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Abstract

The design approach of Francesco Venezia (1944), master of Italian architecture, in the face of ruin, the unfinished, is almost always a gesture of suspension, of rejection. This rejection does not signify retreat but a definite refusal to seize upon the alluring architectural opportunity to create a masterpiece. Such an attitude appears unpopular, out of step with an architectural world that increasingly faces every gesture of building and design practice as a desire to demonstrate the “exceptional”. Incomplete architecture, whether intended or produced by decay as in the case of a ruin, is an expression of human weakness and mortality, returns architecture to a dimension in which the ephemeral no longer sees place and time as a subject matter. The distance that Venezia keeps from perfection, that does not signify methodological approximation or programmatic superficiality but a respect for everyday human life, distances his works from the danger looming over contemporary architecture: that of considering every opportunity in architecture as a self-portrait, as an object constantly longing for the fixedness of fame, an aspiration for the eternal. The humanity of architecture, everyday life and not the desire for eternity leads to the silence of forms and the wisdom of building, placing the work of the architect on a respectful path already mapped out where, before engaging or becoming carried away by temporal syntax, it is right to relinquish a hedonistic desire for power. This deep respect for the learning of a language that renounces exemplarity and novelty is also found in the teaching of Francesco Venezia and in the themes proposed as objects of design reflection for students. This paper aims to describe some of architectural experiences in which Francesco Venezia leads to concepts like time, memory, humanity, integrity, ethic of architecture.
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Introduction

Francesco Venezia, is an architect from Naples. He was born in Lauro in 1944. He combined, during his career, the activity of university professor (professor of architectural composition at the University Institute of Architecture of Venice) with a long-lasting and accurate professional activity. His career was enriched by numerous experiences of foreign academic sharing: lecturer at the Berlin Sommerakademie (1987), visiting professor at the Graduate School of Design of Harvard University (1988), Professeur invité at the École Polytechnique Fédérale di Lausanne (1989), lecturer at the University of Italian Switzerland in Mendrisio (2010). His works won numerous awards, including the "Architecture in Stone International Awards" in Verona at the Faculty of Law and Economics and the university library in Amiens in 1997. He is also an academic at the Academy of San Luca.

The Experience of Fragmentation

"Becoming is the movement in life and in the historical perception of us and the world, and this condition leads us into a context of relativity (...). To this relativity, which is also multiplicity, fragmentation, plurality of durations and rhythms of time, which is the irreversible movement of entropy, but also the opposite of negative entropy, the past and the future, act as a counterpart to unity, the absolute (...)"

The works of Francesco Venezia transform the many manifestations of architectural practice into one unit, but they also come to terms with the experience of the typical and necessary fragmentation of the human condition. This dual collocation seems to better relate to the dual character with which we perceive the world in cases such as multiplicity and unity, continuity and discontinuity, convergence and fragmentation.

The unfinished architecture, very often the subject of his own work, is an expression of this duality, of the human weakness and of the mortality of his work. The incompleteness, desired or provoked by decadence, as in the case of ruin, returns architecture to a dimension in which the ephemeral things have no place and then time turns to matter.

As we can read from the pages of his text dedicated to the ruins and the unfinished both the ruins and the unfinished buildings possess a strong aesthetic value, because in their FRAGMENTATION, in their incompleteness it is contained a force that moves us and this force places us constantly in relation to a feeling of imperfection, but also to a different beauty. It is the beauty that appears suddenly, like when a roof falls and discloses a space

---

leaving the roof open to an unexpected sky: "It is like a broken shell: destroyed inside makes us discover a fascinating world."\(^2\)

The ruin always covered a fundamental role in the perception of mankind's space; it has always offered the possibility to make an experience of reality that possesses a poetic nature because it allows those who see it to lose themselves indefinitely over time. A journey that is human but that can incite to explore the boundaries of the infinite, because it has no limits. And the possibility of approaching infinity has always moved mankind, deceiving him that he can dominate time, but that actually only drives him to become aware that he is an infinite human, that perhaps, as Venezia says, it would be more correct to be called “indefinite”.

There is a second opportunity, for a building, to enter into a relationship with the "break", and it happens when buildings are not finished, so that they are delivered to the oblivion even before being finished. They are buildings complementary to the ruins, because they are what is ultimately a project but not the realization of the project itself. In both cases we are dealing with something fragmentary and mutilated.

