

**Athens Institute for Education and Research**

**ATINER**



**ATINER's Conference Paper Series**

**AGR2013-0766**

**Antimicrobial Activity of *Bacillus Spp.*  
in the Biocontrol of Different  
Phytopathogenic *Agrobacterium*  
Isolates**

**Žarko Ivanović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Veljko Gavrilović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Tatjana Popović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Svetlana Živković**  
**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment**  
**Serbia**

**Jovana Blagojević**  
**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment**  
**Serbia**

**Slaviša Stanković**  
**Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade**  
**Serbia**

**Đorđe Fira**  
**Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade**  
**Serbia**

Athens Institute for Education and Research  
8 Valaoritou Street, Kolonaki, 10671 Athens, Greece  
Tel: + 30 210 3634210 Fax: + 30 210 3634209  
Email: [info@atiner.gr](mailto:info@atiner.gr) URL: [www.atiner.gr](http://www.atiner.gr)  
URL Conference Papers Series: [www.atiner.gr/papers.htm](http://www.atiner.gr/papers.htm)

Printed in Athens, Greece by the Athens Institute for Education and Research.  
All rights reserved. Reproduction is allowed for non-commercial purposes if the  
source is fully acknowledged.

**ISSN 2241-2891**

17/12/2013

## An Introduction to ATINER's Conference Paper Series

ATINER started to publish this conference papers series in 2012. It includes only the papers submitted for publication after they were presented at one of the conferences organized by our Institute every year. The papers published in the series have not been refereed and are published as they were submitted by the author. The series serves two purposes. First, we want to disseminate the information as fast as possible. Second, by doing so, the authors can receive comments useful to revise their papers before they are considered for publication in one of ATINER's books, following our standard procedures of a blind review.

Dr. Gregory T. Papanikos  
President  
Athens Institute for Education and Research

This paper should be cited as follows:

**Ivanović, Ž., Gavrilović, V., Popović, T., Živković, S., Blagojević, J., Stanković, S. and Fira, Đ. (2013) "Antimicrobial Activity of *Bacillus* Spp. in the Biocontrol of Different Phytopathogenic *Agrobacterium* Isolates"**  
Athens: ATINER'S Conference Paper Series, No: **AGR2013-0766**.

**Antimicrobial Activity of *Bacillus* Spp. in the Biocontrol of  
Different Phytopathogenic *Agrobacterium* Isolates**

**Žarko Ivanović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Veljko Gavrilović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Tatjana Popović**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Svetlana Živković**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Jovana Blagojević**

**Institute for Plant Protection and Environment  
Serbia**

**Slaviša Stanković**

**Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade  
Serbia**

**Dorđe Fira**

**Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade  
Serbia**

**Abstract**

The genus *Agrobacterium* consists of Gram-negative, soil-borne bacteria, both pathogenic and non-pathogenic for plants. Pathogenic strains include bacteria causing crown gall and hairy-roots diseases. Crown gall disease could cause fatal infection of young plants, and it is related to reduction in crop yield. Disease management in fruit crops worldwide is heavily dependent upon the application of synthetic fungicides for pathogen control. The use of microorganisms as biological control agents may represent an alternative method to control phytopathogenic bacteria. In this study we tested the

antagonistic effect of *Bacillus* spp. on *Agrobacterium* isolates originated from sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine.

The bacteria were isolated from collected samples of diseased sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine plants using young galls. Pathogenicity of the strains isolated from tumors was tested on sterilized and aseptically cut carrot disks by inoculation with bacterial suspension. The presence of galls was checked after four weeks. Different *Bacillus* spp. strains were tested for the production of compounds inhibitory to *Agrobacterium* strains using *In vitro* bioassay. A strain was scored positive if a clear inhibition zone of at least 2 mm in diameter was observed.

*Agrobacterium* spp. were diagnosed as a pathogens of sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine using conventional methods based on the isolation on selective media, followed by pathogenicity tests on carrot disks. *In vitro* tests all of *Bacillus* spp. strains showed their antibacterial activity against different *Agrobacterium* isolates.

This study showed that *Bacillus* spp. Strains have potential as a agent in control of *Agrobacterium* spp.

**Keywords:**

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science, Republic of Serbia (Grants No. OI173026 and TR31018).

