y\).

**Proof.** Necessity. It is immediate if we consider \(s = t_0\) in Definition 3.1 Definition 3.2 and Definition 3.5.

Sufficiency. According to Definition 2.1 (c\(_2\)) and to the hypothesis, we have
$\|\Phi_p(t,t_0,x)\v\| = \|\Phi_p(t,s,\varphi(s,t_0,x))\Phi_p(s,t_0,x)\v\| \leq N e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\Phi_p(s,t_0,x)\v\|$ and
$Ne^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\Phi_\varphi(t,t_0,x)\v\| = Ne^{-\lambda(t-s)} \|\Phi_\varphi(t,s,\varphi(s,t_0,x))\Phi_\varphi(s,t_0,x)\v\| \geq \|\Phi_\varphi(s,t_0,x)\v\|$ for all $(t,s),(s,t_0) \in T$ and all $(x,v) \in Y$, which shows that $C$ is a uniformly exponentially dichotomic and ends the proof.

Example 3.7. Let us consider the evolution semiflow $\varphi$ on $X$ given as in Example 2.3 and $V = R^2$. Let $f : R^*_+ \to R^*_+$ be a decreasing function with the property that there exists $lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = l > 0$. We denote $\mu > f(0)$. The mapping $\Phi : T \times X \to B(V)$,

$$\Phi(t,s,x)(v_1,v_2,v_3) = v_1e^{\lambda(t-s)} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_s^t v_2e^{\lambda(t-s)} - \int_s^t \int_s^t v_3e^{\lambda(t-s)} ds$$

is an evolution cocycle. We consider the projections families $P$, $Q : X \to B(V)$ $P(x)v = (v_1,0)$, $Q(x)v = (0,v_2)$. Following inequalities hold

$$\|\Phi_p(t,t_0,x)\v\| \leq e^{\mu x(0)|t-t'|} \|\Phi_p(s,t_0,x)\v\|;$$
$$\|\Phi_\varphi(t,t_0,x)\v\| \geq e^{\mu |t-t'|} \|\Phi_\varphi(s,t_0,x)\v\|,$$

for all $(t,s),(s,t_0) \in T$, $(x,v) \in Y$, which proves that $C = (\varphi,\Phi)$ is uniformly exponentially dichotomic with $N = 1$ and $\nu = \max\{\mu - x(0),l\}$.

Definition 3.8. A skew-evolution semiflow $C = (\varphi,\Phi)$ is said to be Muldowney exponentially stable if there exist some constants $N \geq 1$ and a mapping $\nu : R^*_+ \to R^*_+$ such that following relation:

$$\|\Phi(t,t_0,x)\v\| \leq Ne^{-\nu(t-t')} \|\Phi(s,t_0,x)\v\|;$$

holds for all $(t,s),(s,t_0) \in T$ and for all $(x,v) \in Y$.

Definition 3.9. A skew-evolution semiflow $C = (\varphi,\Phi)$ is said to be Muldowney exponentially unstable if there exist a constant $N \geq 1$ and a mapping $\nu : R^*_+ \to R^*_+$ such that following relation:

$$\|\Phi(s,t_0,x)\v\| \leq Ne^{-\nu(t-t')} \|\Phi(t,t_0,x)\v\|;$$

holds for all $(t,s),(s,t_0) \in T$ and for all $(x,v) \in Y$.

Definition 3.10. A skew-evolution semiflow $C = (\varphi,\Phi)$ is said to be Muldowney exponentially dichotomic relative to $P$ if:

(Med) $\Phi_p$ is Muldowney exponentially stable;
(Med₂) \( \Phi_Q \) is Muldowney exponentially instable.

**Remark 3.11.** (i) If \( Q = 0 \), we obtain the asymptotic property of Muldowney exponential stability;
(ii) If \( P = 0 \), we obtain the asymptotic property of Muldowney exponential instability.

**Proposition 3.12.** A skew-evolution semiflow \( C = (\phi, \Phi) \) is Muldowney exponentially dichotomic if and only if there exist a constant \( N \geq 1 \) and a mapping \( v : R_+ \rightarrow R_+ \) such that:

\[
(\text{Med}_1) \quad \| \Phi_p(t, s, x) \| \leq Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} v(\tau) d\tau} \left\| P(x) v \right\|;
\]

\[
(\text{Med}_2) \quad \| Q(x) v \| \leq Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} v(\tau) d\tau} \left\| \Phi_Q(t, s, x) \right\|,
\]

for all \( (t, s) \in T \) and for all \( (x, v) \in Y \).

**Proof.** Necessity. It is immediate if we consider \( s = t_0 \) in Definition 3.8 Definition 3.9 and Definition 3.10.

**Sufficiency.** According to Definition 2.1 (c₂) and to the hypothesis, we have

\[
\| \Phi_p(t, s, x) \| = \| \Phi_p(t, s, \phi(t, s, t_0, x)) \Phi_p(s, t_0, x) \| \leq Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} v(\tau) d\tau} \left\| \Phi_p(s, t_0, x) \right\|
\]

and

\[
Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} v(\tau) d\tau} \left\| \Phi_Q(t, s, x) \right\| = Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} v(\tau) d\tau} \left\| \Phi_Q(t, s, \phi(t, s, t_0, x)) \Phi_Q(s, t_0, x) \right\|
\]

\[
\geq \left\| \Phi_Q(s, t_0, x) \right\|
\]

for all \( (t, s), (s, t_0) \in T \) and all \( (x, v) \in Y \), which shows that \( C \) is uniform exponentially dichotomic and ends the proof.

A connection between the notions of uniform exponential dichotomy and Muldowney exponential dichotomy is given by \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.13.** If \( C = (\phi, \Phi) \) is uniformly exponentially dichotomic, then it is Muldowney exponentially dichotomic.

**Proof.** As \( C \) is uniformly exponentially dichotomic, then, according to Definition 3.5, there exist some constants \( N \geq 1, \nu > 0 \) such that relations (ued₁) and (ued₂) hold for all \( (t, s), (s, t_0) \in T \), \( (x, v) \in Y \). We have

\[
\| \Phi_p(t, s, x) \| \leq Ne^{-\nu(t-s)} \left\| \Phi_p(s, t_0, x) \right\| \leq Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} \nu d\tau} \left\| \Phi_p(s, t_0, x) \right\|
\]

and

\[
\| \Phi_Q(s, t_0, x) \| \leq Ne^{-\nu(t-s)} \left\| \Phi_Q(t, t_0, x) \right\| \leq Ne^{-\int_{1}^{t} \nu d\tau} \left\| \Phi_Q(t, t_0, x) \right\|
\]
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for all \((t, s), (s, t, a) \in T\) and all \((x, v) \in Y\), which proves the statements (Med1) and (Med2) of Definition 3.10 and which shows that \(C\) is Muldowney exponentially dichotomic.

The converse is not true, as shown in

**Example 3.14.** Let us consider the elements defined in Example 2.3 and Example 3.7. We consider the functions \(u, w : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}\), given by

\[
u(t) = e^{2t - t \sin t} \quad \text{and} \quad w(t) = e^{3t - 2t \cos t}
\]

We define the mapping \(\Phi : T \times X \to B(V)\) by

\[
\Phi(t, s, x) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{u(s)}{u(t)} v_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (t - s) \, ds} & \frac{w(t)}{w(s)} v_2 e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t (t - s) \, ds}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which is an evolution cocycle over the evolution semiflow \(\varphi\), which is Muldowney dichotomic but is not uniformly exponentially dichotomic.
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