“If we look around, the reality is full of unfinished buildings, buildings that had a constructive story in which, because of the excessive ambition of the project - a building too big - or for unforeseen events - a war, an invasion, a political change – or because the money simply run out or the building materials had finished, there was a break in the construction process. These are complementary buildings of the ruins; they are the surviving part of a completed project.”\(^3\)

This introduction serves to frame the theme of the design exercise that Francesco Venezia assigned, in one of his teaching years, to his students during the course of the first year of Design Laboratory in Venice. We also need to demonstrate that there is no boundary of interest between his theoretical reflections, his built works and his research aimed at teaching. His themes constantly focus on concepts such as time, interpretation of memory, relationship with the presence, whether it is a place or a building.

The students were assigned a completed project of four great masters of the Modern-style, and it was asked to "simulate through models and drawings the realization of one of his fragments, taking care of finding a certain compensation for its interminativeness."\(^4\) The chosen buildings were: The Unité d'habitation of Strasbourg by Le Corbusier; the Bergaliden Crematorium of Sigurd Lewerentz; the Church for the new hospital in Venice by Le Corbusier and Guillermo Jullian de la Fuente; the Christian Catholic Church of Zion City by Frank Lloyd Wright. The results were surprising.

The praise of ruin and of the unfinished in architecture may seem a position vaguely linked to the past, but this is due to a superficial interpretation. The themes he deals with are deliberately old-fashioned, but

\(^3\) Ibidem, p. 14.
\(^4\) Ibidem, p. 15.
very actual if not urgent. His architecture is a constant warning against the anxiety of perfection of contemporary architecture.

This warning should not be confused with a lack of semantic precision or absence of grammatical and programmatic discipline; on the other hand, Venice brings its work towards a temporal dimension that goes beyond the limit of the antique period and that reaches the primordial one. It is not a coincidence that the story that seems to describe in a better way the dangers of perfection in architecture, as a direct consequence of a lack of humility and of the non-assumption of the concept that perfection is possible only when we entrust everything to the divine, is represented by Mircea's essay Eliade that tells the timeless legend of Manole. A figure representing a master who entrusts the rite of building to the ritual of sacrifice, trying to solve the continuous cases of deaths of his workers on his building site. He understands that it is the sacrifice of the body of his young bride, who can defeat the danger of the failed construction, due to the recurrence of the accidental event.

Francesco Venezia relates to the interruption of the life-time of architecture with an act of suspension. It suspends time by giving up, which does not mean getting away from it: it rather means refusing to entrust the architectural occasion that it has as concerns the temptation to create a "first work". An approach that seems unpopular, far from the tendency of architecture that is more and more related to every practical action about building and that considers the construction as a will to show the exceptional.
“Universal for Renunciation”

Figure 1. Photomontage “La piana dei templi” where it can be seen the National Gallery of Mies Van der Rohe, the Ruins of Nettuno’s Temple, and the Basilica of Pestum

He chooses Paestum as the setting of the montage because, as he says, those temples have an archaic Doric structure, not yet perfected, "and perfection is already a sign of decadence". In Paestum, in particular in the basilica, the Doric shows his will to be. It is in the state of maximum power. It nourishes us.5

According to Venezia, the Mies building achieves the right latitude, after having escaped from Berlin, and appears with its dark steel, its peristyle and its glass cell. A cell "from which the Gods walked away and into which men have

---

What motivated the choice of these three buildings and this unusual approach? What do they have in common?

They refused the superfluous, and by renouncing the superfluous they became universal. The two temples have renounced that because they have lost the superfluous in the time that degrades, shapes, uniforms; the temple of Mies has renounced it because it is made of steel "and renouncing the superfluous, the ephemeral signs of actuality, of fashionable things, thanks to the use of innovative materials -steel, crystal–is paradoxically universal. Universal for renunciation."\(^6\)

By losing the superfluous they belong to the world of MEMORY, which preserves the essential, and ensures that spaces are inhabited by ghosts.