**Corresponding Author:**

## Introduction

The genus *Agrobacterium* consists of soil-borne bacteria, aerobic, motile, non spore forming, Gram-negative rods with peritrichous flagella. *Agrobacterium* spp. is a common disease of dicot plants including mainly stone and pome fruit trees, grapevines, roses and some ornamental plants. Members of the genus *Agrobacterium* are ubiquitous components of the soil microflora, the vast majority of which are saprophytic, surviving primarily on decaying organic matter. Pathogenic strains include bacteria causing crown gall and hairy-roots diseases. The crown gall bacteria can infect over 90 different plant families (De Cleene & De Ley, 1976) and are responsible for economic losses in nurseries of fruit trees and ornamental plants (Pulawska, 2010). These bacteria induce tumors or hairy-roots, mainly on the underground part of the plant. One of the most important diseases caused by these bacteria is crown gall, responsible for extensive economic losses to nursery productions of fruit trees, roses and grapevines in many countries (Garrett, 1973; Kennedy & Alcorn, 1980; Sobiczewski *et al.*, 1991). Bacteria causing tumors create a very heterogeneous group of strains classified to different species and biovars. Tumors inhibit plant physiological functions such as transport of water and nutrients. The disease seldom kills plants, but it can elicit lack of vigour and reduced growth. Crown gall and hairy root have been described as a the transfer and expression of a suite of *Agrobacterium* genes in a plant cell causes uncontrolled cell proliferation and the synthesis of nutritive compounds that can be metabolized specifically by the infecting bacteria (Schell *et al.*, 1979). Thus, infection effectively creates a new niche specifically suited to *Agrobacterium* survival. The natural host range of *Agrobacterium* among species of the plant kingdom is rather extensive and includes members of most of the plant families. Crown gall disease is fatal infection for young plants, and is related to reduction in crop yield.

The mechanisms of biological control of plant pathogens by antagonistic bacteria and fungi have been the subjects of many studies (Janisiewicz *et al.* 2000). Antagonists are biocontrol agents such as bacteria, fungi, actinomycetes, viruses, and nematodes that reduce the number of disease producing activities of the pathogens (Whipps & Lumsden, 2001). Mechanisms of biocontrol of root and soil-borne pathogens are as a result of the direct action of antagonists on plant pathogens, through antibiosis, predation or parasitism, induced resistance of the host plant and direct competition for space and limited resources (Janisiewicz *et al.* 2000). Members of the genus *Bacillus* are known to produce a wide types of antimicrobial substances, including peptide and lipopeptide antibiotics, and bacteriocins (Stein, 2005). Members of the genus *Bacillus* are Gram-positive, aerobic and endospore-forming bacteria that are characterized by their rod-shaped cell morphology, catalase production and their ubiquitous distribution. The production of antimicrobial substances and sporulation capacity confer *Bacillus* strains with a double advantage in terms of their survival in different habitats. The aim of this study was to screen



*Bacillus* spp. isolates *in vitro* for antagonism against different *Agrobacterium* spp. isolates originated from sour cherry, plum, blackberry and grapevine.

## Material and Methods

### *Pathogen Isolation*

The bacteria were isolated from collected samples of diseased sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine plants using young galls. Gall surface was washed under running water, surface sterilized for 10 minutes in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution and rinsed with sterile water prior to isolation. The small pieces of tumor tissue were macerated, soaked in sterile distilled water to allow diffusion of bacteria into the liquid and bacterial suspension was streaked on nutrient agar plates (NA) (Milijašević et al., 2007). Plates were incubated at 25°C and examined after 2-3 days. Presumptive colonies were purified by streaking onto PDA medium with 0.5% CaCO<sub>3</sub> and PYGA medium (0.3% peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% glycerol and 2% agar). Single cell colonies were transferred on to NA slants and stored at 4°C. The strains CFBP 2621 (*A. vitis*), CFBP 4442 (*A. tumefaciens*) from the French collection of phytopathogenic bacteria, were used in this work as referent strains.

### *Pathogenicity Tests*

Pathogenicity of the strains isolated from tumors was tested on sterilised and aseptically cut carrot disks by inoculation with bacterial suspension (containing 10<sup>7</sup> cfu/ml). Carrot disks treated in the same way with distilled water served as negative control and disks inoculated with the reference strains were used as positive control. Inoculated carrot slices were placed on moistened sterile filter paper in Petri dishes and kept at room temperature for three weeks. Plants inoculated with water served as negative control, while plants inoculated with the reference strain served as positive control. The presence of galls was checked after four weeks.

### *Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)*

Total genomic DNA was prepared by using a modification of the procedure of Ausubel et al. (1992). Cultures were grown on YDC medium for 48 h at 25°C. Bacterial cells were washed with sterile distilled water and centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed twice in 0.85% NaCl and once in 0.1M NaPO<sub>4</sub> buffer, pH 6.8. Cells were treated with 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and mixed with proteinase K at 37°C for 1h. DNA was purified using a 5M NaCl and solution of 10% hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) in 1M NaCl at 65°C for 10 min, followed by phenol-chloroform and chloroform extractions. The DNA was recovered by isopropanol precipitation, redissolved in Tris-EDTA (TE, 10mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0), and quantified spectrophotometrically at 260 nm. PCR was conducted using primers specific for detection of tumorigenic agrobacteria (complementary to the *tms2* gene) with the following