In the choice of Greek temples and references to the classical architecture made by Venezia, it seems to be the willingness to relate the dimension of BECOMING, which is the human measure, with the dimension of the ABSOLUTE, which is the divine measure. The absolute has no movement, is in a balance without gaps; the gap with respect to a horizon produces movement and the passage of time, referring to a time in evolution.\(^8\)

This photomontage reminds us of a respect and an obligation to refer to matter and time by Venezia and to their mutual relationship. It seems that architecture for him is a gesture of trust deposited in matter, in the hope that this will last and remain faithful to the functions assigned to it: "The lifetime is the label that quantifies the time assigned to an object (...) The lifetime therefore measures the perseverance of entities in their identity."\(^9\)

This perseverance in opposing resistance to the uncertainty that time brings is present in its architecture. Its buildings seem to be the sum of a series of transformations undergone by the material they are made in order to adapt to the place. It is a way of doing classical architecture, recognizing that there are two ways of naming and conceiving time: Aion and Kronos.

Kronos is the time that enters the matter in a corporeal form, Aion is the time that has been freed from matter, and so it becomes immortal. Kronos acts in the present, he thinks that there is neither the past nor the future, so they are conceived as a form of the present. When time is not only the time of the present but also memory, then we are in the field of Aion. "Time-duration and time-becoming possess the two different faces of making architecture. Aion's time is that of the project and the prefiguration of the building, but also of that sort of retroactive project that is historiography and criticism. A time that anticipates and reconstructs, which ventures into the future (Project) as in the past (History) and which is endowed with the plasticity of the projective imagination."\(^10\)

Aion deals with the relationship between the beginning and the end, Kronos takes care of the time that is perceived through the body. With the

\(^6\) Ibidem.
\(^7\) Ibidem.
\(^8\) Ibidem n.1, p. 78.
\(^10\) Ibidem, p. 106.
architecture of Venezia, the body (time-matter) and the mind (time-project) are in harmony but what really appears in his experiences is the third form of time, what the Greeks called "the time of opportunities," Kairos. “The Greeks have a name to indicate the coincidence between human action and time. It is called Kairos the moment when time is favorable and human actions are good: occasion and time are favorable.”

This idea of time is related to human action, to the ethics of his behavior. The buildings of Venezia appear "opportune", they are conceived and constructed in a breach opened in the temporal sequence of the narration of a place. This breach, which is not always painless, is the signal that it is necessary to enter into the dialogue based on resistance and acceptance with the ground.

In his work it seems clear that architecture is a form of time renard dedicated to its conception and its construction. The "duration" of an architecture depends on the quantity of time that is trapped within it and the effort and resources that we have dedicated to it. This is what is asserted by Venezia by saying that architecture gives back, little by little, everything that is settled through a permanent work of precision and prediction. It represents an unacceptable attitude, if we consider that today the main attention is almost exclusively dedicated to the surface of things, and therefore to the majestic importance of the "image".

Architecture and the Sensorial Design

"Man employed centuries to make perfect the grammar of architecture, and, as I often repeated to my students, who is able to dominate and to know the syntax and grammar of a stone building, can also dominate the syntax and the grammar of a steel and crystal building.”

A conscious design presupposes the control of the sensorial effect that the building will cause on the body of those who will inhabit it, who will look at it and who will cover its space. The body, through the senses, measures our relationship with space. Space organizes our life experience on earth, relating to time in its duration.

Several experiences concerning the project demonstrated this constant dialogue with a design that consciously involves our senses: from the reconstruction of Palazzo di Lorenzo (1984) to the redevelopment of the spaces of the "Secret Gardens" in Gibellina. These works were conceived after a disastrous earthquake in 1968. The old Gibellina disappeared after the earthquake but, as a sort of reward, it offered to numerous architects, including Venice, Quaroni and Burri, an opportunity to improve their abilities.

---

Gibellina's works show how much the relationship that architecture has with reality is quite never passive, and rarely puts itself in relation to the place. Venezia himself says: "It is often the result of deep conflicts, wounds, lacerations. I become suspicious when reality appears as a careful listening and interpretation of a site. Architecture goes in hard and the site doesn’t desire to be occupied by architecture - the sites are as good as they are." And how does a site feel when it lives the wound of an earthquake that suddenly interrupted the relationship of an entire community with a territory? How could we intervene after a physical, architectural, social moment of mourning? Would the site choose everything to stay suspended in time, like ruins do for example?

From that moment on, it begins the construction of a concrete relationship between architecture and the place. This imposition is violently refused by the site at first. But architecture, when it is architecture and not a hedonistic soliloquy of an egogentic personality, when everything is finished, gives the possibility to offer an image of that place, unimaginable without the presence of that intervention, "assuming intervention could express this capacity to interpret this action of contrast. Reconciliation that is revealed to things done, is never preliminary, it is a result. It is a result that tells us that our action has been successful." From the case of Gibellina projects, the architecture of Venice returns to have a sediment, a link with the ground that most of the contemporary architecture does not seem to have. In many of today's architectures the gesture of founding is put aside starting from the first steps of conception: it is not based on a conceptual sediment, it seems not to struggle anymore, it gives up by renouncing to live dramatically the drama to unload its importance.

13 Ibidem, n.5, p. 28.
14 Ibidem, p. 28.
His architecture represents a critique to a contemporary production that is increasingly concerned with proposing novelty, through a collection of objects that are much more similar to design objects, rather than objects of architecture: "Objects similar to corks floating on water and not taking any action against things. They do not oppose anything, they simply exist, they run before our eyes like in a big fashion show and in the course of a few years they will leave the catwalk. (...) There are architects who dream of buildings similar to clouds, so they can float up in the air without dumping their thousands of tons on the ground with all the consequences that this action entails. We are witnessing the flowering of objects that, not by chance, lend themselves to being an advertising scene. (...) thus fulfilling the fatal conjunction of two fleeting realities.\(^\text{15}\)

In Lorenzo's palace project, space seems to dominate the form. It does not give occasions to the image to be charming, but it is organized to restore a significant and temporal "collocation" to the objects that overcame to the traumatic event (see Figure 2). The "dispositio" is not always the same as the original space but continues to allow the integration between the designed material and the environment. And not only matter and materials belong to that environment, but also echoes of distant cultural influences. We perceive them in the secret areas of the Gardens of Gibellina, without recognizing the existing Arab, Norman and Sicilian structures. In a project of a house (not yet realized) in Palazzolo Acreide, it is introduced a theme on which Venezia will reflect on several occasions: the coexistence of two worlds, of the double. Moreover, his relationship with Naples, by origin and training, obliges him immediately to dialogue with an inferior reality (the thousand cavities in the subsoil of Naples) and a superior reality (the city in the sunlight). In the design of this small house, the section shows a small cave, and shows a character of domesticity suggested by the reduced size of everything. But the coexistence of these two realities, as he describes speaking of the project, is well highlighted through a vertical axis that connects and physically and symbolically unites the inferior world, the underground (the cave) and a superior world, the loggia. An axis also transformed into a possibility to ventilate the house, is a ventilation shaft, and an interior garden.\(^\text{16}\)

The Memory Project

Among the merits of the Italian culture of the twentieth century there is that of having given birth to some of the most interesting and bright opportunities for the design of exhibitions and installations. In the second half of the 20th century, we remember Carlo Scarpa (1906 - 1978), Franco Albini (1905 - 1977), Achille Castiglioni (1918 - 2002), who gave life to a real tradition. In Pompeii were set two exhibitions but we will deal with the one set

\(^{15}\) Ibidem, p. 28-29.
in the amphitheatre. The exhibition at Palazzo Grassi about the Etruscans (2000), and the exhibition about Jean Arp in Rome (2017) in the church of Santa Maria degli Angeli make Francesco Venezia a worthy heir of this process.

The two exhibitions, Pompeii and Europe, 1748-1943 in the National Archaeological Museum of Naples, and the other in the amphitheater of the excavations of Pompeii, were inaugurated in May 2015.

The genesis of the project for the amphitheatre of the excavations of Pompeii can be recognized through an image that is part of a series of preparatory sketches for the exhibition. The same typology of sketch can be recognized in the phase of conception of the exhibition for the National Archaeological Museum: this is the co-factor that suggests the actual conceptual relationship between the two set-up occasions. In one of these sketches it is easy to recognize a design of a beam diagonally slanting a dome to which a transverse cut has been made. The path of the ray comes to some figures, lying on the floor, whose features are not recognized. The effect of light reminds us, as Dal Co says in his book, the atmosphere of an interior of the ancient era: the temple of Mercury in Baia, in the Campi Flegrei, a volcanic area close to Naples. It is a temple of the I. Sec. D.C, always been a destination for travelers interested in ancient ruins.