sequence: tms2F1 (5` TTT CAG CTG CTA GGG CCA CAT CAG 3`) and tms2R2 (5` TCG CCA TGG AAA CGC CGG AGT AGG 3`) (Pulawska and Sobiczewski, 2005) where the expected PCR products are 617 base pairs. DNA amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 µl. All reactions contained: 1 x PCR Master mix (Fermentas, Lithuania) (0.625 U Taq polymerase, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM each dNTPs), 1 µl of each primer (20 µM) and 1 µl of template DNA. Sterile deionized water was used as negative control, and the reference strains were used as positive control. Amplification conditions were: initial denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 63°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1.5 min, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. (Milijašević, et al., 2007). Amplified PCR products were separated by gel electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels in 0.5 X TAE buffer for 1 h at 5 V/cm, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under UV illumination.

### In Vitro Bioassay

Different *Bacillus* sp. strains were tested for the production of compounds inhibitory to *Agrobacterium* spp. strains as described elsewhere (Harris et al., 1989). *Bacillus* isolates used in this study originates from The Collection of The Laboratory of Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, University of Belgrade. A collection of 203 different *Bacillus* spp. isolates were tested *in vitro* for inhibitory activity against *Agrobacterium* isolates using agar well diffusion assay. Cultures of *Bacillus* were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) medium for 24 h at 30°C. Luria Agar (LA7) soft agar (0.7% m/v) containing *Agrobacterium* isolates were overlaid onto LA plates. Wells were made in the lawn of solidified Luria Agar (LA) medium to which aliquots (50 µl) of supernatant of overnight culture were added. The plates were then incubated overnight at 30°C. The zone of inhibition was measured after 24 h of incubation. The appearance of a clear zone representing growth inhibition of a sensitive strain around the well was taken as a positive signal for inhibitory activity. Microbial interactions were analyzed by determination of the size and shape of inhibition zone.

## **Results**

### *Pathogenicity Test*

All tested strains caused small, green tumors on carrot disks three weeks after inoculation. No changes were observed on carrot slices inoculated with water, while the positive control strains CFBP 2621 (*A. vitis*), CFBP 4442 (*A. tumefaciens*) induced tiny, green tumors three weeks after inoculation.

### *Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)*

The appropriate amplification bands was obtained after amplification with primers for the tms2 gene. Using tms2F1 and tms2R2 primers, 617 bp PCR products specific for tumorigenic *Agrobacterium* strains were detected.

Therefore, we confirmed that the strains isolated from tumors in sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine plants were tumorigenic *Agrobacterium* strains.

#### In Vitro Bioassay

Different *Bacillus* spp. strains were tested for in vitro inhibitory activity against *Agrobacterium* spp. isolates. Results from the *in vitro* bioassay showed that out of 203 *Bacillus* strains, nine strains showed antimicrobial activity against *Agrobacterium* isolates originated from sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine, with varying efficiencies. Distinct inhibition zones were observed when *Bacillus* strains were used against *Agrobacterium* isolates. Three *Bacillus* strains showed strong and very strong inhibition zones to the *Agrobacterium* isolates, strain 12.6 (10-16 mm), strain 13.1 (5-10 mm), and strain 51.1 (5-8 mm). Very strong inhibition zones were revealed after 24h of incubation.

#### **Discussion**

*Agrobacterium* spp. is a common disease of dicot plants including many woody shrubs and various herbaceous plants including mainly stone and pome fruit trees, grapevines, roses and some ornamental plants (Rhouma et al., 2006). The bacteria that induce crown gall are responsible for great losses, first of all in nursery production of fruit trees, roses and grapevines worldwide (Kennedy & Alcorn, 1980). *Agrobacterium* were diagnosed using conventional methods based on the isolation on selective media, followed by pathogenicity tests on carrot disks. PCR amplifications was conducted with specific sets of primers and were confirmed the presence of *Agrobacterium* spp. on sour cherry, plum, blackberry, and grapevine in Serbia. Trends in research include the increased use of biorational screening processes to identify microorganisms with the potential for biocontrol. In previous studies have been suggested that employment of microorganisms with antimicrobial activity may be an alternative or supplementary method to chemical plant protection. Mechanisms of biological control agents are diverse and include the induction of plant resistance by elicitors, the interference of pathogen infection pathways with antagonistic microorganisms and direct suppression of pathogens with antimicrobial natural compounds. These different, yet complementary, approaches for disease control have shown great promise in laboratory conditions, but many have failed to realise their potential in the field due to various unforeseen biological, technical, economic or regulatory hurdles (Fravel, 1999). As one of ideal candidates for use as a biocontrol agent appeared *Bacillus*. The strains of bacteria *Bacillus* have been widely used against a number of economically important plant pathogenic bacteria. *Bacillus* spp. has special characteristics that make them good candidates as biological control agents (Beric et al., 2012). *Bacillus* inhibited growth by establishing a clear inhibition zone in a *in vitro* bioassay test. The inhibition of growth by the