This image, which turns into an ideogram, represents the conceptual foundation of the entire exhibition of Pompeii. The site that has been chosen for the construction is the Roman amphitheater that was built to give gladiators a place where they could improve their fighting skills. There are testimonies of these activities in several graffiti found in the walls of the archaeological excavations. The elliptical arena is about six meters far from the second order of the cavea. Venezia arrange a pyramid by using the ruins of this place. He uses wood both for the structural part and the cladding, but trying to give the idea of a stone texture. Dal Co, in his text, establishes a relationship between the form of the temporary building chosen by Venezia and the architectural form considered by Étienne Boullée in his “Architecture. Essai sur l’art” the most appropriate for the "temples of death. It is defined as: "a buried architecture, with squat and slashed proportions." This is how the pyramid appeared inside the amphitheater, like an object that had found a sediment under a ground level. And the choice of a pyramid shape inevitably puts him in dialogue with some famous projects by Boullée. This dialogue does not place Venezia in a position of submission, on the contrary, it contrasts it in a clever way to the suggestions that Boullée makes about the funerary architecture. Since Venezia decides to build a real cenotaph

---


19 Ibidem, p. 34.
inside the amphitheatre it possesses all the features of a funerary architecture. It is Dal Co that in the text suggests the relationship with the "famous funerary monument in honor of viscount Turenne, the Marshal of France which served Louis XIV, and fell in the battle of Salzbach in 1675, conceived by Boullée more than a hundred years later, much probably in 1782."\(^{20}\) For this project Boullée choose the pyramidal shape, cut at the tip, placing it in the center of a rectangular enclosure, and isolated on each side. The absence of any decoration, the pyramidal trunk shape and the central insulating in an enclosed area are other choices made by Venezia. The difference lies in the eccentric collocation of his pyramid that occupies one of the two centers of the ellipse of the amphitheater.

The animated dialogue that Venezia ideally establishes with Boullée also passes through the choice to dedicate the architectural "object", not to a valiant leader but rather to bodies without a name, the "molds of Pompei". These are the result of a process of revitalization of hollow shapes that are the result of the decomposition of dead bodies following the eruption of Vesuvius. The lava flow of that special mixture of materials has returned not the perfection that a human body has, but the sketches of bodies expressing the last moments of the common lives, through the gestures that have been immortalized by death. So the protagonists of this exhibition are lifeless plastic forms.

This choice immediately distances the pyramid of Venezia from the idea of a cenotaph which by definition comes from the union of the words Kenós, empty, and Taphós, tomb. But above all defines a tomb that does not contain the body of the deceased person to whom it is dedicated. It is therefore not a VACUUM OF SPACE, but an "inhabited monument" and this turns it into ARCHITECTURE.

The features of their last dramatic movements transform these lifeless casts into a timeless testimony, as if we could still hear their last cry or their last breath. This extension of figures in their last contorted movement is arranged in such a way that those who visit the exhibition can have a view from above, thanks to the presence of a raised and circular path.

At the entrance, at the top of the pyramid we find a gnomic hole, like the one in the sketch that was part of the first step in the design process of the two exhibitions. This hole allowed the light to enter the space and to touch and illuminate the casts. But the effect of light changes with the changing of the hours and the position of the sun: as well as actors from time to time illuminated by the limelight, the bodies reclaimed for a few hours their role of protagonists. This is because the dome is not cut at one of the circumferences of the hemisphere that are parallel to the ground, but along a circumference drawn by an inclined plane, in order to form a circle of light instead of an ellipse (see Figure 3).

\(^{20}\) Ibidem, p. 35.
Figure 3. *Roman Amphitheater in Pompeii with the Venezia’s Pyramid*

*Source: http://www.artribune.com/progettazione/architettura/2018/01/francesco-venezia/.*

The visit of this temporary architecture shows us that between Venezia and Boullée there is a sharing of references and models and a similarity of suggestions. The model of the temple of Mercury in Baia, must surely be part of the memory of education of the Neapolitan architect Venezia. Some coincidences, as Dal Co points out, cannot be a coincidence: for example, the diameter of the circular thermal room is the same as the pyramid, and this gives rise to the overlap between a circle and a square. This overlap is the same one that Boullée adopts in the Tourenne cenotaph project. Even the diagonality of the ray of light in the pyramid is definitely inspired by the light that enters the thermal room from one of the side windows.

It should be recalled that Boullée was an expert of the temple of Mercury, thanks to the reliefs that Abraham - Louis Ducros had conducted during his first trip to Naples in 1778, four years before the Cenotaph’s project.

Moreover, the changeable shadow play that the casts cause, thanks to a slight superelevation that Venezia choose for them, ensures that in the design references it is perceptible Piranesi and his architectures of shadows.