forming of an inhibition zone against *Agrobacterium* is considered as metabolite production. Screening is a critical step in the development of biological control agents. The success of all subsequent stages depends on the ability of a screening procedure to identify an appropriate candidate. Several recent studies have shown that antagonistic microorganisms from the genus *Bacillus* can help limit pathogen damage in various fruits (Živković et al., 2010). Our results support these findings by showing that *Bacillus* restrict the *in vitro* growth of *Agrobacterium*, economically important pathogens of fruits trees in nurseries. The *in vitro* results do not necessarily translate to what occurs *in planta*. Nonetheless, this study and the results are particularly useful for identifying likely candidates for biocontrol and for making educated guesses concerning the mechanisms by which they reduce pathogen damage. Our results demonstrated the prospect of *Bacillus* spp. as a potentially promising biocontrol agent for *Agrobacterium* spp. caused disease.

## References

- Ausubel, F. M., Brent, R., Kingston, R. E., Moore, D. D., Seidman, J. G., Smith, J. A. & K. Struhl (1992). *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Vol. I. Greene Publishing Associates and Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Beric, T. Kojic, Milan, O., Stankovic, S., Topisirovic, Lj., Degrassi, G., Myers, M., Venturi V. & Dj. Fira. (2012) Antimicrobial Activity of *Bacillus* sp Natural Isolates and Their Potential Use in the Biocontrol of Phytopathogenic Bacteria *Food Technology And Biotechnology*, 50, : 25-31.
- De Cleene, M., & J. Ley. (1976). The host range of crown gall. *Botanical Review* 42: 389–466.
- Fravel, D. (1999). Hurdles and bottlenecks on the road to biocontrol of plant pathogens. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 28(1): 53-56.
- Garrett, C.M.E. (1973). Crown gall (*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*). *Report of East Malling Research Station for 1972*: 134-135.
- Harris, L. J., Daeshemi, A., Stiles, M. E. & T. R. Klaenhammer (1989). Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Food Protect.* 52, 384-387.
- Janisiewicz, W.J., Tworowski, T.J. & C Sharer. (2000). Characterizing the mechanism of biological control of postharvest diseases on fruits with a simple method to study competition for nutrients. *Phytopathology* 90(11):1196-2000.
- Kennedy, B.W. & S.M. Alcorn. (1980). Estimates of U. S. crop losses to prokaryote plant pathogens. *Plant Dis.* 64: 674-676.
- Milijašević, S., Gavrilović, V., Živković, S., Trkulja, N. & J.Pulawska. (2007). First report of tumorigenic *Agrobacterium radiobacter* on raspberry in Serbia. *Pesticidi i fitomedicina*, 22: 113-119.
- Pulawska, J. (2010). Crown gall of stone fruits and nuts—economic significance and diversity of its causal agent—tumorigenic *Agrobacterium* spp. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 92(Suppl.1), S1.87–98.
- Pulawska, J. & P. Sobiczewski. (2005). Development of a seminested PCR based method for sensitive detection of tumorigenic *Agrobacterium* in soil. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 98: 710-721.

- Rhouma, A., Boubaker, A., Nesme, X. & Y. Dessaux. (2006). Plasmid and chromosomal diversity of a Tunisian collection of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strains. *Tunis J Plant Protect* 1:73–84.
- Schell, J., Van Montagu, M., De Beuckeleer, M., De Block, M., Depicker, A., De Wilde, A., Engler, G., Genetello, C., Hernalsteens, P., Holsters, M., Seurinck, J., Silva, A., Van Vliet, F. & R. Villarroel. (1979). Interactions and DNA transfer between *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, the Ti plasmid and the plant host. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* 8204: 251-266.
- Sobiczewski, P., Karczewski, J. & S. Berczynski (1991). Biological control of crown gall *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* in Poland. *Fruit Science Report* 18: 125-132.
- Stein, T., Heinzmann, S., Dusterhus, S., Borchert, S. & K.D. Entian (2005) Expression and functional analysis of the subtilin immunity genes spaIFEG in the subtilin-sensitive host *Bacillus subtilis* MO1099. *J Bacteriol* 187: 822–828.
- Whipps, J.M. & R.D. Lumsden (2001). Commercial use of fungi as plant disease biological control agents: status and prospects. In: *Fungi as biocontrol agents: progress, problems and potential* Eds. Butt, TM, Jackson C, Magan N, Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing: 9–22.
- Živković, S., Stojanović, S., Ivanović, Ž., Gavrilović, V., Popović, T. & J. Balaž. (2010). Screening of antagonistic activity of microorganisms against *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. *Arch. Biol. Sci.* 62(3): 611–623.