On the sides, along the curved walls, there are the photographs taken since the mid-nineteenth century during the excavation works.

"Modeled without art or imitation" as Luigi Settembrini observed in the nineteenth century. the casts restored body and figure "to the pain of death". Figures of the immutable, were greeted by Venezia within an ephemeral

21 Ibidem, p. 39.
construction, therefore inevitably paradoxical. For a very short time, however, the installation ensured a roof - a body as Boullée would say.”

The cenotaphs have the function of "perpetuating the memory of those to whom they were consecrated" and this is the reason why they must be designed in order to resist the offenses of time, as stated in the Essai of Boullée. "But the cenotaphs, as we have seen, are empty monuments: only fame lives inside them. For the bodies, on the other hand, they are reserved modest coverings, similar to those that Venezia designed for casts" (see Figure 4).

**Figure 4. Interior of Pyramid with Casts**


Fifty years after the death of the artist Jean Arp (1887 - 1966), the Special Superintendency for the Colosseum and the central area of Rome and the National Roman Museum decided to honor him by asking for an exhibition project to the Neapolitan architect Francesco Venezia and evocative space that was chosen were the great Halls of the Thermal Baths of Diocletian. Another opportunity for the architect to compete with the great architectural history, and to challenge the obvious and winking project. The works, which follow a rigorous division into the spaces chosen for the exhibition, underline a relationship with classicism and with the poetry of the fragment, themes, as we could understand in the text, dear to the design and theoretical mentality of Venezia.

22 Ibidem, p. 41-42.
23 Ibidem, p. 42.
"The exhibition appears as isolated and almost suspended in the immense space of the two Halls of the Baths" explains Venezia "metaphor of the difficult individuation, in the work of Jean Arp, of a reflection of the ancient or of a character of the eminently historical soul." "The pendulous stems that support the bodies, illuminating, the walls of the exhibitors, the bases of the sculptures intertwine in perspective and with calculated variety five colors: black, green, red, yellow and blue. They are recurring colors in the artist's graphic work."24

The conceptual organization of the exhibition is imposed in Venezia, which has to resolve some important conditions in the conception of the space dedicated to the artist. The choice focuses in particular on the sculptural activity of the 30s that obliges the architect to favor a fruition of the works organized through a multiplicity of directions of the gaze. It is mentioned only his participation in the Dadaist movement, his use of collages and the language influences coming from his friendship with Matisse. The second limitation has a spatial nature and comes from having chosen as a space for the exhibition, a unique hall for the baths.

All the works had to find a deserving placement within a spatial limit that must have influenced the ideation of Venezia. Almost all the works have been arranged outdoors. "And here and there the parentheses of unexpected glimpses that frame the mosaics, the statues, the ancient marbles, the sample of columns and architectural friezes of the Roman museum as mirages of a remote and incumbent horizon of confrontation with a past in which the same Arp he immersed himself, drawing inspiration from it."25

Conclusion: Architecture as Reward

The distance that Venezia assumes with regard to perfection, which does not mean methodological or programmatic superficiality, but respect for human daily life, outdistances its work from the danger that threatens contemporary architecture: considering every architectural occasion as a self-portrait, as an object constantly longing for that fixedness given by fame, and by the aspiration of eternity. The infinite and multiple stresses to which the surface architecture is subjected, shift the attention in different directions, putting it away from the depth where the movement is slow. It reacts and manifests itself as a force that sometimes is evident.

This is the layer to which Venezia seems to be interested, a divine exactness that manifests itself through its voluntary superficial absence, but which is present there, where nobody sees it.

The humanity of architecture, daily life and the non-aspiration to eternity leads back to the silence of the forms and the wisdom of building. Moreover, it places the architect's work on a respectful path already traced, where before

25 http://www.succedeoggi.it/2016/10/arp-lequilibrista/.
26 Ibidem, n.1, p. 33.
being transported by temporal syntax, it would be better to abdicate from the hedonistic will of power.

The works of Francesco Venezia – fortunately - do not allow to be considered as concluded. His relationship with the unfinished gives his poetics the idea of "becoming". Each of his generous transmission of experience allows us to take one more step towards a process of self-discovery of the sense of doing architecture. It is the practice of the good teacher, who indicates by directing a path which only those who walk through it can find out where it will lead them.
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